Register of Sivitam Village of Sunnamberuppu in the Taluq of Trippattur of Sivagenga zamindari Estate in Madura District

Register No. 876 — Sunnámbiruppu — Surveyed in 1864

Sheet No. 1015

1 Division of the Sivitams in the zemindary of Sivagenga - It is not known from the accounts furnished by the Collector by whom & - to whom & for what purpose this village was granted - It appears from the zemindari recent accounts that the Village was granted by the Istleman zemindar to one Sagalinga - Sarvaigaren on service tenure & that the nature of the service was tantamount to that of a Head Peon or Despasar of Zamindar - It appears that the Istleman zemindar resumed the Village some half century ago - & treated it as Ayan or Manai it as Ayan; as Sivitams were considered generally - from ignorance, as Ayan - In A.D 1878, this & 21 other Villages were formed into a Sub division of the zemindary by the Board of Revenue at the request of the Istleman zemindar & it was conferred upon one Matta Ramalinga Tever - an illegitimate son of the Istleman zemindar - In sanctioning the Sub Division the Board was careful enough to say that, it is not responsible for the legality of the transaction, because one of the sons of the Istleman zemindar pleaded strenuously against the formation of the Sub Division - Since the transfer of the Sub Division to the said Tever, his heirs sold a portion of the Sub Division lands to others & deposed some of the In forming the Sub Division, a sum of Rupees 7313-15-6 out of the Peishcush of Rupees 262500-00 of the zemindary was allotted to it - Out of the former sum, a sum of Rupees 430-0-5- fell to the share of the Village under settlement - The Poruppu of Rupees 580-5- given in the alleged Istleman Account of 7121 is a mistake - & it was not collected any time - The Poruppu of Rupees 430-0-5- fixed at the time of forming the Sub Division was reduced to 475 11 6 - by the Sub Division zamindar in making a division of property between himself & his brothers & (Vide enclosed)

To be confirmed under Rule III -

Zemindars Dues - 475 11 6

Good 2 1/8 = 281 Rupees -

See remarks on Reg.r No 530 - 3/7/65

Sivagenga

1 Rani — Pichamma Nachiar Age 46 yrs — 35

2 Sons

5 Daughters in Law

Alienation

Sundaram Ayy.

Rajakari 3 — 8 — 0

Sivitam Sódi the - aspect Setimé ran accot 580-5-	The name of grantor and the Date is unknown	Accot of Fr 1211	The name of original grantee Sivitam Sunnam biruppu Sagalinga Sarvai-garen	Fr 1244	Sivagenga 1 Rani — Pichamma Nachiar Age 46 yrs — 35	Fr 1244
		Accot of Fr 1244		Fr 1263		
	Sub Divn	Accot of Fr 1263	Ranja (Viraydi) — as per accot 477-7-	Sunnam biruppu		
Hamnic as per accot 158-4 7 —		Board Regr of A.D 1815	Ranja (Viraydi) — as per accot 334-42	Sunnam biruppu		
Remainder 430-0-5		Pro Patta of the zemindar	Inamdar Ranja (Viraydi) accot 487-11-1/2	A 6		
Sabaigaren Jeevitam 45-0-7			Do — Do — 341-46	A 6		
Total 475 11 6			Punja (Kurkans) 97	A 6		
				Total A 6 395-78		
				Fr 1244		
				Inam Regr of A.D 1815		
				None		

Deduct Poramboke

Bed of Tank (Kurkans)	392	219-52
Pond — (do) —	None	
Village site (do) —	11 —	6-16
Temple site (do) —	5 —	2-80
		228-48
Remainder		476-02

Deduct Devadayam

Ranja — (Viraydi)	16-3-3 1/2	11-38
Remainder		464-64

Ranja — (Viraydi)	461-3 1/2	322-87	
Cultivation Cheri — do — (do) —	10-4 1/2	7-21	
	471-7-4	330-28	
Punja — (Kurkans) —	151 —	84-56	
do —			
Total	464-64	27248	

Rates of Government Talugs per Acre

	Max	Min
Dry	3—9—9.	—1—7.
Wet	—6—13 3.	—5—9.

