Register No. 354

Sheet No. 474

Chinna Kannanur.

I This is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Sevaganga - This was granted as Dharmasanam by the Zemindars of Sivaganga to the Brahmin families of Nanji Gotra & Nana Sutra for subsistence - This is a hereditary grant - There is an uninterrupted possession of its holders since the date of the grant - This has no Patta - It was lost - The Village is supported by all the accounts of 1/2 - This Village is omitted from the English account of A.D. 1815, Copy of which is forwarded to the Board of Revenue by some mistake - No Talkan about the Area or about the boundaries on the part of the Ramnad Zamindar - The boundaries correspond to those in the Account of Fasli 1244 - Hence the Area of 748 Acres 41 Cents is confirmed here - The excess in Dry lands is a marked one - The Mahajanams wrote a remark in the remarks statement that if it is proved by a personal examination that they have encroached upon any extent of the contiguous Ayan lands, they will relinquish such encroached lands with their mesne profits - to the Zemindar - They again said that they will not enter into the boundary line of the contiguous Ayan Villages, which is recorded in the Account of Fasli 1244 - Hence the excess is attributable to the defective old Accounts - The excess was not entered even in the Account of Fasli 1244 - From year that the Zemindar will resume it -

II This Village consists of 64 equal Shittes. They are enjoyed as shown in the margin of the 2nd side of the 3rd sheet of this Register - The lands are divided - & they are not exchanged once the last 32 years - Hence all the Shittes become permanent & hereditary & they are liable to exchange if all the Mahajanams agree to it - Porgus is paid on the number of Shittes equally & permanently -

III The persons No 1 to 17 & 116 are descendants of the persons No 13 - & the persons No 18 to 38 & No 16 are descendants of the persons No 13 - & others are aliens -

Name as per Sottuvar Chit	Name as per account	Account	Sen Kara	Fasli 1211	Fasli 1223			
362-12-11	Yogarbo	of Fasli 1211	Sowaya -ji-Ba	Ponna Devam	Devam / Minakshi	Londonier	great grandson (1)	1 Wife
	Sirima	Rudivi	Nana Sotram	Chima Devam	Chimee / Subbayee	Kondanar	great grandson 30	1 Mother
	Ila Setu	Rudivi					2 Brothers 13	
	Date	Sal of						
	Dated	Fasli 1223		Navja Vorayde 413--	Navja Vorayde 2	Kunttu- savai Iyan 19	do	(2) 1 Wife
	Salivi	Boundary						
	Name	Sal of		413--	413-- 3	Gouri Subbayan 14	do	(3) 1 Wife
	Applied in 1466 of 1864 from	Zamin Season		Vinja Karuppan 57-7-	Vinja Karuppan 4	Minakshi Subbayan 22	do	(4) 1 Wife 1 Mother
	1- Kuppanisugar a heap of Lagardy sugar 1/8	1591	Fasli 1244	28-81	28-81			
	2- Kalitiruvayan 1/8	A.D. 1869		Total 317-91	Total 317-91	Sundaram 40	do	(5) 1 Wife 1 Mother
	3- Chinnasamian a heap by Lenathan 1/8							
	4- Ramasamian 3/8			A Sal of	5 Sen Karasi	Minakshi		
	5- Venkatadri 1/8			do				
	6- Lenny Iyen 1 1/16			Area do				
	7- Lachimanan 1 1/16							

The appellants to claim the shares set opposite to their names alleging that they were unjustly confirmed to the absentees Dharmasanam dars No 5 to 11 who were the thirty sixes of their ancestors rejected & endorsed -

None of the appellants (No 1, 2, 4, 5) failed to prove their rights before the D.J. Court. Others did make no claim whatever before that officer - The lands have been confirmed accordingly to enjoy as to Present Holders - & to the 7th is rejected & appellants if dissatisfied should seek redress in Revenue Courts.

