

Registers of Juntam Village of Kattiyam & Karam in the village of Saram in the district of Saram

No	Share
No 1 & 2 are enjoying	2 1/2
No 3	1 1/2
No 4	1 1/2
No 5	1
No 6 & 7	1 1/2
No 8 to 11	1 1/2
No 12	1 1/2
No 13	1 1/2
No 14	1

Register No 91 Saram

This is the first time in the history of Saram that this was granted by Saram Panyo Vanya to Saram
 Mohammed as per statement of the year 16. It is not written in the account of 1224 or in any other subsequent account by whom it was
 granted. It is not known equally on what terms this was granted. The present holder of the village says that
 it was granted for the service of Kattiyam & Karam by paying the pension - when he goes out & when he folds his hands for listening
 with his friends, songsters & they are doing their duty up to the day - An account furnished by the pensioner agent & the pensioner shows that
 this was granted for the said Kattiyam & Karam services - By agreement that he has no fear of what the pensioner pays better to them when they go out -
 The service is of a continued nature & not of an occasional one - If we go on asking the pensioner whether how he likes to be treated then
 grants, he will of course close every Saram village as his pension is an emolument granted for his private service - & -
 The pensioner, if he is not paying any thing to Kattiyam & Karam, with the hope of their salary, he must begin now to pay something to them
 monthly - He is deriving much benefit from the waste land on that was bought subsequently under plough & the value of which is not included
 in the assets of the permanent settlement - God have no reverence right in it. I see no reason why Govt should deny justice then right in
 the hands of the pensioner which is not included in the assets but Panyo - above - No talker about the area about the boundaries on the
 part of the pensioner's estate. The boundaries now written in the permanent register correspond to those in the account of 1224 - Hence the area

per each of 1224
 Saram Panyo 58-40-60
 Saram 16-4-11-40
 Saram 70-79
 Saram 52-1-1
 Saram 18-6-8
 Saram 50-9-8-6-70
 Saram 50-3-11-2-10
 Saram 16-4-11-40
 Saram 40-60
 Saram 27-1-75
 Saram 33-11-23-10
 Saram 22-7-15-75

Juntam	Statement	Statement	Statement	Statement	Statement
1	58-40-60	1	58-40-60	1	58-40-60
2	16-4-11-40	2	16-4-11-40	2	16-4-11-40
3	70-79	3	70-79	3	70-79
4	52-1-1	4	52-1-1	4	52-1-1
5	18-6-8	5	18-6-8	5	18-6-8
6	50-9-8-6-70	6	50-9-8-6-70	6	50-9-8-6-70
7	50-3-11-2-10	7	50-3-11-2-10	7	50-3-11-2-10
8	16-4-11-40	8	16-4-11-40	8	16-4-11-40
9	40-60	9	40-60	9	40-60
10	27-1-75	10	27-1-75	10	27-1-75
11	33-11-23-10	11	33-11-23-10	11	33-11-23-10
12	22-7-15-75	12	22-7-15-75	12	22-7-15-75

Sheet No 89

52. This is confirmed here. The village consists of 7 1/2
 equal tracts. By an injured as shown in the map -
 The lands are undivided. The Panyo collector and the last
 half century is 18 1/2 - No talker now about it. Hence
 the sum of 18 1/2 now collected by the pensioner is adopted
 in the settlement - (This must be)

To be confirmed on half - 1802
 assessment - m/2 a per amount,
 the service being no
 longer accepted.
 2 R Rs 82.

Noah Family
 20 1/2 = 82
 24/10/13

28/11/33
 28/11/33

Total 557-38-85

183

Oct 10-13-3

July 1-5-6

Oct 4-6-1



261-11-1	2983-26
----------	---------

1 The Village is omitted from the Board's Register from the account of 17223 -
- by some mistake or other - The papers are descumants of the Original Grantee -
- The nature of the duty of the Surveyor may be of some tantamount to a doubt
- No talk ever about shares in the Village -

Valentia
The house in the village depend upon one Tank of moderate size - It is in repair -
It is fed by a Jungle stream & also by the Tanks of the adjacent adjacent Villages -
The people of the village are mostly agriculturists. The people of the village are mostly agriculturists.

Kanbury
281183

Rates of Government Salago per Acre

	Maximum	Minimum
dry	3-9-9	1-7
wet	2-13-3	5-9

Average Rates of Government Salago per Acre

dry	1-5-6
wet	4-6-1

Average Value of grain of Milvarado on wet land in Magalam Mahanaram consisting of Ayaw Villages in the Taluk of Mangalam - For a period of 15 years.

From 1256 to 1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Crop sown	Of which grain sown at 60 per cent	Average price of grain	Value of quantity of grain grown in Col.	Rates per Acre
1298-4	2	9208-82	84281-3-1	37630-4-11	1-8-4-57236-7-2	6-2-4

II No Talk about the area or about the boundaries on the part of the zamindars. The boundaries now mentioned in the revenue statement correspond to those in the account of 1244.

- Since the area of 276 acres & 36 fathoms is confirmed here as the extent of the Village.
- Half of which belongs to the Dhanu asan portion & the rest to the Ayaw portion of the Village. The Village Dhanu asan portion of half Village consists of 20 equal Villages.
- They are enjoyed as shown in the margin of the preceding side - The lands are divided -
- Porupur is paid on the number of Villages equally & permanently.

