

Costs of Government Taluqq per acre

Grass Rice

Dry 3-9-9 1-1-7

Mt 10-13-3 1-5-9

Average rate of Government Taluqq per acre

Dry 1-5-6

Mt 4-6-7

Average Value of grain of Paddy on wet land in Guleyagadi

Madan consisting of 4500 Acres in Guleyagadi Taluqq

For the period of 15 years - A

From 1256 to 1270	Cultivated area in acres	Grass and other	Of which Gaudar's share at 45 per cent	Average prices of grain	Value of the quantity of grain in Rs	Rates
1256-7-5	5110-39	47393-4-2	20123-1-1	1-9-8	32339-12-4	6-5-3

The Paddy was discontinued about 60 years ago by the government. Hence all the festivals ceased to be continued themselves at the same time as appears from my enquiry into the village and the inhabitants of the adjacent villages. However the holders of the village being members of caste they are doing naturally. During the period really there is no matter. The house in which the holder of the village is residing is called Mattam. I find the term Mattapuram village is contained in the District into two ways. 1st Mattapuram Village is a village granted for the support of Mattam. 2nd Mattapuram Village is a village granted

for the support of the people or family of a prior Prince in Pondanore. The dwelling place of the prior Prince are called Mattapuram for the sake of respect. In any way by producing accounts of the income of the village. If this were really a Mattapuram Village, the government would have added it to the Devasthanam Bhatta as he does generally. I don't think that the government has right to claim for periodical ceremonies or festivals being done, when he has discontinued the privileges promised and paid to the grantee as mentioned in the Patta. He remains an account of 7123 which shows that this was then in possession of the family of the Bhatta. It is true that the village said to be a charitable grant as it is said in other cases. It is true that the village is entered in all the Accounts as Mattapuram Village. But I have described above the meaning of the term Mattapuram. Hence the above circumstances I consider this as an Mattapuram Village & treat it as such subject of course to the confirmation of the Revenue Officers. The village is mortgaged to one of the Ambaram Pillai about 8 years.

II. No talk was about the area or about the boundaries on report of the Rangia Vakil. The boundaries of the village are not recorded in any of the Accounts. The boundaries now inserted in the Vernacular statement

conform to those in the Patta. Hence the area of 340 Acres & 84 fathoms is correct here.

The persons 16 are deservants of the Bhatta. They enjoy the Village equally. No dispute regarding the share.

In the English Account of 1885 it is said that the village has no Patta.

III. Valuation - The land is dependent upon an small tank. It is in repair. It is fed by a single stream. The tank is filled annually. A quantity of water is left generally. The holders of the village say that 70 Ann of Dry is cultivated annually in addition to 100 or 120 Ann of Dry. That 11 Ann of Water 70 or 80 Ann of Dry are of long uncultured lands. Get to be cultivated within 5 or 6 years. There is some exaggeration in the matter of Dry Waste. Some of the lands are not cultivated & some annually. No garden crops are raised in the village. Rangab & Rangab lands are not of a very superior quality generally. The soil is of regular description. The village is in pretty good state. Average income of the village is 16700 per annum. This is not true. The correct amount of the village is 16700 per annum. This average is the average of the village calculated for a period of 15 years previous to 1870. (V. V. V. V. V.)

There is another Village *Arumayur* which is also situated contiguous to this Village. The average of this Village comes to 56-14-5 per acre. The soil of this Village says that the soil of this Village is inferior to that of the adjacent *Syeed* Villages. The Dry average amount varies from 1-2-50-75 per acre. The highest Dry rate is charged for gingely oil seeds. The holders of this Village say that these crops are not grown in this Village. In consideration of the fertility of soil & from the valuation of this Village as follows:-

Wet 60 *Ann* full water at 6 *Rees* per acre - 360 -

Wet 22 3/4 *Ann* of an inferior quality at 200 } 458-0
per acre

405-8-0

Register No. 555

Dry 130 *Ann* full water at 13 *As* per acre - 100-5-10 -

Dry 67 3/4 *Ann* water at 4 *As* per acre - 16-15 -

Although this sum comes to 105-10- according to the calculation the figure put down by the Dy. Col. will be retained as the *Mahebanams* are satisfied with the Deputy Collector's valuation. The Dy. Col's settlement will not therefore be disturbed.

117-4-10

Vol. 522-12-10

Sheet No. 727

Handled
30484 }

Arumayur

WMS
off. Secy. (Sd)