J.R. 15/8/65

18/8/65

Borno Devam	Sen Karasi	Fasli 1211	Fasli 1223				
Navja Vorayde 413--	8 Sen Karasi mayaji Iyan 24	do	1 Wife	(8)			
413--	9 Gouri Subbayan 22	do	1 Wife	(9)			
289-10	10 Gurumurti Iyan 19	do	3 Elder brothers	(10)			

Deduct Permabokes

Bed of Tank	Nanja Vayal	350-- -- 196--
Tank	do	30-- -- 16-80
Village site	do	25-- -- 14--
Temple site	do	2-- -- 1-68
Threshing ground	do	6-- -- 3-36
Burial Ground	do	3-- -- 1-68
Unarable land	do	50-- -- 28--
		467-- -- 261-52
Remainder		486-89

Navja Vorayde allowed	413-- --	289-10
do Excess Waste	15-- --	10-50
Total	428-- --	299-60

Vinja Karuppan allowed	57-7-	28-81
Excess do	248-- --	138-88
do do Waste	35-- --	19-60
	283-- --	158-48
Total	3347--	187-29
Grand Total	486-89	

Deduct from the Mahajanam by the Ryots according to the Pattadars

(Vide next side)

To be confirmed under Rule II — Conferred as recommended in the D.J. Court's letter No 195. 2/3/65

Cl 3 agreed & under Rule III

For aliens -

Permanent Inam - 364 72 -

Cont 26/8 - 195 — Confirmed as Nanja Zamin Register

Nadumukki Family Lands are Divided One Patta 25-3/64 is recommended

Rudrakotai Rao J. 2-4

Rudrakotai Rao J. 2-4

D. No. 19 1867

Government Salaries per Acre

	Gross	Nett
Dry	3-9-1	1-1-7
Wet	10-13-3	5-5-9

Average rates of Government Salaries per Acre

Dry	1-5-6
Wet	5-6-1

Average value of grain of Kelworm or rice Land in Puttur Mojanam consisting of Ayan Villages in Perttipemer Salaig for the period of 15 years -

From Fasli to Fasli 1270	Cultivated area in acres	Gross outturn	Of which Zamindar's share at 45 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of the quantity of grains given in Col 4	Rates per Acre
Rs As	A C	K Ps	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As
7181-3-3	5026-87	42 3/4 - 8	18782-10-2	1-8-11	27100-7-9	5-6-3

11 Gurumoorthy Iyan 48 — grandson (11); Great grandson / Brother / Wife

12 Krishnaiyan 24 — Great grandson / Great grandson / Wife / Mother of the person No 13

13 Ruppayan 10 — Do (13) none

14 Gowrishankaraiyan 49 — Grandson (14); Great grandson of the person No 13 / Wife / Brother / 2 Sons / 1 Married Daughter / 1 Unmarried Daughter

