

Average Rates of Government Taluqs per Acre

Ex. 1-4-0
1861-4-6-1

Average Value of grain of Meluaram on wet land in Madukeri Taluk
Consisting of 100 Villages in the Taluk Marnad
for a period of 15 years

Year	Cultivated area in Acre	Lyopont turn	of which zaminder's share at 45 per cent	Average Value of quantity price of grain given in Col 4	Rate per Acre
1850	5669	49	6642	1-7-7	35412-12-4
1870	5669	49	6642	1-7-7	35412-12-4

III-----The Darnasaram portion of half Village consists of 226 equal Pottas

They are enjoyed as a whole with the lands were divided long ago. The Darnasaram portion of half Village are being exchanged with each other once in 30 years -

The Darnasaram Mahajannams pleaded that it is the custom of the Village to exchange the Darnasaram portion of half Village with that of the Devastaram portion once in

30 years. The Mahajannams offered to this - It is proved by the statement of the Mahajannams that the Darnasaram & Darnasaram portions of Village

are being exchanged with each other - The lands of these two portions are divided formally & correctly in 78250 by measuring the area. The Mahajannams of the Darnasaram

portion cannot claim as a right the exchange of these portions - There is no objection of these portions being exchanged if all the parties having concern therein agree to the

arrangement - The Devastaram portion of half Village is free from all Tax on

the Darnasaram portion of Pongas of Pongas 5669-1-0-0 being paid on the 226 Pottas or Pongas - equally & permanently -

Of the persons of 16, those numbered 1 to 30 are said to be descendants of the 1st Pottas. The

the persons of 16, those numbered 1 to 30 are said to be descendants of the 1st Pottas. (The next is to be added)

deducting A 6	brother of	(6)
Curran 529-25	Father of	1 wife
Remainder	not in col	1 son
Periyad 184-78	brother of	1 daughter
A 6	Father of	2 daughters
434-19	not in col	1 son
Punga Taluk		
(Kankam) A 6		
152/1		
A 6		
85-40		
Total		
519-59		
Lu Maru		
- to airt		
of Ft 124		
Charuwa		
sanam		
Periyad		
- nair		
areas		
not given		
of which		
deducting		
Devadany		
of the		
Village		
Remainder		
area is		
not given		
of which		
deducting		
Apur		
Remainder		
(Virayadi)		
bro 3-6-1		
A 6		
434-19		
Punga		
(Kankam)		
152/1		
A 6		
85-40		
Total		
A 6		
519-59		
Pudukudi		
14 Venkatasub		
charamanigga		
Aradani		
Peramann		
15 Kappainda		
and 32		
Malamanigalam		
A Periyathalam		
Taluk		
Venkata		
Charamanigga		
and 32		

Not preceding side

True Copy

50 1296

17 Subbramaniam share (17)
 ya bhattar 25. 1 wife
 18 Annasami } 20 — (18)
 Bhattar 38 } 1 wife
 2 sons
 2 daughters

19 Peivendra } Co. — (19)
Bhatter 25 } 1 wife

Pangipen
 20 Anna K. 10 (20)
 10 - 10 - 10 10 10
 10 - 10 - 10 10 10

21 Gummamäß (21)
40 } 100 — —

22. Kama Gira (22)
 at 24 1/2 miles — 1 wife
 29 1/2 miles — 2 wives
 39 1/2 miles — 3 wives

Marakolam
23 Kainakudi Co. — (20)
— Mayingar — 1200 —
35 — 1 young
brother

24. *Bruttasani* } 20. — (24)
Dyngar 33. } 1 wife
 1 daughter
 and

25 Muttusami } 25 } 25 } 1 wife
Iyengar } 25 } 25 } 1 mother

12 } So — (ab)
 1 Gilderl
 1 Matthei

Rangigen
27. Rangigen 40 } 20 (27)
1878
1 con

8th 20th scandalum 20 — (es)
= mis 6 30 } 2 Daughters
and

29. Sukka Tokou — (29)
 2 mi Ammab } 20 —
 15 }
 2 Undawa
 9 ha Amm

30 Sundara } Dec — (30)
Mayen — 20 } 1 wife

1. Kuppapama } 26 (31)
ypr 43 } Wife

Side preceding side.

