Register of Irradayem Village of Pichanadamandiyendal &c in the Taluq of Trippattur of Sivaganga Zamindari Estate in Madura

Register Nos
1097
1098
1099

(1) Pichanadamandiyendal, (2) Ammappanayakenyendal, (3) Villavarayanendal.

This Temple has three Villages —

I Here are 3 Villages are entitled within the limits of the jemmonary of Sri Ayo by were granted for the support of the Temple of Sree Mundo Narayana Peramal in Tripattur. This is a permanent grant. No Patta. These villages are entered in all the Accounts in the name of the Temple. The names of these villages are given correctly in the Accounts of Fasli 1211 of A.D. 18—. No Tolls are about the Area or about the boundaries on the part of the Raja's Vakeel. The recognized boundaries are confirmed here as the boundaries of these villages are not recorded in any Account previous thereto. Fasli 1123 Anno 1780 & to entered within the said boundaries are registered here.

II — The Raja's Vakeel & the farmers of these Villages produced a statement, Requesting in writing a remark thereon that the Temple is efficiently kept by & that the Deity is being worshipped twice a day. As I generally pay occasional visits upon the state of the Temple. I wrote to the Principal Magistrate of Tirupattur, who lives close to the Temple, to enquire into & report upon the state of the Temple. His report dated 18 October 1864 No 225 shews that the Temple & the villages appertaining thereto were under the management of the Sirothiyar Narayana Iyengar up to F. 1248, that in Fasli 1249 the farmers placed them under their own management. that in Fasli 1261 these Villages were added to the endowments of the Temple & about 3 per cent was paid from the proceeds thereof to the use of the Temple named Sri Nivasa Narayana Perumal Coril, for a period of 10 years, that since Fasli 1264 the proceeds of these villages are being remitted to the farmers Treasury & nothing was paid to the use of the Temple & the Temple is efficiently kept. from the funds of the Jemmonary & that in last year the Worshipper Narayana Iyengar is

(Vide overleaf)

To be compounded under Rule III

Class one Sole Fee

Jemmonary Dr. 47 9 7

Confirmed in present terms. This the duty of the recently appointed Committee to see that the proceeds of this Inam are not misappropriated

B Swaminatha Rao Dy
Remarked
26 10 64

8/12/64

1449

As per accot of Fasli 1211.

Kanja (Viayadi)	75	13	353 — 17
Punja Exempt (Kurkam)	126		70 — 63
			123 — 80
Deduct Poramboke			
Punja (Kurkam)	11	0	23 — 24
Remainder			100 — 56
Kanja (Viayadi)	38		126 — 66
Karittu waste (Do)	37	13	126 — 57
	75	13	353 — 17
Punja (Kurkam)	64		36 — 12
Karittu waste (Do)	11		27
Total	81	0	47 — 39
Grand Total			100 — 56

80 —

For the support as per Pagoda detail of Pichanadamandiyendal Ammappanayakenyendal Villavarayanendal Inam Register No. 1097, 1098, 1099 in Tripattur Taluq

Kanja (Viayadi)	75	13	353 — 17
Punja Exempt (Kurkam)	126		70 — 63
			123 — 80
Deduct Poramboke			
Punja (Kurkam)	11	0	23 — 24
Remainder			100 — 56
Kanja (Viayadi)	38		126 — 66
Karittu waste (Do)	37	13	126 — 57
	75	13	353 — 17
Punja (Kurkam)	64		36 — 12
Karittu waste (Do)	11		27
Total	81	0	47 — 39
Grand Total			100 — 56

80 —

Sirothiyar Narayana Iyengar in Fasli 1211 — Fasli 1233 Tripattur Taluq Sivaganga Zamindari

Adhikaram Asst of Fasli 1211. Nivasa Narayana Perumal Coril Tripattur Taluq Sivaganga Zamindari

Drapan Dhiyan Maratakam Asst of Fasli 1223. Nivasa Narayana Perumal Coril Tripattur Taluq Sivaganga Zamindari

date in Fasli unknown Boundaries Asst of Fasli 1244. Ayakat see Asst of Fasli 1263. Board's Regt. Asst 1815.

Pichanadamandiyendal Ammappanayakenyendal Villavarayanendal Tripattur Taluq Sivaganga Zamindari

Kanja (Viayadi) 15 — 5 — 3 Punja (Kurkam) 40

Ammappanayakenyendal (Viayadi) 50 —

Villavarayanendal (Viayadi) 53 — 12 — 25 — 20

Total (Viayadi) 43 — 3 — 20

Register Nos. 1097, 1098, 1099.

Sheet No. 1247

worshipped the Deity at his own expense & that he being unable to do it even now
he is worshipping the Deity once in a week. Again he reports that these ve
are in a dilapidated state; but the proceeds thereof are insufficient to c
the Pongu of the gennivar; that it is not known what sum of Pongu is due
gennivar & for how many years; that the Sorthippu Narayana Iyengar is w
to repair the villages; pay the Pongu annually to the gennivar & to
fulfill the object of the grant by worshipping the Deity in the Temple.
The Rangi Vakeel says that he does not know what sum of Pongu is due
gennivar & for how many years. The gennivar owned the Temple. The
Worshippu who is Pallavaraya the Temple is worshipping the Deity and the
unable to attend to this condition. Hence I
recommend to register these villages as owned in the joint names of the
Deity & the Worshippu Narayanayengar & to enable the villages which are
in ruined state to them. He will be responsible to the gennivar for Pongu
& to the public for the efficient state of the Temple.
The Rangi Vakeel says that he will endeavour to restore the Temple
& begin working to fulfill the object of the grant within 2 months
I have no confidence that the Rangi would do
I do not consider
it is just to dispense the Rangi from the management of the Temple as by his
his own exertions, the object of the grant is even be
to a trifling extent & as the gennivar left the
for a period of above 20 years. Hence I enter the name of the Rangi
As the statement of these Villages states that the average arrear of Pongu
80 Rupees per annum up to this day, it is evident that the
of these Villages arrear is not in arrear & that the Villages are not
entirely in a ruined state
III I place with this, the report of the Sub Magistrate
with the enclosures for the perusal of the reviewing Officer.

Ramnad
26.10.86

Subordinate Judge