15 Ramaswamy 11 — Great grandson / Great grandson of the person No 13 (15) / Brother / 1 younger brother

16 Venkatasubbayan 44 — Grandson (16); Great grandson of the person No 13 / Wife / Son - Unmarried Daughter

17 Subramaniyan 48 — Do (17) / Brother

18 Perumalayan 55 — Share holder (18) / Son

19 Narayanayan alias Narayanayan 52 — Do (19) / Wife / 2 Sons / 1 Married Daughter

20 Venkatasubbayan 20 — Do (20) / Wife / Mother

21 Easwarayan 43 — Do (21) / Wife 2 Sons / 1 Daughter-in-law

22 Appaswamiyan 37 — Do (22) / Wife 1 Son / 1 Unmarried Daughter

23 Ramasubbayan alias Ruppayan 82 — Do (23) / Wife / 2 Sons / 1 Daughter-in-law

24 Nageswaran 22 — Do (24) / Brother / Wife

Kalayan Pavil

25 Sankaran 47 — Do (25) / Wife / Brother

26 Kaliaswamy 42 — Do (26) / Wife / 2 Sons / 1 Daughter-in-law / 2 Married Daughters

Vide previous sheet

The persons Nos 39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-50-51-52-53-54-57-58-65-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-81-82-83-84-85 of 16 - These persons plead that they acquired these lands both by gift & purchase long ago & that they lost some of their documents - The details of the Puttur enjoyed by the persons of 16 & of what portion — is supported by documents & what portion is unsupported — by documents are given in the statement entered on the back of the 3rd sheet of the Register - These individuals produced the Puttur which are supported by documents for A.D 1738-1749-1757-1752-1822-1828-1829-1833-1834-1837-1838-1841-1842-1844-1847-1849-1850-1851-1852-1854-1855-1859-1860 & 1861- for Rupees 4444-7-2 - From the shanbogues in the Register Six bill of sales on stamped paper leases - 31 Bills of sales are on plain paper leases - Three bills are on stamped papers -

of the lands entered opposite to the person No 39 & 16, a portion was purchased by him self & his ancestors & the rest was acquired by gift from his father in law - The person No 40 & 41 of 16 also are Puttin younger brothers of the person No 39 purchased some lands & acquired the rest in gift from their father-in-law of the person No 39 of 16 - These persons No 39-40-41 & 16 have got documents on plain paper leases - These documents include a Deed of gift, one Cistadara patteram, one Adi & Crore Bill of sales - The persons No 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10-11-12-15-16-18-19-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-37 & 38 of 16 purchased some of their lands in A.D 1810-1812-1819-1820-1827-1829-1832-1833-1834-1837-1839-1841-1842-1843-1847-1848-1849-1850-1852 (Demarcated)

Sheet
No 475

1853-1855-1857-1858-1859-1860 & 1864. Jaffna 5th & 9th -

Ten bills are on stamped Cadjan leaves - 33 Bills are on plain Cadjan leaves &
two Bills are on stamped papers - In the Village - 12 odd Writtes are enjoyed without
documents - Very few of the Mahoyanams of No 16 enjoy in one & a single one but these persons
who lost their documents for a portion of their lands or for their entire holdings -
have right to them & that they purchased those lands long ago - ------
- the while most of the persons of No 16 say that they do not know how these 12 Writtes odd -
were acquired by the holders & that every one is enjoying the lands entered opposite to
his name & the holders of these 12 odd Writtes that are unapportioned documents say that
they purchased them long ago -

Talk arears

II ---- One Lakshmy Ammal claims 1 Vritty 1/32 part of a Vritty from the
person No 5 & 11 of 16 by reason that she mortgaged the lands about 20 years ago -
to the ancestor of the person No 5 & 11 of 16 & that the person No 5 & 11 of 16 now pleads
that these lands are of their own - The persons No 5 & 11 of 16 say that Lakshmy Ammal
never mortgaged the lands either to them or to their ancestors - Enquired the Mahoyanams -
done say that the Claimant did mortgage lands to the Defendants while they say -
that they heard of the circumstances of the mortgage - The Claimant produced the ex
attested copy of an agreement said to have been executed by Testimony the Husband & Elder
Brother of the Complainant by one Ruppayen Grand Father of the
person No 5 & other Defendants, admitting that he received certain lands in mortgage from
the said sons of the Husband & Elder Brother of the Complainant - The Complainant
again produced an agreement dated 1837 which is on a plain Cadjan leaf shewing that -
when the property of the Complainant was divided between herself, her sister, & two other
Cousins, the lands in the Village which were ten 1 Vritty & 14/16 Vritty & the three sisters
were divided among the four individuals equally at 15/32 part of a Vritty mortgaged from
to Ruppayen Grand Father of person No 5 - the Defendants - This agreement proved the
genuine one - As the Claim of the Complainant is proved the 1 Vritty & 17/32 part of a Vritty
are registered in the name of the Complainant who is numbered 16 of 16 - as
to the remaining 15/32 part of a Vritty, which covers the 1 Vritty &
14/16 of a Vritty which the Complainants had, if added to the said 1 Vritty & 17/32 part of a Vritty
was purchased by the persons No 11 of 16 from one of the shareholders of the Complainants in A.D. 1854 & 1860 - Witnesses

