

கொச்சி பத்தி, மலாபுர பத்தி, தஞ்சாவூர் பத்தி

The Shole valley was
granted for the Mahan
like he confirmed

To occupy under Rule bit.

M. Gannon Las Fee 15/2/60 WMS

Gennarig Losse More 28m
1539

Loushouo Pass

Rumoldus }
10-864 }

No. 277 of 1864 from Chakravy
Mokidini informs one of the officers in the
Village asking that the 3 Villages may be
confirmed to him and that the claims
which he understands to have been
advanced by Hakkadan of Parangudi
are rejected and ordered -

Appellant is informed that the
3 Villages have been confirmed to the
Maharaja for the support of which they
were given recording at the same time
the present state of enjoyment

WMS
18/9/65
F.R.
15/10/65

Met 461.

From Ft 1250	Cultivated area in Acres	Gross out turn	Of which zamindari's share at 40 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of quantity of grains given in Col 4	Ratio per Acres
to Ft 1270						
H. In P	A & B	H. In P	H. In P	Rs A B	Rs A B	Rs A B
834 - 2 - "	3323 - 90	33019 - 1 - 4	16548 - 8 - 5	1 - 10 - "	26959 - 5 - 6	7 - 15 - "

22 } of Glasgow /
 none of person
 in col 13.

a portion of them

The person No 1 pleads that the persons No 2 & 11 of 1861 have no shares at all in these villages. But the person No 2 says that it appears that the village committee of 1861 had received from the Government some money which was used to purchase land for the benefit of the people. The person No 2 also says that the person No 1 has been engaged by the holders of the villages for many years past - the person No 2 says that one of their cousins Fani Padma in Buxar who was engaging one party in this village having died without issue & unmarried about 4 years ago, his share is now transferred to the "Mokans" & the proceeds of that share are now appropriated to the use of the institutions since the demise of the holder thereof - This proved to be true - Thus the share of the Mokans is 2 1/2 Villages - Forwards above alluded to consists of the cost of the oil &c required for the use of the Mokans - All ornament charges of the Mokans, salaries of the employees & better or paid to "Musafirs" or travellers - Thus the share of the Mokans is now equalled to 2 1/2 Villages - & the balance remaining 5 Villages are in possession of the persons No 2 & 11 of 1861 - Not any part of these 5 shares is appropriated to the use of the Mokans - In the account of 1823, these villages are entered as the endowments of the Mokans, while in the English Account of A.D. 1815, they are placed as subsistence grants of one Mirkhid Fakir. He says that Mir Fakir is a well known Fakir & is a well name of the Ogl Grants - & again they say that they do not know who he was - I don't think that this is a suitable grant as mentioned in the English account of A.D. 1815 - because the Parwana shows that these villages are endowments made to the Mokans - But 1/3 of the villages is appropriated to the use of the Mokans & 2/3 for the personal use of the person of 1861 who must properly be styled as servants of the Mokans - But though they are not doing any service to the Mokans personally by but by employing servants - It is a matter of question whether the 5 Villages enjoyed by the person No 2 & 11 of 1861 are to be treated as personal grants or as Mokans' endowment - It is clear from the Parwana that these villages were granted for the support of the Mokans & their servants - There is not a single place where all the proceeds of the endowments belonging to religious institutions are appropriated to the use of the same without any appropriation - (see next side)

2^d sheet of Mochipatti, Pullampatti & Tulukongolam in the pargana of Sivaganga in the District of Madras

In the parganas of Rammed & Sivaganga - encroachments of Temples & Pathrans are under the management of the farmers -
The parganais are treating them as a portion of their own private property - The persons of 251 & 016 are not inclined to transfer any portion of their 5 shares to the
- use of the Mokans - They plead that the entire Village ~~is~~ should be registered in the name of the Mokans leaving their enjoyments untouched -

The persons of 251 & 016 say that when the ~~shareholders~~ ^{shareholders} die without legal heirs, their shares revert to the Mokans -

On the whole as these Villages were granted for the support of the Mokans I treat them under Rule 11th of the ~~11th~~ ^{11th} leaving their ultimate fate with the Collector -

I think it will be hard to enfranchise these Villages under the Rules applicable to personal grants - The person of 11 failed to prove that the person of 251 was 15 shares more than the
It is proved satisfactorily that the person of 251 is enjoying their shares inheriting them from their grandfather - Hence the person of 11 of 016 is incommensurate -

III. No dispute about the area or about the boundaries on the part of the Rami's Taluk. The boundaries now asserted in the remanent statement

Correspond to those in the Account of 17246. Hence the boundaries are confirmed here & the 459 Annas & 91st parts situated thereon are registered here -

Of these 3 Villages, Tulukongolam is far from the other two Villages - which are contiguous to each other - These Villages are brought on one of the same Register -
as they are entered in lump in all the Accounts -

IV. The average income of these Villages is 284 Rupees per annum as per statement of the holders of the Villages. This is quite false. I raise it to 600 Rupees
as per with reference to the fertility of soil & the adjoining rates of assessment - The holders of these Villages reduce the revenue of the Villages to show that the present
assessment is too high -

The assessment is not diminished much by these Villages -

Ramachandran Rao
10-864

V. It appears that the one Vally is entered opposite the Widows of 2-3 & 4 of 016 is under the attachment of the Moonis's part of Madura
since the last one in year in satisfaction of certain private liabilities of the Widow of 2 of 016 - The other shares in the Village present no objection that the Vally should
not be sold being a portion of the endowment of the Mokans above alluded to - The Moonis's paid no final orders regarding the lands under attachment -

10864
R. S. S. Rao

Register Nos 956, 957 & 958

Sheet No 1121

Sivaganga Taluk.
Madurav
Mochipatti Village
Mochipatti

The accompanying document
has been deposited to the
and kept open for
for delivery within 10 days
dated as a condition of sale