

Variyendal, Surakudi, Kanjaram Kurichiyendal and - Parattamendal

Register 412
Sheet No 553

1. These are the four villages in the panchayat of Paranganga. These were granted by Parandana Pongam King of 11th century - 1100-1150 AD - 4000 for the support of a Matham in Paranganga Village. This has no Patta. This is an ancient grant. It appears by the tradition that the object of the grant is to keep the Matham, which is presided by the Priest of Parattamendal (Velayattengudi Mattukalai Matham), especially by feeding Brahmins in a Matham situated close to the Matham by worshipping the Pongam in "Palambayyadai foral" situated close to the Matham & by maintaining the dignity of the Priest. Now the object of the grant is apparently kept up by doing all the above things. The Pottas who are very rich spend considerable sum annually for feeding Brahmins. The Priest of the Matham died about 15 months ago. The Selties are in contemplation in electing a Priest for the Matham. It appears when the Priest was alive, some of the Principal Selties whose names are given above were managing the affairs of the Matham of the villages attached to it. The Managers are now Trustees are elected by the Selties community itself. Thus the grant falls under Rule III clause one Tax free. This is a hereditary grant. This is in uninterrupted possession of its holders since the date of the grant. The Village is entered as Paranganga Matham Village in all the accounts of 1012. The persons of 16 are now Trustees of the Matham. They are managing the affairs thereof.

II. The boundaries of these villages which are situated contiguous to each other are not recorded in the account of 1246. In the account of 1211 the names of the villages of Parangamendal, Parakudi & Kanjaram Kurichi of itself are entered & the names of the villages of Parattamendal is omitted. It is said that Parattamendal is a hamlet of Parangamendal. In the account of 1223 & of 1285, some of the villages were entered for the support of the Matham. For the support of the Matham of Parangamendal in 1211 & 1223 & 1285. The names of which are not known, being (three names, etc.)

Sal of 1211

Parangamendal	200-10-14
Parakudi	180-10-54
Kanjaram	200-10-140-54
Kurichiyendal	280-10-54
Parattamendal	420-54
Parangamendal	4-2-21
Parakudi	1-8-1-11
Kanjaram	6-12-4-81
Kurichiyendal	3-3-60
Parattamendal	150-84
Total	123-73
Parangamendal	296-84
Parakudi	20-14
Kanjaram	80-56-01
Kurichiyendal	92-14-40
Parattamendal	172-20-61
Total	162-4-41
Parangamendal	30-72-80
Parakudi	87-60
Kanjaram	162-40
Kurichiyendal	296-81
Parattamendal	745-7

Support of the Matham in Parangamendal village	Sal of 1211	Sal of 1223	Sal of 1285
Parangamendal	200-10-14	200-10-14	200-10-14
Parakudi	180-10-54	180-10-54	180-10-54
Kanjaram	200-10-140-54	200-10-140-54	200-10-140-54
Kurichiyendal	280-10-54	280-10-54	280-10-54
Parattamendal	420-54	420-54	420-54
Parangamendal	4-2-21	4-2-21	4-2-21
Parakudi	1-8-1-11	1-8-1-11	1-8-1-11
Kanjaram	6-12-4-81	6-12-4-81	6-12-4-81
Kurichiyendal	3-3-60	3-3-60	3-3-60
Parattamendal	150-84	150-84	150-84
Total	123-73	123-73	123-73
Parangamendal	296-84	296-84	296-84
Parakudi	20-14	20-14	20-14
Kanjaram	80-56-01	80-56-01	80-56-01
Kurichiyendal	92-14-40	92-14-40	92-14-40
Parattamendal	172-20-61	172-20-61	172-20-61
Total	162-4-41	162-4-41	162-4-41
Parangamendal	30-72-80	30-72-80	30-72-80
Parakudi	87-60	87-60	87-60
Kanjaram	162-40	162-40	162-40
Kurichiyendal	296-81	296-81	296-81
Parattamendal	745-7	745-7	745-7

The boundaries of the villages given in the Malabar Manual of 1809 & 1810 are not correct. The boundaries of the villages are now being surveyed and will be confirmed and recommended in 1864.

To be confirmed in the present time in the year 1864. The four villages the Jodi in them being fixed in a lump. The villages will be entered on the back of the G.D. 11/5/64 NW

4 Kanjaram Kurichiyendal

7263
 Padargudi
 Mattam
 Surakasi
 yindal
 2 Varwayal
 3 Donaupetty
 4 Kainjaram
 vayal
 Nango
 Varaydi
 64 " "
 44-80

III Four Villages are brought here on one & the same Register as the area of the same is entered in Camp in all the Accounts -
In the Account of 1721 the area of these Villages now under settlement is given as 16 Annas & 80 pints. In the Account of 1722 it is entered as 6 Annas -
& in the Agent's Account of 1723 it is entered as 4 Annas & 80 pints. Now the Trustees of 1724 added 12 1/2 Annas of 600 & 280 pints & the area entered in the
Account of 1724 - This is a marked increase. The Trustees produced an ^{authentic} copy of a Muzammanah dated 1808 & which was registered in the Court on the
31st August 1809 & which contains the boundaries of these Villages. This Muzammanah contains the four Villages - brought on the Register -
The object of the Muzammanah was to establish the boundaries of these Villages. The boundaries are not such as to prevent the two Trustees from encroaching upon
adjacent Ayen lands. The Valid of the Pany of Singanga wrote afterwards on the Muzammanah statement that he has no fault to find with the bound-
aries in the Muzammanah which are entered now in the ^{Register} statement of these Villages under Settlement. I doubt ^{the reason being} the Valid in saying that
he has no reason to find fault with the boundaries of these four Villages - when the excess is a striking one - There is a large Ayen Village of Patnam situated
contiguous to these four Villages. This is one of the Sub Division of Geminour Villages & is ^{about 3 miles} distant from them. It is a large village about 3 years ago.
& this Village was ^{then} under mortgage with Arumachellum Setty one of the settlers - I doubt whether ^{the} waste lands & of the other villages of Patnam
were added to the Villages under Settlement by the consent of the fundowners or mortgagor. These Settlers look much to the Muttam than any thing else -
I doubt that the Setty who purchased the Village of Patnam has hereditary right in it. If the Government falls in possession of Govt, I doubt that
they will lose their interest in it. If that be right, the fundowner of the Ayen Village will be anxious to transfer the lands of the Ayen Villages to his interests
Muttam Villages. On the whole as the Geminour Taluk is clear & there are no complaints of the Trustees having encroached upon any of the Ayen Lands
I register here the 420 Annas & 54 pints - The boundaries given in the Muzammanah including the land of the four Villages under settlement -
The Pany of all the Villages belonging to the Muttam is 1524 - in Camp - The Villages were declared after the same are not known -
to be the same with these Villages. The zemindar has no objection to registering the names of the Trustees here.

15..... The Trustees is under the management of the Trust
6 The average income of the Village is 745.9 per an

W. L. G. and R. D. G.

Handwritten: Karl Ludwig
1784