27 Vaivásoo mie Sasbie 63	share holder	2 Sons 2 Daughters 1 Married Daughter	
28 Padmanabo Goyan 30	do	(28) 1 Wife 1 Sister 3 Sons 1 Married Daughter 1 Widow of Elder brother	
29 Chinnasamie Iyan alias Padmanabo Iyan 42	do	(29) 1 Wife 1 Sister 2 Sons 2 Married Daughter	
30 Le Rava Mudiyan alias Padmanabho Iyan 13	do	(30) 1 Mother	
31 Easwarayan 80	do	(31) 1 Wife 2 Sons 2 Daughters in law 2 Married Daughters	
32 Nagarajah 38	do	(32) 1 Wife 1 Mother 3 Sons 1 Married Daughter 1 Widow of Elder brother	
33 Sinnavo Iyan alias Annamalai Narayanayan 28	do	(33) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Unmarried Daughter	
Mandonair 34 Ananto narayanayan 28	do	(34) 1 Wife	
35 Ramanadi Mudiyan 18	do	(35) 1 Elder Brother	
36 Subboraya Iyer 62	do	(36) 1 Wife	
Veeramagudi 37 Rameswara Iyengar 56	do	(37) 1 Wife 2 Sons 1 Daughter in law 2 Married Daughters 1 Son in law	
38 Arisothy Iyengar 48	do	(38) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Married Daughter	
Mandonair 39 Ruppayen 44	do	(39) 1 Son 2 Married Daughters 1 Son in law	
40 Sanniyan 63	do	(40) 1 Wife 1 Son in law	
41 Narayanayan 58	do	(41) 1 Wife 3 Sons 2 Daughters in law 1 Married Daughter 1 Unmarried Daughter	

Vide next side.

- for Nos 640 & Rent purchased lands were divided equally by the
- persons Nos 5 to 11 of 08. One bill of costs on a plaint Cause being & another is
on a stamped paper.

VI. The person No 39 of 16 claims a share from Nos 5 to 11 Jan 64 of a Vritty -
by reason that his Grand Father who died 30 years ago mortgaged the lands to
the grand father of the Defendants, who died 13 years ago - & that the Defendants now
plead that the lands is their own. Defendants deny every knowledge of the transaction
Plaintiffs said himself that he cannot prove this. The Albazamans deny every
knowledge of the transaction - Hence the claim is rejected & the party is referred to
Civil Court.

VII. The person No 60 of 16 claims 1 Vritty & 5/16 of a Vritty from the persons Nos 5 to 11 -
of 16 by reason that his Grand Father mortgaged the lands some Thirty seven Sixty about
40 or 50 years ago & that the Sixty mortgaged were about at the same time to the Grand Father
of the persons Nos 5 to 11 of 16 & that the persons Nos 5 to 11 of 16 plead now that these lands
are of their own. Defendants deny every knowledge of the mortgages. The Pltff failed to prove
his Complaint. The Albazamans deny every knowledge of the transaction - Hence the
Claim is rejected & the party is referred to Civil Court

VIII - The person No 60 of 16 claims 21/64 of part of a Vritty from the persons
Nos 25 to 26 of 16 on the ground that these Lands were mortgaged about half
a Century ago by his Grand Father & the Grand Father of the Defendant - The Pltff.
failed to prove the same. The Albazamans deny every knowledge of the transaction.
Hence the Claim is rejected & the party is referred to Civil Court

