

Registers of Dammamam Village of Parandakulam in Pottipanni Talag of Swaganga mandary in the Kara visudh

Parandakulam D L of m L & G m L

Patnam
Vill
Toll
1-5-11-30
1-5-11-30
1-5-11-30

This is one of the Dammamam in the mandary of Pottipanni Talag granted by Deva Raj among Mahabalinara Rajah to Nama Jindra Pastur & other
- Prames of Nara Gotra & Nara Putra - The date of the grant is not given in any of the Accounts - But it is marked down in the Account of 17223 that
the land was granted by Maha Balavara Rajah - This Rajah signed over the Country about the year 1700 - Hence this is an ancient grant - The name
of the grantor is said to be Mahabalinara Rajah also in the English Account of 17815 - The Polls of the Village was lost as appears from the
- English Account of 17815 - This Village is entered as given in the Account of 17211 & is Dammamam in the Maratta Account of 17223, in the Tamil Account of 17244
- in the English Account of 17815 - In the Revenue Account of 17211 & 1712 the Village is entered as given & in the Account of 17213 this is entered as Dammamam
In an account of the total & partial alienations of Villages by the Revenue of Pottipanni Talag from July 1711 submitted to the Board of Revenue by Mr Blackburn
with his letter dated 17th February 1838, the Village is entered as the one granted in 17211 by Gurusamy Vallabha Periyah to Naya Tervir, Putnam, Pottipanni
In reviewing the Account the Board in their Resolution dated 1st March 1838 stated that although "the resumption of these unalienated grants generally is required with"
"reference to the present depressed condition of the Estate, the Board desire to entrust you with a liberal discretion in all acting & suggesting the laws which they"
"trust will be done with due consideration to the circumstances of the present possessors, to their means of support, & to the nature & usefulness of the institutions"
"for the endowment of which the alienations have been made." x x x x - The Range Tahsil pleads that as this is a subsequent grant, Grant have no reversionary -
herein & that it should be treated in the settlement as given - The holder of the Village pleads that the Village was granted to his ancestors long previous to the
Permanent Settlement & that the entries in the Account of 17211 are not true - In proof of this, he produced a divisional purporting to be a deed of this issue of
property dated 1788 which took place between his Grand Father & Grand Father's younger brother - with a list of property thus divided - This deed & the tahsil
are on plain paper leaves - This agreement of list contains the names of

Register No. 682

Sheet No. 886

As per Act of 17223
Naya's Village 16-24 11-30
Escrow's Village 16-24 11-30
Deduct Dammamam
Bed of Tank 16-24 11-30
Village interest 2-11-12
Nayan 11-30
Naya's Village
Cultivated 16-24 11-30

Property dated 1788 which took place between his Grand Father & Grand Father's younger brother - with a list of property thus divided - This deed & the tahsil
are on plain paper leaves - This agreement of list contains the names of
To be confirmed under Rule - Under the circumstances
Rule III Agreed & under stated by the S. M. the
Rule VII for the absence - Village is confirmed as
Dammamam 16-24 11-30
Gout 16-24 11-30
Nayan 11-30
Naya's Village
Cultivated 16-24 11-30

To be confirmed under Rule - Under the circumstances
Rule III Agreed & under stated by the S. M. the
Rule VII for the absence - Village is confirmed as
Dammamam 16-24 11-30
Gout 16-24 11-30
Nayan 11-30
Naya's Village
Cultivated 16-24 11-30