Hair Copie

X The Navy's Vessel claims to 24 Thistles entered opposite the pier No 87 to 102 inclusive
by reason that they were under the border are the "Roth regime" property of the Latvian Government
and they should be reverted to the Navy under a decision of the Prerogative Council.
The program states that these 24 Thistles are under attachment since the year of 1940
and the Latvian Government has to the Navy paying a fixed amount of the flag right fee.

regarding Kollegal of the Jeminar Jeminar. The attachment is altogether
 arbitrary & unlawful under the following circumstances.
 The person No 87 15702 as concerns - Raghendra Red, the late Elder Brother of the
 person No 87 15702, purchased the 24 Thuttes in AD 1834 for Rs 2100 from
 Mattu Vijaya Raghava Aha Boddagana Swamy Periy a Doraga Tavar
 the father Elder brother of the Jeminar. Bill of sale on plain paper.
 The Particulars of the deed dated 30 October 1834 to the Court of Wards dated as follows

"I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Court of Wards for the
 'surrender to Madwa Red, brother of Raghendra Red of 24 shares of Village of
 Paramanar in the Thuvangangudi Jeminar, now under sequestration'.
 'Paramanar is an Inam Village paying quit rent of 400 Rs.
 'now been ascertained by examination of accounts & inquiries, that 24
 'shares were acquired as a portion of separate property of the Jeminar (Kollegal)
 'in the time of Jeminar all across the Jeminar's Minister (afterwards rebel)
 'before the permanent settlement."

"In January 1834, the Jeminar Boddagana Swamy Tavar sold them for 2100 Rs
 to Raghendra Red who & his Brother enjoyed them from that time till Feb 24 37
 In Feb 1838 when the Jeminar was under sequestration owing to the Law suits
 between Ungamootoo Natchiyar & the Jeminar, these shares were gifted with effect
 to the Jeminar & again attached by my order, when on the estate being taken under the
 Court of Wards in AD 1839, all alienations were placed under temporary sequestration
 pending enquiry."

"Raghendra Red is dead. The documents possessed by Madwa Red his brother clearly
 'prove the purchase of the property (over & above the quit rent which will continue to be paid)
 'not being included in the assets of the Jeminar his right to the possession seems to be plain
 'I decided' X X
 As directed in the proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 1 March 1855, the 24 Thuttes were made
 over to the person No 87. Under these circumstances, the claim of the Party is rejected
 & is referred to the Civil Court. The 24 Thuttes are registered in the names
 of the persons No 87 to 102 of 1834 - at the request of the person No 87 of 1834 -
 (Witness: aded.)

32 Subbarayan	20	(32)	1 wife
33 Srinivasa	40	(33)	1 wife
33 1/2 Rangayyan	30	(33 1/2)	1 brother
34 Karanayyan	32	(34)	1 brother
35 Rameswami	27	(35)	2 children
36 Subbarayan	50	(36)	1 wife
37 Raghayyan	55	(37)	2 sons
38 Sharavami	22	(38)	1 wife
39 Rameswami	19	(39)	1 wife
40 Venkatasubba	13	(40)	1 wife
41 Subbarayan	10	(41)	1 wife
42 Srinivasa	35	(42)	1 wife
43 Raghayyan	70	(43)	1 wife
44 Venkatasubba	35	(44)	1 wife
45 Venkata		(45)	1 wife

See preceding col.
 [Signature]

Handwritten note: "Handwritten note"

XI The Village has the following Hamlets or Panchals -

- I Chokanda Trupu -
- II Vavampettai -
- III Vologannal -
- IV Votangolam -
- V Pongawayy Nandamandal -
- VI Parumangolam -
- VII Vady -
- VIII Vagel Vary -