IX One Alagaryengar claims 1 Vritty & the persons Nos 65 claim to —
1 Vritty & 1/8 of a Vritty from the persons No 15 & from one Ruppa Sastry -
who has no Vritty or share in this Village on the ground that his father & Grand
father mortgaged the lands about 70 years ago to the Defendants & that they
prove the same. The Albazamans denies deny every. The person No 15 of 16 -
admits that the father and Alagesa Iyengar mortgaged the lands of his -
ancestors & that as one of the [illegible] claims of the said Alagesa Iyengar
Claiming Vritty he entered their names in the property proved that
the property of Alagesa Iyengar, it is registered in his name, who is member on
the property of Alagesa Iyengar, it is registered in his name, who is member on
- 16 (Vide members)

42 Venguswamy Iyen 35	Al	(42)	1 Wife 4 Sons 3 Daughters in law 1 Married Daughter
43 Veidanai Ahayan 32	Al	(43)	1 Wife 1 Married Daughter
44 Rama Chandrayan 30	Al	(44)	1 Wife
45 Krishna Sastriyar 60	Al	(45)	2 Sons 3 Married Daughters
46 Samie Iyan 55	Al	(46)	2 Sons 1 Daughter in law 1 Married Daughter
47 Sen Kara yan 55	Al	(47)	1 Wife 2 Married Daughters 2 Do Unmd Daughters
48 Krishna yan 39	Alb	(48)	1 Mother 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Unmd Daughter
49 Ruppa yan 42	Al	(49)	1 Wife 1 Brother
50 Iyavayan alias Subbayan 45	Alb	(50)	1 Wife 1 Unmarried daughter
51 Madhava yan 25	Al	(51)	1 Wife
52 Balakrishna yan 36	Al	(52)	1 Wife 1 Father 1 Unmarried daughter
53 Annasamie Iyan 25	Al	(53)	1 Wife
54 Muttu Sastriar Annal 20	Alb	(54)	none
55 Samie Iyen alias Subbu yan 45	Alb	(55)	1 Wife 1 Mother 2 Sons 1 Daughter in law 1 Married Daughter
56 Narayana yan 26	Alb	(56)	1 Wife 1 Unmarried Daughter
57 Rajala Iyan 42	Al	(57)	1 Wife & Son 1 Daughter in law 2 Married Daughters

There next side Sd/-

Sheet No 3 Parmasivan am Chinna Randonier Vertiyoniar Tolag of Sivaganga Zemindary in Madura District.

Sheet No 476

As the Plaintiff failed from the remaining portion of his Claim, the Claim is rejected & the party is referred to Civil Court

X ---- The person No 52 of 16 pleads that no share should be entered in the name of the Widow No 54 of 16 on the ground that she is a Widow of his undivided brother who died about 20 months ago. The Widow pleads that her Husband was a divided brother of the Pltff. The person No 53 of 16 who is a brother of the Pltff pleads that this is a divided family. Neelakayanam states that this is a divided family. The Husband of the Widow No 54 enjoyed his share separately like himself - Hence the Claim is rejected & the party is referred to Civil Court

XI. One Nailaswayan Claims 1/8 Virtly from the person No 5 to 11 of 16 - Gnanasa states his Grand Father who died 32 years ago mortgaged the lands to the Grand Father of the Defendant 30 or 35 years ago & that he heard his Grand Father who died 10 years ago & failed to prove the same. Neelakayanam denys every knowledge of the transaction. Hence the Claim is rejected & the party is referred to Civil Court

XII. One Ramaswamy Claims 6/16 Virtly from the person No 5 to 11 of 16 - by reason that his ancestors mortgaged the lands to the ancestor of the Defendant about 40 or 50 years ago & failed to prove the same - Malayanam denys every knowledge of the transaction Hence the Claim is rejected & the party is referred to Civil Court -

XIII. One Ruppayyan alias Alagery Eyengar Claims 4/16 Virtly from the person No 5 to 11 of 16 - on the ground that he mortgaged the lands about 37 years ago to the Grand Father of the Defendants, who died about 12 years ago - & failed to prove the same - Defendants & Malayanam denys every knowledge of the transaction -