True Copy

Parandakulam
Dammamam
Nayan
Naya's Village
Cultivated 16-24 11-30

Taking for the period of 1898

From <i>S. 1264</i> to <i>S. 1270</i>	Calculated area in acres	Gross acres	Of which Semi-arid is here at 45 percent	Average Price of grain	Value of the quantity of grain given in <i>Gr</i>	Rate per acre
<i>A. H. O.</i>	<i>A. C.</i>	<i>A. H. O.</i>	<i>A. H. O.</i>	<i>Ret'd</i>	<i>Ret'd</i>	<i>Ret'd</i>
2401—5	1886—76	13178-10-2	5905-1-4	1-6-11	8458-9-8	5-2-8

the Village. The person Mr J. B. again produced a bill of sale, dated 1784, alleged to have been executed by one
Vasaya Nayan to one Peteramanga Paray, the former residing in the latter half of the Village - This bill of sale is on
a plain paper leaf. Of course there are no stamps to prove the genuineness of these old documents. But I see
no ground to suspect the genuineness of the same - as they seem to be of an old date - at this place.
The account of 1811 is not a true copy of that year's Return. The account of A.D. 1838 submitted to the
Board of Revenue by Mr Blackburn with his letter dated 17 February 1838 in which the Village is placed is a
grant made in 1816 was prepared from the ~~same~~ information furnished by the farmer.
I venture to mention here that both these accounts, are therefore, untrue & unworthy. The farmer's Account of 1811
shows that the Village was as Daminasaram in that year - while Mr Blackburn's Account of A.D. 1838 shows that
it was granted in 1816 - These contradictions attract the attention of the Reviewing officers. -
The Village is entered as Daminasaram in the Account of A.D. 1815, which was forwarded to the Board of Revenue.
It is pity that no reference was made in A.D. 1838, to the said Account of A.D. 1815 - Under these circumstances

I consider that this is a Village deducted from the assets upon which the Permanent settlement was based.

Trust it as such - leaving with the Reviewing Officers its ultimate fate -
 The B. 11. 17. 11. To the amount of the pension of 17/6 & 5/6 of one Rupee

Nazren. as appears by the transaction &c. - The half share of the Village of Tausiac Nazren fell in possession of the person M^o 16 by purchase -

No Talk was about the area or about the boundaries on the part of the Range. Indeed this boundary is the same as the statement: correspond to those in the Account of 1846. Hence the boundaries are copied here into the statement. These are registered here. The village council of 6 equal votes. They are engaged as shepherds the manager

Prize is paid on the number of tickets equally & permanently -

received 16 letters in all 1857-77 852 for Refers 330 from ~~Village~~ Village -
 1 letter from H. M. Muttassawey Esq one of the sharabados in the village -

Transaction proved to be bonafide - Enjoyned proved -

the Daughtery, the person of 1/16 purchased & thirty in it \$1.57 for paper 58 from one of Aragon's -

scale is on a plain Cyprian leaf - *Lamprochorda* proved to be *Chamaecyparis*.
The scales are those of one *Vaccinium Karsteggen* - *Chamaecyparis*.

The son of Kameau early settled here & village arose in
the winter of 1878 - The son of Kameau early settled here & village arose in

... belonging to the family of the person of 1816, to the corner of the ...
... to the person of 1816, to the person of 1816 partly directly & partly through one Matthew ...

...-Empire of ... -

Fair copy
 (Two more sheets)

Sheet of Prindall's continued in Particular Talug of Lezaganga

1851 682

Valuation

171. Wet lands depend upon one Tank of moderate size. It is in repair. It is fed by a Pungle Stream & by the Sautah Talug of the adjacent Villages. The Tank is filled annually. Security of Water is not just generally. Wet lands are full water annually in fall - once, & pretty 2^d time. Soil is of a superior quality generally. It is black in colour. The Village is in pretty good state. The average income of the Village is 2600 rupees per annum as per statement of the person of 1701. The contiguous Agar Village is Alengolene. The average wet area of the said Contiguous Agar Village was calculated for a period of 15 years previous to 1770 comes to 6 1/2 Rupees per acre. This is fair. No dry fall water in the Village - nor are there any lands yet now full water with Dry Crops. In consideration of the fertility of the fertility of soil & I frame the valuation of the Village as follows -

Wet 11 1/2 Rupees full water at 6 1/2 Rupees per acre 73-2

Register No. 682

Prindall
6-6-6 to }

J. Prindall
J. Prindall

Sheet No. 887

Prindall