Average Rates of Government Talugs per Acre

Dry	1—5—6
Wet	4—6—1.

Average Value of grain of Meidvaram or wet land in Trivadeyápatti Emiinnam consisting of Ayen Villages in the Talug of Trippattur for a period of 15 years.

From Fl 1250 to Fl 1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Gross out turn	of which zamindari share at 45 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of grains in Col 4	Rates per Acre
H M P	A — O	H M P	H M P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
5424 — 2 — 5	3803 — 94	46641 — 11 — 1	21607 — 2 — 5	1 — 8 — 8	33310 — 7 — 2	8 — 12 4.

II As the Village was held as personal grant during the last half century, as appears from the Sub Division Accounts of 1822, I recommend to confirm the Village upon the 8 of assessment.

III ..... No Pattah was about the Area or about the boundaries of the Tirvaram on the part of the Rayi Vattam. The boundaries of the Village are not recorded in any of the Accounts - The recognized boundaries of the Village which are inserted in the remarks statement are confirmed & the 654 Acres 4 50 Cents estimated therein are registered here - The recognized boundaries of the Village proved to be a correct one by the Rayi's Vakeel &

IV ..... In the AD 1861, Pitchama Nachiyar, the present holder of the Village, purchased the entire Village for Rupees 48000 from one Kuruvikóttayai Pavvy alias Ramaswamy Tavei - who is younger brother of the late Sub Division Jemmen Muttusámalinga Tavei - Bill of sale on a stamped paper worth 300 Rupees - The said Pitchama Nachiyar is the mother of the Ex-jemmendar. The genuineness of the transaction proved by 3 of the Witnesses who attested the document. The transaction also proved to be bonafide - Enjoyment proved - The Village is in possession of the Vendees since the date of the purchase - The seller Ramaswamy Tavei is alive - The late Vakeel of the seller Ramaswamy Tavei presented a Petition some time stating that the said Ramaswamy Tavei sold the Village without their consent on understanding that the purchaser paid a portion of the value of the Village evading the payment of the remainder & requesting to Register the Village in their names - Explained to the person who brought the Petition to me that it is proved by 3 of the Witnesses, who are respectable men, who attested the bill of sale that the transaction is bonafide & that the purchaser paid the entire Value of the Village to the seller & that the Village is in possession of the purchaser & his children - I see no ground for the complaint on the part of the wife & Children of the seller & passed an endorsement on the Petition to dispute a Vakeel at once if the Petitioners have any claim to the Village, with such interests as they may have in their possession in support of their claim - Petitioners have not at a distance of about 10 miles from my office. They never deputed a Vakeel to me upto the day though the endorsement was passed a fortnight ago - I see no ground to cancelling the transaction of the sale even if the Petitioners deputed their Vakeel because the transaction proved to be bonafide - & because the seller is not an imbecile person. The seller finding no ground to prefer himself any complaint against the transaction of the sale, he sent a Petition in the name of his wife & children - Under the Hindoo Law, a husband is at liberty to dispose of his property at his discretion - Hence the Village is registered in the name of the Woman of old - who is the actual purchaser of the Village & real holder of the same -

V The Vakeel of the Rany Kattama Nachiyar claimed the Village by reason that Rany Pitchama Nachiyar, the Mother of the Ex-jemmendar & grandmother of the Village, purchased it from the proceeds of the Ayen Villages in the ground that which were granted by the ex-jemmendar often & that under the tenure of the record - division of the Privy Council such property reverts to the Rany Kattama Nachiyar - The Vakeel of Pitchama Nachiyar pleads that his client purchased the Village from her private funds & not from the proceeds of the Ayen Villages granted other former references.

