

150

Pottavayal

Agar Village

It was done and

Register no 150

Sumas
as per
statement
of the owner

1 Devadayan Nanga 9-4-11 20
Virayadi
1 Agam
chui

2-4-6

For the Sodi Part The name
Support 4-4-11
of Pagoda
of Adakal
kelam
Kattayan
nar in
Pulika
the How
My 14-11

of Grant
and the
Date is
unknown

Adakka Du Fi
law hat
-tayan
Adakka
-law hat
-tayan
Nanga
Virayadi
" 4-24
A 6
"- 22

Pulika
1 Adakkalam
Kattayan
Worshipper
1 Kalamivelan

25th Oct III (Lamoni) 20th 265

2010-11

572 63

leaf 56
10/2/11

158

Shaw 1757

Complete
1757

10/2/11

Kanya Panya Iodi
A C A C R A D

Register no. 936

Pottavayal - ௭௮ ௭௮௭௭௭

to 3 are enjoying 3-61. a-a-7-15-a
4 is — Do — 8. 23 4-95 4-123 4-124

Total 11-84-4-95 ~~12-11-3~~



1 This is one of the Puntani Villages in the Peminsoo of Luogangai. It is not known from any of the public accounts by whom, to whom, when & for what purpose the Village was granted. The person No 1-2 & 3 of 1806 produced a "Nirubun" or order, dated 1801, written on a plain fadon leaf showing that the Village was granted to one Churwidi Kuttu, person of 1803 - under the order of Mr. Agnew. It is not stated therein why this was granted. The person No 1-2 & 3 of 1806 say that this Village was granted to them Grand Father for his meritorious services to the State during the disturbances of "Mannosai Luogangai". Derwan of the Peminsoo. The Range's Vakeel says that he doubts the genuineness of the order or Nirubun above alluded to as it is not in usual form. As the document is not a registered one & as it does not bear the signature of the Peminsoo, I cannot certify about its genuineness. From the account of the Puntani Villages forwarded to the Board of Revenue collected in 1801 by the Peminsoo, it appears that this was granted to the person of 1803 to meet the pay of his office of a Peon & that the lands, some of them in possession of the person No 1-2 & 3 of 1806, were resumed & incorporated when it is not stated with the Peminsoo upon lands in liquidation of an outlay made on the repairing the Tank in this Village by the Peminsoo. The person of 1803 says that it was in possession of their family.

The persons N^o 1-243/06 who are brothers plead that the entire Village was granted to their Grand Father of 018, that it was only after 1262, that the then zemindar resumed the Village and the whole are now in the possession of the zemindar but in vain - & that their ancestors presented Petitions to the zemindar & to the Collector but they were - complicity of the zemindar but in vain - In proof of this they produced a Petition presented in 1840 to the then Collector complaining of the - resumption. The Petition bears an endorsement of Mr. Blackburne, Collector, dated 20 November 1840. This is an original endorsement. It bears the signature of that Gentleman & the seal of the Office of the Collector. It is said in the endorsement - that the Petitioners -

the following. It is said in the endorsement that the answer
is referred to an endorsement on his former Petition -
The former endorsement only was, it appears, that, Petitioner
is to go to the first point for the recovery of the resumed
portion. (This must arise)

To begin under Rule XX

✓ Jan 1-2-43 7016. a

Dec. 3. 1861. Feb. 25. to Valerine

German's Est — 7-15-

Remuner — 17-5 — £10 17 5

$\text{Cost } 20\% = 4-4$

The whole balance confirmed on 1/4 the 1st day
Jan 1/10

Help under Rule XVII plan III as the Senate, if any
with it was granted to
sional

For no 4 of 186-
of an occasion
of a character
18.

Oct. 8-23-57- 2 R. H. W. H.
 May-495-1-4- 23/6/65 W. H.

13.118 - 58 4 -

Doubtless - 472-3-

Remember = 53-79

Gold 2 1/2 - 2672 - *off*

Sheet No. 1095

As per A.C. 2211
Kaujo Vorey 10-6-7 30
Excess 5-0-6 2 1/4 4-54
16-12 1/2 11-84
Excess Kaujo (Kau)
25-0-0 24-75
36-59
Deduct Varambaki
Kaujo Vorey 10-6-7 30
Excess 5-0-6 2 1/4 4-54
16-12 1/2 11-84
Remainder 16-79
Kaujo Vorey 10-6-7 30
Excess 5-0-6 2 1/4 4-54
16-12 1/2 11-84
Remainder 16-79
Kaujo Vorey 10-6-7 30
Excess 5-0-6 2 1/4 4-54
16-12 1/2 11-84
Remainder 16-79

[illegible]

Almira
Fanny &
Cousins
divided.
One Potter
is recognized

Harriet

Muscium *Reinicum*

Dry 3-9-9 a-1-7

Oct 10 13 3 a 5 9

Day 1 5-6

Oct 4 61

Consisting of ^{Amherst} ~~Amherst~~ ^{Saluz} ~~Saluz~~ for a period of 15 years.