Register No 1296

Sheet No 1480

Sheet No 1480

In the Village the Damesanam portion of half Village, there were some lands that never belonged to the 22nd Pongos co Grama Saminayam. These lands are in possession of the Temple No 108. The person No 1051, 107, 108 who acquired them as follows - The 74 Ann & 55 Puts, inclusive of dry & wet, of lands entered against the name of the Temple No 108 belong to the Damesanam portion of the Hamlet of Pongawayy Kada. The 107 & 108 were purchased from the funds of the Temple long ago. Subsequent to the removal of Parumangolam. They were purchased from the funds of the Temple long ago. Subsequent to the removal from the Mahayannam as admitted by themselves, the Ranga Pakud, the purchase of the Village from Perannamir. All of all is not forthcoming. At the 74 Ann & 55 Puts are a portion of the Damesanam. They are taken as personal grant with the rest of the Damesanam portion of the Village.

The 135 Acres & 23 Puts entered against the person No 1054 & 106 - belong to the Damesanam portion of half of the Hamlet of Chokanda Trupu. They were purchased in 1851 & 1852 for Rs 375 from the Panchayat of Pottu & Muttu Vargan & the Tami, Naganna Natchiyar & Muttu Vargan Nagoondar. Muttu Vargan Panna Vargan Tami - the then holders thereof. The Temple No 108 was then there bills are on stamp for Rs 100. Enjoined from Transaction proved to be bona fide. Enjoined from the 74 Ann & 55 Puts entered against the person No 107 on the back of the 5 chel of the Register were purchased in 1851 & 1852 for Rs 176 from one Ponnalamma Tami, Varga Tami alias Pongay Pallabala Tami. Two bills are on stamp for Rs 100.

Of the lands entered against the Temple of Vavampettai, Pongay which is numbered 108 & 69 Ann & 23 Puts belong to the Damesanam portion of the half Village. A portion of these lands, which were purchased in 1850 - 1851 for Rs 570 - from Varga Tami alias Pongay Pallabala Tami & Muttu Natchiyar, Muttu Parumathar family. They made an agreement of the same to the Temple No 108 - even after they purchased. The Temple of the Temple No 108 requests to register these lands in the name of the Temple & the bills are in stamped for Rs 100. These documents were registered in the Sub Court of Madurai. Between 1850, 25 April 1851, 8 November 1850 & 30 June 1851 - 7121 must meet.

46 Venkataswamy	Al.	(46)	1 wife
45			1 mother
47 Venkata Rama	Leo	(47)	1 wife
45			3 sons.
			1 daughter
			1 son
48 Venkata pati	Leo	(48)	1 mother
31			1 wife
			3 sons.
			1 daughter
49 Venkatarama	Leo	(49)	1 wife
29			1 mother
			1 older brother
Rameswaram			
50 Vaydelinga	Leo	(50)	1 mother
30			1 younger brother
51 Kristnayan	Leo	(51)	1 older brother
27			1 mother
Perannamir			
52 Subhayan	Leo	(52)	1 son
50			1 daughter
			1 daughter
53 Venkatarama	Leo	(53)	1 wife
29			2 sons.
40			1 daughter
Rangiyar			
54 Venkatarama	Leo	(54)	1 wife
55			2 sons.
			2 daughters
55 Subhayan	Leo	(55)	1 older brother
50			
56 Venkataswamy	Leo	(56)	1 wife
60			1 son
			1 daughter
57 Sitaramayya	Leo	(57)	1 wife
57			2 sons.
			2 daughters
58 Subhavaday	Leo	(58)	1 wife
53			2 sons.
			1 daughter

View preceding page -

Handwritten signature

The Paramattan family had no right to sell these lands - Several days were spent in
 ascertaining the truth of the allegations of the Mahayans - The Mahayans
 failed to prove their allegations & were in the end of a fact showing that they were wrong.
 Their claim of separate Pottas are not being granted to these individuals - It is proved by
 the Pottas of the Mahayans & by the documents produced by their opponents that the

Register all allegations acknowledged & confirmed the true nature of the sale of the lands in question -
 No 1296 The Mahayans admit that these lands are in possession of the respective holders & that
 their matter the alleged transaction of the mortgage was the allegation that some of the lands
 was granted on service tenure to the Paramattan Pottas is proved - The Paramattan
 family had some lands that do not belong to the Trustees, in the Village of Marud
 Hence the claims of the Mahayans which is founded on party feelings is rejected -
 & the lands are registered in the names of the respective holders thereof.