(Vide next sheet)

I consider that the arguments of both of the Vakeels are unreasonable & reject the Ramjo Vakeel to the Civil Court - The present holder of the Village is the Daughter of the Daughter of the Tatimar geminder -

Valuation -

III - .... The Lands in this Village depend upon 5 Tanks - of these one is large & four are small - All these Tanks are in repair as reported by the Vakeel of the holder of this Village - They are fed by one of the branch channels of Palar - They are filled annually - Scarcity of Water is not felt generally - The supplying channel is an influential one - The Vakeel of the present holder of the Village says that all the Dry & Wet lands in this Village are fullwatered annually in full - This is true - In the Taluq in which the Inwtaam is situated is noted for the fertility of soil &c - Some of the Wet lands are fullwatered annually 2d time - No garden crops are raised in this Village - Nanjah & Punjah lands are of superior quality generally - The Village is in flourishing state - Soil is of Regar description - The Villagers of the adjoining Villages say that this is one of the best Villages in the Government of Sivagangai - Nothing to say much regarding this Village as the Vakeel of the holder of the same admits honestly that all the Dry & Wet lands are under cultivation from the fertility of soil &c - The average income of this Village is 1018 Rupees per annum as per statement of the Vakeel of the holder of this Village - I am sorry that the Vakeel was not honest in revealing the truth of the income of this Village - The contiguous Agent Villages are Sevarambuppi, Kaliyapatty & Kotay Ruppe - The average Wet assessment of these Villages comes to in aggregate to 8 8 0 per acre - The average Dry assessment of the said Agent Villages varies from 1 2 0 to 0 13 6 per acre - The Wet average assessment is a garione ~~in~~ The Dry lands are ~~fully~~ not fit ~~to be~~ converted into Wet - In consideration of the fertility of soil &c, I frame the valuation of this Village as follows -

Wet - 330 Acres fullwatered annually in full & partly 2d time at 8 Rupees per acre	2640	0	0
Dry 84½ Acres fullwatered at 1 Rupee per acre	84	8	0
Total	2724	8	0

Sheet No. 1016

Register No. 876

Note. The Vakeel of the present holder of the Village says - that he did not conceal any lands situated within the boundary of this Village - & that he will forfeit it to Govt - if any charge is proved by measurement - This is an usual story - The people are not afraid of Warnings - The Wet area brought on this Register is the same as the extent entered in the respective Tatimar Account -

Rumalandi 19-7-64

A Sivaswamy Asst D

Register of Sheets in the Village of Sunnambiruppu in Tripatur Taluq of Sivagangai Zamindary in Madura District

Register No. 26

Sunnambiruppu Sivilians Village

Sheet No. 1629

1	Devadaigan Vanji Varaiyan	Nanja Varaiyan	1-3-1½ / 2	86	Income per statement of Revenue 6-8-2	Fort the Godi Assessment 1-14-4	Per Kist	Per Kist	Aul of Fasli	Alagichi Tirai ya Vinaiyagan Nan	Sunnambiruppu Alagiya Vinayakan Worshipper 1 Sappadu Land 50 2 Nilakanto Poudaram 25	Taluq Rate III Classification Land 1-14-4						I.D.No. 69 Cast No. 6 16/2/64 I.D.S.
												Sunnambiruppu Village Site Land 2-11-63						
2	Devadaigan Vanji Varaiyan	Nanja Varaiyan	12-6-38-73		52-8-7	Fort the Godi Assessment 11-10-2	Per Kist	Do	Do	1 Tembo Vaichi Tirai Nayanar 2 Kodu Kaichiya Nayanar 3 Nemmeni Iyanar 4 Karungali Andan Nanja Varaiyan 12-6-3 A 8-73	Sunnambiruppu 1 Tembadai Nayanar 2 Kodukkiri Iyanar 3 Nemmeni Iyanar Worshipper Nanja Karaipo Velan 40 2 Pitchai Velan 30	Taluq Rate III Classification Land 2-11-63						I.D.No. 70 Cast No. 6
3	Devadaigan	Nanja Varaiyan	2-7-5-1 / 2	1-80		Fort the Godi Assessment 11-15-1	Per Kist	Do	Do	Viro Mugali Ammon Nanja Varaiyan 2-7-5½ A 1-80	Sunnambiruppu Viramugali Ammon Worshipper 1 Sappadu Karan 50 2 Nilakanto Poudaram 25	To be continued under Taluq Rate III Classification Land 2-11-63						I.D.No. 71 Cast No. 6 16/2/64 I.D.S.

Completed

Jean Louis