XIV ---- One Venkatasamy Eyengar Claims 4/16 Virtly from the person No 5 to 11 of 16 - on the ground that his grand father mortgaged the lands about half a century ago to the Great Grand Father of the person Defendants & failed to prove the same - Neelakayanam denys every knowledge of the transaction - Hence the Claim is rejected & the party is referred to Civil Court - It is usual here to receive such like complaints & Claim for the lands which were not enjoyed within the last twelve years & Cost by limiting it to one year

Varayur in Trichinopolly District

58 Ruppo Sastriyar 57	A.L	(58)	1 Wife 2 Sons 2 Daughters in law 3 Married Daughters
Vertivoniar 59 Vitchayi alias Virotom 36	A.L	(59)	1 Married Daughter
Kayiyendal 60 Sami Iyan 35	A.L	(60)	None
61 Sundara moyan 60	A.L	(61)	1 Wife 1 Son
62 Narana yan 40	A.L	(62)	1 Wife 1 Married Daughter
63 Alabo lamayan 40	A.L	(63)	1 Brother 1 Wife 2 Sons 1 Son in Law 2 Married Daughters 1 Son
Rondoniar 64 Alagia rayangar 32	A.L	(64)	1 Brother 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Married Daughter
65 Alagia rayangar 40	A.L	(65)	1 Wife 1 Brother
66 Alagu Rayan 60 or 70	A.L	(66)	1 Wife 1 Married Daughter 1 Daughter 2 Sons
67 Sreenivasa yangar 40	A.L	(67)	1 Wife 1 Brother 1 Sister 3 Nieces 2 Sons
68 Varamalai Samivoyan 60 or 60	A.L	(68)	1 Wife 4 Sons 1 Daughter in law 3 Married Daughters
Vertiyariar 69 Ramasami Setti 40	A.L	(69)	1 Wife 1 Brother
70 Venkata Singam Setti 35	A.L	(70)	1 Brother 1 Wife
71 Thanaka ti Setti 20	A.L	(71)	1 Wife 1 Son
72 Thina Kaha Sundara Setti 20	A.L	(72)	1 Wife
73 Sabbon Setti 18	A.L	(73)	1 Wife