III This Village was originally granted to the Bramins of various families through Venkateswara. Ayaw & Dhanu is not a single account which contains the names of the present holders of the Village & of their ancestors. The dhanu asan say that the individuals against whose names the words should be down are descendants of the descendants of the Original Grantors.

a descendants of the forerunners of the 13 & others are aliened from the accounts of Karanad. On the account of the details of the dhanu asan show that all the 16 are right full & legal owners of their shares respectively. Karanad is the person whose name is entered in the account of descendants of the 13. His family is not in existence now - The dhanu asan have Karanad as a name of about half Centuries.

The persons of 1-6-8-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-20-21-22-26-27-38-39-40-450-

1016 purchased lands in 1277-1807-1802-1803-1812-1813-1817-1818-1825-1832-1834-1840-1841-1846-1848-1853-1855-1858-1859-1860 for (Vide notes)

10. Kuttanayya	10	So	(10)	2 Elder Brothers
11. Kuttanayya	10	So	(11)	2 sons
12. Kuttanayya	10	So	(12)	2 sons
13. Kuttanayya	10	So	(13)	1 wife
14. Kuttanayya	10	So	(14)	1 wife
15. Kuttanayya	10	So	(15)	1 wife
16. Kuttanayya	10	So	(16)	1 wife
17. Kuttanayya	10	So	(17)	1 wife
18. Kuttanayya	10	So	(18)	1 wife
19. Kuttanayya	10	So	(19)	1 wife
20. Kuttanayya	10	So	(20)	1 wife
21. Kuttanayya	10	So	(21)	1 wife
22. Kuttanayya	10	So	(22)	1 wife
23. Kuttanayya	10	So	(23)	1 wife
24. Kuttanayya	10	So	(24)	1 wife

The preceding side -

Valuation of the Darmaanam portion of half Village -

1. The lands depend upon two Tanks of large size - The Tanks are in repair - They are fed by one of the branches (channels) of Koller & by a Jungle Stream - The Tanks are filled annually - Security of Water is not generally felt - For the larger portion there are no regular *Agroobad* accounts - The accounts that show *Agroobad* are not worthy of reliance - because I have from my personal experience in this part of the country - the lands are not at all measured before preparing *Agroobad* accounts - & the figures usually found in showing systems are in full play here - When a Village produces 500 *Kallen* of *gama golliluvaram* - half of it actually comes to the *gammam* & the *gammam* *Thellapozanam* of 51 *Acres* of *Wd* as the lands cultivated annually - & the rest as waste - The *Thellapozanam* say that 36 *Acres* of land as waste are of 10 years uncultivated lands - & that they are full water previous to it - with *Pujah* crops - This is not worthy of reliance - In enquiring into it I have seen the *Thellapozanam* say that there is now as much waste in *Kanjach* as there was some years ago - There are large tracts of dry lands notwithstanding that I have seen of the extent that is cleared as waste by the *Thellapozanam*, as the lands that are under cultivation and the rest as waste - $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{1}{8}$ of the *Wd* areas are said to be full water & the rest of dry soil is of a very superior quality generally - The average assess ment of the larger portion of half Village for a period of 10 years comes to 5720 per *Acres* - The average income of the *Darmaanam* portion of half Village comes in per *Acres* of the *Thellapozanam* is 378 of 8 - The *Thellapozanam* will not show me the accounts upon which they calculate the income - The Village is on the whole in flourishing state - The contiguous *Agroobad* is *Mongalam* - This is an important one - For the larger portion of half Village is not in flourishing state from want of proper care on the part of the *gammam* - In consideration of the fertility of soil - I frame the valuation of the *Darmaanam* portion as follows -

- *Wd* 51 *Acres* full water annually in full & partly 20 *Acres* at 53/4 open per *Acres* 293-4-0
 - *Wd* 18 *Acres* of average quality at 40 open per *Acres* 72-0-0
 - *Wd* 16 1/2 *Acres* at 20 open per *Acres* partly occasional waste & partly full to full cultivation 37-0-0
 Dry 23 1/4 *Acres* at 20 open per *Acres* -----

Kanjach
 4-264-3
 58-0-0
 389-7-0
 407-2-0

Sivar Kottai	(42)
42 Selva	1 wife
Regunida	Al
Sewu - 55	
Varaniam	(43)
43 Muthuram	1 wife
Sewu - 45	1 daughter
44 Soma sun	(44)
Dara Sewu	1 wife
25	1 daughter
Savara Kottai	
45 Sunduvila	(45)
Periyaluda	1 wife
46 Sewu	2 sons
25	
46 Sunduvila	(46)
Sewu - 55	1 wife
47 Adurda	(47)
Yama Pandu	1 wife
50	2 sons
Kallivayal	
48 Ramayya	(48)
50	1 son
49 Anantara	(49)
25a Eastri	1 wife
45	
50 Sakkaluga	(50)
Pandaram	
55	

Value per *Acres* 407-2-0

This is admitted by the *Thellapozanam* to be a fair valuation - But I am sorry that the *Thellapozanam* are suggesting that the existing waste