

1 This one of the Darnicawan Villages in the former grant of Paragangsa - It was granted by Mahi Balirama Rajah to Personals Engager & others of "Kone Gola" & "Mina Putra". This is a hereditary grant. There is uninterrupted possession of its lands since the date of the grant. The bar is Patta. It has no loss.  
The Area of the Village & that of another Village known by the name of Kallad is given in lump - in all the Accounts. It would become necessary to split the Area as these two Villages are held by the people unconnected with each other. They are distinct from each other. Thus in splitting the Area, the agl area of this Village came to 1-50 futs of Wd & 18 Am & 60 futs of Dry - The map added to this - ~~the map shows~~ <sup>the map shows</sup> ~~the area in which~~ <sup>the area in which</sup> Poruga was formerly situated as the Agl Area of the Village. ~~The boundary now marked in the vernacular statement -~~  
~~fixed at No. 10. all over about the Area or about the corner stones on the part of the River's Taked. The boundary now marked in the vernacular statement -~~  
Correspond to those in the Account of 17266 - Hence the Area of 81 Am & 30 futs calculated without the paper limits of the Village ~~is confirmed here -~~  
as shown in the map - The lands are subdivided Poruga is paid on the number

Periya Ruper 5<sup>th</sup> is paid on the Village under settlement - the village is called  
 II The persons of 1816 are not any way related to the Off. Granter - The Grand Father of the persons N<sup>o</sup> 1 to 4 of 1816 - the father of the persons N<sup>o</sup> 5 & 6 of 1816 -  
 purchased the entire Village in A.D. 1832-1836-1837-1841- & 1846 - for Rupees 925 - from Perimal Eyyangar, Shanmuga Perumal, Talappayyan,  
 Periya Family & Periya Ruper Lammal - Seven bulls are on plain paper decess. The value of the persons of 1816 says that he has no art or power to prove  
 the genuineness of these documents nor does the family of the Off. Granter is extant - Perimal Eyyangar one of the sellers was the person of  
 the Village of the persons of 1816 & 1846 - the persons of 1816 & 1846 are all the same persons of the Village for the payment of  
 (This must case) in A.D. 1833-1834-1835-  
 1837-1838-1839-1840

[illegible]

1845-1846  
To be confirmed under Rule  
VII.  
Remission of Tax = 5-11-8.  
Confirmed as recommended in our L. D. on a 2 R of Rs 24  
2/11/64  
Rudershow Ros G.  
Rantner  
31 5 14  
5

Water of Government Salage per Acre

Mar 1899

Day 399 "17

Net 10133 "59

Average water of Government Salage per Acre

Day 156

Net 461

Average Value of grain of Kichu on wet land in Puthajedi  
Maganam containing 87000 Acres of River Salage  
for the period of 15 years  
A

| From Sub<br>to Sub | Cultivated<br>area in<br>acres | Grass<br>acres | Of which<br>Government<br>Share at<br>45 per<br>cent | Average<br>Price of<br>grain | Value of the<br>quantity of<br>grain given<br>in 600 | Water<br>per<br>Acre |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1                  | 2                              | 3              | 4                                                    | 5                            | 6                                                    | 7                    |
| 355                | 3                              | 296            | 37                                                   | 2507                         | 11085                                                | 1-7-9                |
|                    |                                |                |                                                      |                              | 16287                                                | 6-9-9                |

Share of  
Water In these receipts, which proved the genuineness by the name of the present holder of the village is made - hence they are of no use - the following is not given - The village pay that the present holder of the village purchased the village & enjoying it since long - they are unable to say who sold the village to the present holder & how the seller is related to the village - On the whole as the said following is not given I recommend to the holder of the village purchased the village & enjoying it, I recommend to confer the same upon him on 1/8 of assessment.

III. The lands depend upon one tank of moderate size - It is in repair - It is fed by a single stream only the water being the joint of the adjoining villages - The tank is filled annually. Security of water is not full generally. The tank of the person of all say that 25 persons are followed annually - 11 persons are followed as mentioned the person being that 10 persons are followed annually & the rest as mentioned - There is some exaggeration in the matter of water as appears from the statement of the adjacent villages - Some of the best lands are followed annually 2 times - Mangala & Pungala lands are of a superior quality generally. The soil is black in colour - Harvest is good. The village is in pretty good state. The average income of the village is just about of the value of the person. 16 is 200 Rupees per annum - The person being the person of the village is Pungala - The average (1st) income of the village calculated for a period of 15 years person 57727 & 2 persons 6 Rupees per annum. The average dry season <sup>1/2</sup> per acre on the top of the mountain. A good village - The Garden crops are raised in the village - In consideration of the fertility of soil & I frame the valuation of the village as follows -

• Wet 30 Acre followed at 60 Rupees per acre - 180 -  
• Wet 6 1/4 Acre at 1 Rupee per acre - 6 - 480 -  
• Dry 10 Acre followed at 12 - per acre - 11 - 6 -  
• Dry 6 1/4 Acre at 4 - per acre - 4 - 12 - 130  
Total - 199 - 1 - 0

Rinal  
51-5-10

JP