Vide preceding order

	Extent	Shares acquired by purchase & supported by documents	Shares acquired by purchase & unsupported by documents	Total
Nos 1 to 3 are enjoying	" 1/4	" 9/32	" "	" 17/32
No 4	do	" 1/4	" 9/32	" "	" 17/32
Nos 5 & 6	do	1 "	1 1/16	" "	1 - 6/11
Nos 7 to 10	do	" 1/4	" 9/32	" "	" 17/32
No 11	do	" 1/16	" 1/96	" "	" 3/96
Nos 12 & 13	do	" 23/32	1 1/96	" "	1 - 3/96
Nos 14 to 16	do	" 1/16	" "	" "	" 1/16
No 17	do	1 "	1 3/16	" 1/8	2 1/2
No 18	do	2 "	" "	" "	2 "
No 19	do	" 13/16	" 3/16	" "	1 "
No 20	do	" 13/16	" 3/16	" "	1 "
Nos 21 & 22	do	3 1/2	" "	" "	3 1/2
No 23	do	1 "	" "	0 "	1 "
No 24	do	" 1/4	" "	" "	" 1/4
No 25	do	" 5/32	" 5/32	" "	" 5/16
No 26	do	" 122/320	" "	" 31/32	" 152/320
No 27	do	" 122/320	" "	" 112/1280	" 437/1280
No 28	do	" 131/1280	" "	" "	" 131/1280
No 29	do	" 445/640	1 157/320	" "	2 " 112/640
No 30	do	" 1/16	1 7/16	" "	2 " 1/8
No 31	do	" 1/16	1 7/16	" "	2 " 1/8
Nos 32 & 33	do	" 1/4	3 9/16	" "	3 " 7/16
Nos 34 to 36	do	" 1/4	3 " 1/4	" "	3 " 1/2
Nos 37 & 38	do	" 1/2	" "	" 1/2	1 "
No 39	do	" 13/32	2 5/32	" 1/2	3 " 1/16
Nos 40 & 41	do	" "	" 23/32	" 57/64	" 57/64
No 42	do	1 "	" 17/32	" "	1 " 17/32
No 43	do	" "	" 5/24	" "	" 5/24
No 44	do	" "	" 5/24	" "	" 5/24
No 45	do	" "	" 5/24	" "	" 5/24
No 46	do	" "	" 9/16	" "	" 9/16
No 47	do	" "	" 9/16	" "	" 9/16
No 48	do	" "	" 2/16	" "	" 2/16
No 49	do	" "	" 9/16	" "	" 9/16
No 50	do	" "	" "	" 1/2	" 1/2
No 51	do	" "	" 5/16	" 1/32	" 1/2
No 52	do	" "	" 5/16	" 1/32	" 15/32
No 53	do	" "	" 7/32	" 1/32	" 15/32
No 54	do	" "	" 7/32	" 3/32	" 5/16
Nos 55 & 56	do	" "	" 3/16	" 1/8	" 5/16
No 57	do	" "	" "	" 1/2	" 1/2
No 58	do	" "	" "	1 "	1 "
No 59	do	" "	" "	1 "	1 "
No 60	do	" "	" "	1 "	1 "
No 61	do	" "	" 159/320	" "	" 159/320
No 62	do	" "	" "	" 3/8	" 3/8
No 63	do	" "	" "	" 1/16	" 1/16
No 64	do	" "	" "	2 "	2 "
No 65	do	" "	" 1/4	" 1/32	" 9/32
No 66	do	" "	" "	" 1/16	" 1/16
No 67	do	" "	" "	" 1/4	" 1/2
No 68	do	" "	" "	" 1/16	" 1/16
No 69	do	" "	" 19/32	" "	" 19/32
Nos 70 to 73	do	" "	" 1/16	" 1/16	" 1/2
Nos 74 & 75	do	" "	" 10/16	" "	" 10/16
Nos 76 & 77	do	" "	" 1/16	" 1/16	" 1/8
No 78	do	1 "	" 5/32	" 1/16	1 " 9/32
No 79	do	" "	" 21/32	" "	" 21/32
No 80	do	" "	" "	" 1/2	" 1/2
No 81	do	" "	1 1/16	" 1/8	1 " 3/16
Nos 82 to 84	do	" "	2 1/8	" "	2 " 1/8
Total					

No 85 is enjoying " " 1 13/32 " " 1 - 13/32

17	117/1280	35	246/320	11	179/1280	64 "

