of Devadayam Village of Sōlamkōttai in the [illegible] of Nattarasankōttai of Sivagangai Zamindari [illegible]

Sōlamkottai

[illegible]

gister No. 1230

I Kilakkolam Yendal
II Randangottan —
III Settiyendal —

No. 1398

I This is one of the Villages in the zemindary of Sivagangai. It appears from all the Accounts [illegible] that [illegible] granted for the support of the Temple of Sri Kanaka [illegible] Swamy in Nattarasankottai. The Temple is [illegible] [illegible] Villages besides [illegible] & the names of which are given in the margin. Of these, Kilakkolam Yendal & the Village of Randangottan are held for the support of the Temple & the Village under [illegible] & another Village named Settiyendal are held by certain persons who are not connected any way to the [illegible] as personal grant. The details of which given in the margin.

II ...... The person No 17 & 6 pleads that his father purchased the Village in AD 1806 for rupees 4610 with another Village named Veera[illegible] [illegible] previously on two occasions in the [illegible] for rupees 4612 from one Gopalakrishna Sarvai [illegible]. The father of the latter purchased it in AD 1779 [illegible] of one Gopala [illegible] for 377 Rupees from one Swarna [illegible] [illegible] of 1806 & 1779 are on plain palmyra [illegible] [illegible] receipts granted by the zemindar [illegible] the zemindari by the Rajah's Vakeel. No witnesses or other evidence to prove the genuineness of these old documents. The person [illegible] payment of Poruppu for Faslis 1239-1240-1242-1243-1245-1246 1248-1249 & 1274-

[illegible] acct of F 1211
[illegible] (Veeraypdi) 7-7-1-5-25
[illegible]) — 2-6-5-1-75
10 — 7-00
[illegible] 6-16
13-16
[illegible] 7-1-5-25
[illegible] (80) — 2-6-5-1-75
7-00
[illegible] 3-36
Total 10-36

455 —

IV Todi Extr.
Descriptive & amount at time of acct [illegible] 3-9-5

The name of grantor and the date of grant

Acct of F 1214
Marata acct of F 1223
Boundary acct of F 1264
Board Reg of AD 1815
Ayakat acct of F 1263

Sthree Karikalacholiswara swami

Do F 1211
Nattarasankottai
Karikalacholiswara swami
Sōlangon dan
[illegible] (Veeraypdi)
7-7-1-A 6 5-25
[illegible] acct of F 1211
Do Do

Do F 1213
Nattarasankottai
Kariyalam Sōliswara swami
Elamgondan
[illegible] (Veeraypdi)
7-7-1
A 6
5-25
Do F 1263
Virdappa nam Muttusami Subedar Sōlamkottai [illegible] (Veeraypdi)

Panmgadi
Muttu rama lingam pillai 46 } A C

To be confirmed with the Conformed [illegible]
XVI of 3 —
[illegible] 3 7

personal [illegible] [illegible]

Govt 20/2 [illegible]

Temp. [illegible] 25.11.64

5

in Village
of A.D.
1815
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
the Charges
of Pagoda of
Harihala
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
F.
1244
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

III Only in the Account of F. 1263, the Villag[illegible] the name of the
Fall of the f. of 16 — On the Rangi Va[illegible] reads that as
Village is an endowment of the Temple, it may be registered in the name
same & admits that the Village is not in possession of the Temple since
27 years as appears from the Accounts of the zemindar & states that he [illegible]
knows how long since, the Village is not held for the support of the Temple —
There is not any evidence to prove that the entries in the Account of F. [illegible]
1223. 1226 - 27 & 44 are false. However as it is proved that the Village [illegible]
A.D. 1815
of the [illegible] off since the last 30 years I would recommend to
[illegible] 16 on being assessed [illegible] Rule XVI of Reg. III — There
that the zemindar ought to might have ~~granted~~ disposed of the Village [illegible]
of the object of the grant —

IV No [illegible] about the boundaries on the part of the Rangi Vakeel
[illegible] boundaries [illegible]
of F. 1244. Hence the boundaries are [illegible] made here [illegible] situated
are registered here

Valuation

V Wet lands in this Village depend upon a [illegible] It is in repair. It is fed by a Temple [illegible]
The Tank is filled annually. Soil is of medium character generally. Wet & dry lands [illegible]
in full. Only the Wet lands are fully watered [illegible] annually. There is a small & insignificant Village
The average income of the Village as per statement of the [illegible] of the Village is 11 [illegible] per annum. This is not [illegible]
The average income of the contiguous Ozeen Village of Ramgady is 10 Rupees per annum. This is very [illegible] —
I find that there is some mistake in detailed account on which the average is framed. The [illegible] of the Village
not so fertile as that of the contiguous Villages. In consideration of the fertility of soil &c I [illegible]
[illegible] the Village as follows —

[illegible] of A. Cultivated at 6 Rupees per — 42
Dry 3 [illegible] & 36 [illegible] at 10 Rupees — 3 [illegible]