From 1246	Cattivat	Grass	Pahih	average	Value of the	Rate
to 1270	area in	autumn	gimond's	prices	quantity of	per
	acre		share	of grain	grains	share
			45 percent		in both	
124-13-5	35-87-49	44022-10-2	19793-4-2	1-8-2	30543-15-7	8-8-3.

1 - Little Account of ADT 1809 - in which it is said that about half Village
should be continued to the Livingstonian & the rest should be well reserved &

in cooperation with the ^{West} *Portia pennsylvanica* *hyemalis* -

1. Settlement Account of 1882, this shows that half Village ~~was~~ was in possession of the Missionaries in that year.

of the Divan in that year.
1- Little Account of 1856 & 1870 shows that both the Divan is in possession
of the Divan & the rest is in possession of the German
of the Divan is in possession of the German

- In the above settlement accounts the area of this entire Village is ~~the~~ given as 7 ^{sq} 430 perches

- of which is allotted and belong to the civilisation - Now the Village said to

- Confronts more lands than those entered in the above settlement accounts so as to correspond the

- enormous area given in the account of Mita. As I found that half of the village, indeed all the land measured

- of the exp, is not in possession of the Privy Council, I got the lands in possession measured

correctly & found the area of whole blue 3 Acre 486 feet. The remaining lands are in

possession of the genus. The gemmæ of the first and second portions are distinct from each other.

other - Hence 3-817 are registered in the name of the Luvilamians & the rest on the name of the Rangis & Karl Shaw.

of the Rangy remainder - The settlement account of 1859 produced by the Rangy's Taktel shaw shaw

*half of this village is to be resumed, & it is not stated therein that it was resumed - The settlement before
the I. O. U. S. Prisoners - There are no accounts*

7. A.D. 1829 sheweth that about half of the Village is in possession of the Divisambans - There are no accounts of the numerous reserves the other land

for the period intervening the years 1820 & 1846 - as it appears that the former retains the other land.

for the period intervening the years 1830 & 1846 - and
then those left in possession of the farm 1812 & 1837 & 1846 arbitrarily 35 years ago, I recommended to
proceed with

then those left in possession of the farm 201 & 23 & 26 accordingly & of
charge then with half assessed under Rule 88 of Law III & the lands in form of the first census with

Charge them with half assessment under Rule XX of the III & IV laws of 1790.
1/4 assessment for their service & of an occasional nature each following the year 1805.

1/4 amount to their service is of an occasional nature such as
- hunting occasionally & carry messages & when given to them - from one place to another

The Indians admitted helping are doing the service occasionally. I don't think that the village

The Spiritans admitted that they are doing the service occasionally
can be employed ~~one~~ on one of the same ~~rate~~ rate of ~~LA~~ upon the (Vice consul shell)

can be expressed one or more of the same rate & c.
about circumstances —

II. ... of the Patnam Burgh of Ruper 12th 3 - a sum of Ruper 7-15 - is collected from the persons M¹ 283 of 186. who are ^{not} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~possession~~ ^{possession} of the houses held by them & the ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~collection~~ ^{collection} of the remaining sum of Ruper 4-12-3 is suspended as the zemindar has ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~possession~~ ^{possession} of a portion of the Village. The suspended sum is not in proportion to the quantity of houses enjoyed by the zemindar - As the zemindar will collect Burgh of the entire Village were in possession of a stranger I ~~will~~ ^{will} ~~not~~ ^{not} ~~collect~~ ^{collect} the sum of Ruper 4-12-3 for the portion of the Village which is in possession of the zemindar.

III. ... Valuation
Wet lands in the Village depend upon one bank of mud water size. It is in repair - It is fed by a Pungle Stream & by the waste water of the adjoining Villages. The bank is filled annually. Fertility of Water is not full generally - Mangabond are full water annually in full Pungala lands are of 10 years waste - Soil is of a superior quality generally - No garden crops are raised - Some of the Wet lands are full water annually 2 times - Soil is of a superior quality generally - No garden crops are raised - The Village is in pretty good state - The average income of the Village is 83 Ruper per annum - This is fair - The contiguous Villages are not Ayer - The average Wet assessment of the portion of the Village under settlement, which is in possession of the zemindar comes to 87 - and per acre calculated on the for a period of 15 years previous to 17270. I consider that the average income of Ruper 83 stated to be by the Pungala Valued is fair - I apporportion it on the Wet & Dry lands as follows -

Wet full water 11 ³/₄ Acres at 7 Ruper per acre 82 ¹/₄ -
Dry Waste 5 Acres at 16 ¹/₂ as per acre 1 ¹/₂ -

83 ¹/₄ -

Kummalandi
5-8-64.

[Signature]

Sheet no. 1096