

Longman

96
Mile

[illegible]

Journal of Salugos per Acre
 Day 1-5-5
 Wet 10-13-3

Average Rates of Government Salugos per Acre
 Dry 1-5-5
 Wet 4-6-7

Average Value of grain of Milwarum on wet land in Amara vala kilauston
 Madhanam Consisting of Agre Villages in the Talug of the same name
 for a period of 15 years

From 1250 to 1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Crops out turn	of which grain is at 4.5 per bush	Average prices of grain in Co. 4	Value of grain given in Co. 4	Rates per Acre
1250-1270	3461	45873	21663	1-8-10	23657	9-11-2

13-30
 10-50
 Lakshminia
 nayan
 In Reg. Trivenimala
 of A. D. South Arcot
 1815 District
 none
 In 1844 Desiker alias
 Nanni Divasikamani
 Served Pandarasamudr
 72
 Madam
 Village
 Sainavayal
 area none

(3)
 (4) 1 wife 1 son
 (5) none
 288-
 Visipuzhase
 1815

II I don't consider that the entries on the Accounts of any use to the settlement of the Village as will be seen from the following circumstances. Hence I treat 1/4 of the Village as the endowment of the Tannir Pondal Matt & the 3/4 Village as Darnesaram as proved by tradition, enjoyment & by the descendants of the Agre Pottas & the Pannam Sannam.

III This Village is entered as Agre in the Account of 1721. The Pannam Talukdars please that the Village may not be brought under the settlement as it is entered as Agre in the Account of 1721.

It appears that in Pannambaku Desiker alias Divasikamani Pondaram whose name is entered as Tannam in the Account of 1721, he purchased the 1/4 Village of the Tannir Pondal Matt & 10/16 of 12/16 of the Darnesaram portion of the Village from the family of Tiruvannamalai Matt which is under his management, in A.D. 1815-1828. 1833-1836 & 1837 go Rupees 2165 from one Theenayyengar, Bangarayengar, Mayandi Pannam & Pannam Pannam & Pannam. Four bills are on plain paper. Further it appears that the entire Village was in possession of the said Desiker up to 1725 & that the Pannam Pannam resumed it by reason that it is entered in the Tannir Pondal Account as an Agre Village & not as Darnesaram, that it was once granted to an individual on condition that he should maintain a Tannir Pondal & that his descendants having failed to continue the charity & made over the Village to others, that the Village being granted for charity was not alienable. Further it appears that the Desiker instituted an action against in 1725 for the recovery of the Village with its name property in the deposit of the Collectors office to a sum of Rupees 2671 against the then minor Pannam & R. D. Pannam Esq. Collector & Agent to the Sub Court of Madras. That the Sub Court awarded the Village to the Desiker of 1725 by reason that it is an Agre Village & that the alleged Account of 1721 in which the Village is entered as Agre is not a genuine one - a trustworthy one. In the Decree for Pannam & the Sub Judge says in his decree that there now remains but one point to be decided. The Village being an Agre Village granted for charity was not alienable. The Desiker says it cannot & that the transfer is illegal & quotes Martineau Digest Page 357 = 9 Volume I new series published in 1854 for the purpose of the Tannir Pondal.