



From collector to B<sup>d</sup>  
29 June 1840  
Barnes Proceedings  
det'd 21 July 1840

♂ *Stenurus jamaica*  
 X *Prunus* 5/16.  
 H. This comes equal to  
 5/16 of the egg area.

"of Longmoss  
"in Pittwater Page  
"being private prop  
Some time for

"I have the honor to bring to the Board's notice, that Goway Vallabshah Tany, late  
of Longmungal, bestowed as gift to one Pabbenger on the 1<sup>st</sup> June 1829, 21 Kallams & 21 allanals (Tanying)  
in Pithary Tager & Kallams & allanals in Tottamindal Darnasaman Villages in the Taluk of Briss Vatt  
being present prop of the zemindary in no way belonging to the Estate. As the Guwarang was never attached  
some time for in Pithary, the lands in question were not put in possession of Pabbenger. The zemindary  
on the 18 June 1829, & on the 1<sup>st</sup> September following the zemindary was restored to the  
W. H. M. S. Pringle & Co. & Co."

II The houses in the Village are engaged according to the margin of the preceding column.  
Pompey is paid as <sup>usual</sup> ~~the~~ detached in the said margin.

V. — The 5/6 of the village granted by the Estemur Governor in A.D. 1827 to the person of [redacted] was acquired previously by purchase long ago or in about A.D. 1800 from [redacted] the Deputy Magistrate. No bill of sale. Being purchased by the transaction of the state proved to be bought by the account of the Governor dated so far back as 1800 & from the marginally noted correspondence.

II. The Rany's Vakeel pleads that as the 5% of the Village was granted to the person who  
in A.D. 1829 by the <sup>late</sup> Government the Grand Father of the said Jeminsar, who so was known by the name  
Muttu Vasagunda Tona, successor of the late Maratha Jeminsar, the gift should be annulled & the  
said 5% of the Village should be registered in the name of the Rany, as the successors of the late  
Jeminsar were pronounced to be <sup>and</sup> certain free owners of the Jeminsary, In a recent decision of the  
The correspondence already noted in the margin will show that the 5% of the Village was granted by the  
Jeminsar & not by any successors - To make the matter clear I enter below copy of the said correspondence.  
From this it is evident that the claiming the Rany's Vakeel is inadmissible -

2<sup>nd</sup> sheet of Attorney General's in Arer Vallabhi Leber in Singapore Land in the area is but

The father of the Em garrison On the 5<sup>th</sup> March 1830 the lands, in conformity to the Deed were given to Pubbangor & he held possession of them, paying a Damassan Rent of 7 per annum for publ, until July 1248 being 10 years, but agreeably to an order from the Court of Sudder Judicial, directing the resumption of all lands alienated by the Em garrison & his Father Muttu Vasaga Periya Vasaga Tenn, the lands of Pubbangor were also attached considering they were "put in his possession by the Em garrison & his Father Muttu Vasaga Periya Vasaga Tenn & not by Gowry Vallabhi Tenn, who purchased the Deed of Pubbangor" "claims the lands, & by the Board permission to surrender them to him." X X X.

Attorney  
General

Board Res dated 20 July 1840 - X X X X "As the lands referred to by Mr. Black Commissioner in the foregoing letter were granted to Pubbangor by Gowry Vallabhi Tenn late garrison of Singapore & not by the Em garrison nor by his Father Muttu Vasaga Periya Vasaga Tenn, the name of the Court of the Sudder Judicial did not apply to the resumption. & that Board accordingly authorize their restoration." X X X X.

VII. The sum of 5 of 1846 was the all conceded to the Attorney General & the 5<sup>th</sup> of the Village was granted to him with the full powers of alienation & in the shape of gift in reward of his services - This is a hereditary grant -

Valuation

VIII. Wet lands in the Village depend upon one Tank of moderate size - It is fed by a Spring Stream It is in repair - The lands in general are fertile & water is not great generally - Dry land lands are cultivated annually some a few Ans left waste occasionally by one person or other - Some of the land lands are cultivated annually 2 times - No garden crops are raised - Kanjah & Pingah lands are as a superior quality generally - The Village is in flourishing state like the adjacent Agar & Villages - The average income of the Village is 80 Peper per annum as per statement of the Mahaganam This is not true - The Contiguous Agar Villages are Kela Pini Jury & Solapannam; The average land assessment of these Villages calculated for a period of 15 years previous to 1870 comes to 9 9 10 & 6 5 0 per acre respectively - The average Dry assessment varies from 2 3 4 to 1 1 9 per acre - The Mahaganam says that the soil of the Village may be placed on par with that of the Contiguous Agar Villages - The average land assessment of the Village comes to 8 Peper per acre - Soil is fertile - The highest rate of Dry assessment is charged with for quigly oil seed which is not grown in the Village -

The consideration of the fertility of soil &c I from the valuation of the Village as follows -

|                  |                                                                                                                                                  |         |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Register No. 686 | - Wet 17 <u>Ans</u> <u>cultivated</u> once annually & <u>partly</u> 2 <sup>d</sup> time at 80 <u>Peper</u> per <u>acre</u> <sup>as per</sup> 136 |         |
|                  | - Wet 2 1/4 <u>Ans</u> <u>cultivated</u> at 10 <u>Peper</u> per <u>acre</u> <sup>as per</sup> 2-4-0                                              | 1984    |
|                  | - Dry 3 <u>Ans</u> <u>cultivated</u> at 1 1/2 <u>Peper</u> per <u>acre</u> <sup>as per</sup> 4-8-0                                               |         |
|                  | - Dry 3 1/2 <u>Ans</u> <u>cultivated</u> at 1 1/2 <u>Peper</u> per <u>acre</u> <sup>as per</sup> 1-12-0                                          | 6-4-0   |
|                  | Total                                                                                                                                            | 144-8-0 |

Sheet No. 893

Ranking  
13-84

Attorney  
General