The Pottas of No 108 have been registered in the Sub Court of Madura -

XIV The men persons who are holding the lands, which lands belong to the 24 Trustees
 in the Damassanam portion, are not paying Pongu as the persons who sold them enjoyed -
 them always as Pongu Manges.

XV From the statement entered in the margin of the 5th sheet it

that the persons of 67 68 107 108 109 110 111 purchased 60 acres & 78 sent out of the
 District among portions of half Village, long ago - on the lands in the Damassanam
 portion of half Village was one & the same till given from the Pottas of Paramattan.
 The Rangas Pottas claim that these lands being a portion of the District among half Village,
 they should be registered in the name of the Temple of Madura -

It appears from a Talled of the Collector dated 11 October 1818 that the Paramattan
 purchased the land in the Damassanam portion which was sold to the persons of 67 68 107 108 109.

It was long ago from the managers of the Temple after improving & restoring the Temple
 in the Village of the Temple at his own cost - As these lands were always held as personal goods
 appears from the Talled and as the lands were originally the property of the Temple,
 would recommend to confirm these lands to the respective holders thereof of a record
 from the remaining portion of half Village of the District among portion to the Temple under Rule.

Clause one Talled free - Hence the claim of the Rangas Pottas is rejected.

Sheet No 1481 (See and see)

71 Perumayyan	46	(91)	1 wife
alias Prati		2 sons	
72 Perumayyan	46	1 daughter	
		4 daughters	
73 Perumayyan	43	(92)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	
74 Perumayyan	43	(93)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	
75 Perumayyan	43	(94)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	
76 Perumayyan	43	(95)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	
77 Perumayyan	43	(96)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	
78 Perumayyan	43	(97)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	
79 Perumayyan	43	(98)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	
80 Perumayyan	43	(99)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	
81 Perumayyan	43	(100)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	
82 Perumayyan	43	(101)	1 wife
		2 sons	
		2 daughters	

When did the Paramattan
 Paligam purchase the District
 which he afterwards alienated
 to No 67, 68, 107, 108 to 111? and for
 the Talled of 1818 referred to by the
 570 case - Ascertain the Talled
 15/2/65 was

The purchase was made in true immemorial
 estate it was a purchase, it was a record
 chiefly in consideration of the people have
 having improved the condition of the
 Pagoda lands by erecting the repair
 of tanks at a heavy cost, time by him
 Under Talled of 1818 to 1819, 1820, 1821, 1822
 Talled order to Talled 11th October 1818 & 26 -
 Talled with the Rangas Pottas a Sub Master a Talled
 15th March 1865 No 2 -

This is the case.
 The

I would therefore charge 1/3 of the cost on the
 alienated lands of No 67, 68, 107 to 111
 and confirm the Village in the name of
 the Temple of the Pagoda under free
 of the Pottas - and the Rangas Pottas a Talled

Final Copy
 5-78
 8/6/67 -
 2-4
 you see.

1483

Register 1296

Damastan & Dalmadan Villages Peramanur

in Chokkonnad. in the Taluk of Srirangapatna

Madurai District

Sheet 16/483

109 Vellattal } 1091
 12 } 1091
 Vadi
 110 Vellattal } 110
 12 } 110
 111 Vellattal } 111
 12 } 111

See preceding sheet

See copy

A separate enfranchisement having been
 ordered to be made for the hamlet of Chokka-
 nadirup, included in this settlement the
 extent & assessment of the same will be
 deducted from this Register and a fresh title
 deed issued for the remaining extent as
 follows in the names of 101 to 104 & 107 to 111.

Extent, as per 101 to 104 & 107 to 111	Area	Assessment	Extent	Area	Assessment
Chokkanadirup	359-91	1012-83	7074-12-5	359-91	1012-83
Deduct extent of Chokkanadirup	34-38	78-51			
Separately enfranchised	34-38	78-51			
Remains	325-53	734-02	6366-12-5		

10th Decr 1911 with revised amounts

Sd/-
 25/6/11