

Br. 1
Wal

Dry.
wet-

From Ft 1256 to Ft 1270	Cultivated area in acres	Crop out turn	of which gramineae shared at 45 percent	Average prices of grain	Value of quantity of grain in in \$.	Rate per Acre
R. M. D.	A. C.	R. M. D.	R. M. D.	R. M. D.	R. M. D.	R. M. D.
2015-1-5	1410-97.	12624-2-1.	6003-11-	1-7-11.	8887-15-10	6-4-9.

[illegible]

III The Village consists of 80 equal Thistles. They are enjoyed as shown in the margin of the preceding table. The lands are divided in Proportions paid on the number of Thistles equally & permanently - The lands are not exchanged periodically.

IV. The person No 1 of 016 is a descendant of the Engl Grantee & the persons No 2-3-4 & 5 of 016 are descendants of the full grantee of the Engl Grantee of 013. It appears by tract no 1 that this Village was granted to the Brethren of various families of Nova Scotia of Nova Scotia -

V. The sum \$1,557.06 purchased two Bibles in AD 1858 - for paper \$5.60 from one Knickerbocker
Knickerbocker, Singapore and Zingon, Donating Annual, charitably to the Village - Five Bibles are
our plain paper leaves. Transactions proved to be bonafide by sellers - Engage proved -

77. One Narasinga Rao, the son of Panakammal, No 5 of 66, entered half of the lands ~~of~~^{land} of Panakammal in his name as if they were his patrimony & entered the rest in the name of the alleged adopted son of Panakammal - In the meanwhile at end of Decr he sold himself - At 8 p.m. days after the settlement produced in the office, the father of Panakammal appeared before me & stated that Narasinga Rao has nothing to do with these lands; that Panakammal is enjoying 8 1/2 Thalers in the village up to this day; that Narasinga Rao entered an agreement with the aid of a Police Inspector, from Panakammal to the effect that Panakammal divided her lands between her adopted son & herself - that Narasinga Rao was taken in adoption by some person & that therefore the said Narasinga Rao has no share in the village - The father again produced a letter written to him by Panakammal from Madras in Timonally District on the 24th March / 64. The letter bears Postmark - From this it appears that Narasinga Rao has not any concern in the village & that he did enter the above agreement from her - The father asks apology for the fault committed by his son Narasinga Rao - He also states that the 8 1/2 Thalers are in possession of Panakammal & that Narasinga Rao has no concern in them - Since the lands (8 1/2 Thalers) are registered in the name of Panakammal the agreement & the counter-deed are on two stamped papers worth 10 Rupees each - One of the agreements is presented to Narasinga Rao by Jainsing Annam & another is put on file to Panakammal - As Narasinga Rao has no interest in the land, the agreement became dead letter & the agreement is with Panakammal - It appears that Panakammal's relations both possessors & donors have been off -