74 Annasami Setti alias Muttayan setti 22 } Adl (74) 1 Wife 2 Sons

75 Lodadown Setti 18 } Adl (75) 1 Wife

76 Subbayan setti 12 } Adl (76) 2 Elder brothers

77 Surya narayana setti 25 } Adl (77) 1 Father 1 Brother 1 Wife

78 Sen Karan setti 21 } Adl (78) 1 Wife

79 Muttukka ransetti 70 } Adl (79) 1 Son 1 Daughter

80 Venkatta ranasetti 50 } Adl (80) None

81 Rina Kshi Ayi 50 } Adl (81) 1 Adopted Son

82 Vasavan Mutta Karuppan setti 32 } Adl (82) 1 Brother 1 Wife 2 Sons 2 Minor Daughters

83 Vasavan setti 24 } Adl (83) 1 Wife

84 Venkata chalam setti 13 } Adl (84) 2 Elder brothers

85 Satchini Ammal 72 } Adl (85) 2 Sons & 1 Daughter

Vide Inquiry sheet

XV — The person No 37 of 16 pleads [illegible] — claims one Suttu from the Widow No 81 of 16 on the ground that it was an outcast — That the Widow was originally married to one Ganapathy Ayen by whom she was originally married to the Eldest Brother of the Widow No 81 of 16 — That his father in law changed all his property inclusive of the one Suttu to him — on a Settlement in A.D. 1857 — The claimant produced the Bill of Sale which is on a plain foolscap leaf — The Widow No 81 of 16 pleads that her Eldest Brother purchased this Suttu in A.D. 1854 from the hands of Rajes 262-8 from the father in law of the claimant — She produced bill of sale which is on a stamped foolscap leaf — Proved the enjoyment & genuineness of the document — by Sattayan who attested it — by the Maharajanam — Hence the claim is rejected — The party is referred to Civil Court — The Eldest Brother of the Widow died without issue about 6 years before the Widow — She has no claim about it — The claimant admits that the document bears the signature of his father in law — But father in law died about 20 years before the Widow — The document bears the signature of his father in law — But father in law died about 20 years before the Widow — The document bears the signature of his father in law — But father in law died about 20 years before the Widow — The

4 sheet of Nayakan Mandam

The farm No 39 had no original of the lands previous thereto and to the Talon Pattam of the farm Division No 81 & 16 & subsequent to the execution of the Will to him in A.D 1851 - The Will was mere nominal one -

Valuation

Sheet No 477

XVII ..... Wet lands depend upon two Tanks of large size - They are in repair - They are fed by Jungle Streams & by the Tank of an important Dammaram Village of Mekayanam, which is fed by the river Vaigayar - The Tank is fed annually - Security of Water is not felt generally - The Mekayanam says that 290 Acres Wet & 167 Acres of Dry are cultivated annually in Full & that 10 Acres of Wet & 19 Acres of Dry planted as waste are of long uncultivated lands - This may be true as the Waste extent is not large - The Mekayanam says that 226 Acres planted as pasturage Poramboke are useful for cultivation - Some of the Wet lands are cultivated annually 2d time - The extent thus cultivated may be taken on an average as 1/5 or 1/6 of the Wet area - Nanjah & Punjah lands are not of a very superior quality - generally Nanjah there are good lands in the Village - Harvest is good - The Village is in good state like the adjacent Ayan Villages - The county across Ayan Villages are Alangulam & Tiby - The average Wet assessment by these Villages calculated for a period of 15 years previous to Fasli 1270 comes to 4 Rupees 5 12 6 per acre of Tiby at 40 Rupees per acre & Alangulam - These two Villages (Ayan) are quite useful to be compared with the important Dammaram under settlement; because the accounts show that on an average 2 Acres of Wet were cultivated in the Village of Tiby during the last 15 years - while about 20 Acres were cultivated in the Village of Alangulam during the last 15 years - Their important Ayan Village of Potteri is situated at a distance of about a mile from the Dammaram - The average Wet assessment of that Village - comes to 7 Rupees per acre - Rice is taught - No Varaburi is raised - The average [illegible] assessment of the Village as per statement of the Mekayanam is 9 7 6 - Rupees per acre - This is not true - I found no deposits in the Village - After weighing the fertility of soil & I frame the valuation of the Village - as follows with the aid of the Mekayanam - The average Dry assessment of the adjacent Ayan Villages comes to 1 2 per acre

290 Acres cultivated annually once & partly 2d time at Rupees per acre -	1740 —	
149 1/2 Acres Waste at 10 Rupees per acre - This is said the first Fasli	9 — 8 —	1749 - 8 - 0
167 Acres of Dry cultivated at 1 Rupee per acre		167 —
20 Acres of Dry Waste at 4 Annas per acre		5 —
		1 - 12 —
Grand Total		1921 - 8 - 0

Extract granted to Lakshmi annal Apartha 205 in 1st Vol. Written by Vakil Lakshmikantayyan on the 7th March 1866.

Rentised 25 3 64

A. Thomas and Ready