Register of Darmasanam Village of Punnangadi in Mangalam Taluq of Sivaganga

# Punnangadi 4 07 07 ½ (8) 4

Register No. 471

Sheet No. 620

I This is one of the Darmasanams in the Zemindary of Sivaganga - This was granted by the Zemindar of which it is well known - This is a hereditary grant - There is uninterrupted possession of its holders since the date of the grant - This has no Pollam - It was last the Village entered as Darmasanam in all Fasly accounts 7 ½ - No Talk as to the Area or about the boundaries on the part of the Ranja Vakeel - The boundaries engraved & Rose in the Accounts of Fl 264 - Hence the area of 82 Acres 7 90 Cents is confirmed here - The except is a marked one But it is contained within the proper limits of the Village -

II The person No 2 of 16 is the son of Elder Brother of the person No 1 of 16 - This is an undivided family - The pers No 1 of 16 pleads that Gowry Vallabha Periya Vodaya Tevar, the Zemindar, purchased the Village & granted to the Grand Father of the person No 1 of 16, hence in A.D 1820 - In proof of this the person No 1 of 16 produced 3 orders or Muchelcas issued to the following persons intimating that the Village was granted hence - & the grand Father of the person No 1 of 16 -

1 One to the Village dated 1820 -

1 One to the Manager of Rod Krajini or purchased property, whose name was Sevalingam -

1 One to the Manager of the Darmasanam -

3

From any of the above orders it appears that the Village was in a dilapidated state when it was granted & that Grand Father of the person No 1 of 16 & that it was granted for poor pious free from all Tax & that the Pongal was paid was levied on it -

The Ranja Vakeel says that these 3 orders produced by the person No 1 of 16 are genuine ones & that he has no ground to find fault with them He has written & the effect on the Statement of the Inamdar - (Vide counterfoil)

To be filed under Rule VII

Vide 10-310 -

Fasly 21 ½ = 90 Rupees

Confirmed as recommended on the Jd on a 2 Rs 9 as 8 -

A Sundaram Ranja 7/5/14

Kantaram 14-2-64

Do

Hon 597

Darmasanam Grant Fasly 1211

Ranja Varayadi 15 - - 10-50
Excess 12 - - 8-40
27 - - 18-90
Excess Punja Nuruka 25 - - 14 - -
32-90

Dedicated Parambok
Beds of Tank Varayadi 6 - - 4-20
Unmunicipal Waste do 6 - - 4-20
Incurable land Kuruka 15 - - 8-40
18-80
Remainder 16-10

Ranja Varayadi 10 - - 7 - -
Collected
Do Do 5 - - 3-50
Total 15 - - 10-50

Excess Punja Nuruka
Collected 7 - - 3-92
Waste 3 - - 1-68
Total 10 - - 5-60
Grand Total 16-10

Collected 5-40
24-50

Darmasanam Grant Fasly 1211 as per Inam Register of the Zemindary of Sivaganga

Darmasanam as per Sattavar Amt 12-4-3 Remainder 2-10-5 Remainder 10-3-10 — Amt of Fasly 1211

As per Boards Register of Fasly 1211 — Amt of Fasly 1223

Not known — Amt of Fasly 1244

Boards Register of A.D 1815

Sivaganga Zemindar Darmasanam Sevanam Punnam gudi Pallan Nanja gudi Varayadi Nanja Varayadi 15 - - 10-50 gudi 10-50 — 1 Arumuga Ammal 34 — (1) Wife — 2 Soekalingam Pillai 42 — (2) Wife

Amt of Fasly 1244 Punnam Darmasanam Sevanam gudi Nagalabadi Punnam Nanja gudi Do Arisi none

Absentee Family of Landlords and undivided one Pollam is reserved

extent to be P. Dr 5-60 west 18-90 24-50

Deduct 8-40

86-3-0

Extracted from the Statement given by the Inamdar Ranja Muthuramanna -

Rates of Government Salvage per Acre

| | Mean |
|---|---|
| Dry 3-9 | " 1-7 |
| Wet 10-13 | " 5-9 |

Average rate of Government Salvage per Acre

Dry 1-5-6

Wet 4-6-1

Average Value of grain of one worm on wet land in Karaikudi Kazaiyam consisting of 12 Ayen Villages in Mangalam Salvage for a period of 15 years.

1.

| From Fasli 1266 to Fasli 1270 | Cultivated area in Acres | Grass pattern | Of which Zamindar's share at 46 per cent | Average prices of grains | Value of the quantity of grains given in Kist | Rates per Acre |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| R An P | A C | M M P | M M P | R A P | R A P | R A P |
| 7905-13-1 | 5532-16 | 5077-6-3 | 2218-4-3 | 1-7-9 | 33023-" -" | 5-15-" |

II The next point for consideration is whether the Zemindar did purchase the Village legally. There is no Bill of sale - In the Zemindar's Account for Fasli 1212-1213 & 1214 the Village is entered as "Kollukrayam" or purchased property of the Zemindar - Hence the fact of the Zemindar being in possession of the Village as per Cadjan for 60 years is proved. The account of Fasli 1382 is found in book with the accounts of Fasli 1211 & the accounts of Fasli 1213 & 1214 are on plain sugam leaves being Samabandy Accounts

Valuation

III ..... Wet lands depend upon one Tank of moderate size - It is in repair - It is fed by a Single Stream & by the Waste Water of the adjacent Ayen Villages - The Tank is filled annually & quantity of Water is not till much - The lands of the Village cleared is 10 1/2 Acres of Wet as arable & 8 1/2 Acres of Wet as waste land among the items of Poramboke. At the time of the settlement, the Valuer of Rs. 16 stated that he classed 8 1/2 Acres of Wet as waste land by mistake & that these 8 1/2 Acres of Wet are of 10 years waste & he hesitated to make the ensuing year. I cannot trust the statement - Very few Wet lands are cultivated annually 2d time - Wet & Dry lands are of a medium standard generally - The Villagers are pretty good cultivators. The contiguous Ayen Villages of Karaikudi Ponny Yeruk, Kondayi, & Vayattur the average Wet assessment of these Villages comes to 5 Rupees per acre - This is fair assessment - The average income of this Village as per statement of the Valuer of the Year 16 is 24 Rupees per acre - This is quite false

In consideration of the Fertility of soil & from the valuation of the Village as follows

Wet 12 Acres cultivated at 5 Rupees per acre 60 —

Wet 7 Acres Waste land reclaimed at 30 Rupees 21 —

Total 81 —

Dry 5 1/2 Acres at 1 Rupee per acre 5 8 —

Total 86 8 —

Karaikudi 14-4-84