[illegible] Padavetti Singanapuram & Terku Tevarendal in the Taluq of Manad of Sivaganga Zamindari [illegible]

(1) Padavetti Singanapuram & (2) Terku Tevarendal

(1) [illegible Tamil script]

Register No. 1345

I There are two Villages in the zemindary of Sivagangai they were granted for the subsistence of one Sivaramayyan of [illegible]. They are No grant. These Villages are entered as Darmasanum in all the Accounts. ~~[illegible]~~ These Villages consist of 68 equal Vritties. They are enjoyed as shown in the margin. The lands are divided. Poruppu is paid on the number of Vritties equally & permanently.

II No talk was about the case about the boundaries on the part of the Rajah's Vakeel. The boundaries now inserted in the vernacular statement correspond to the recognized limits of ~~these~~ both villages ~~[illegible]~~. Hence they are confirmed here & the 116 Acres & 20 cents situated therein are registered here.

II The persons No. [illegible] C... are not anyway related to the original Grantee. The persons No 1 & 2 & 16 are standing shareholders in the Village & the other lands they are holding of the lands from time immemorial & others are Alienees. No document [illegible] 1838 - 1837 - 1848 - 1849 - 1850 - 1851 [illegible] C... 16 purchased their lands in [illegible]

III The persons No 3 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 & 15 [illegible] from one Nagara Iyer - Subbramanyan, Aiyaiyar [illegible]. Nine bills are on

1855 1860 & 1861 [illegible] 1862 for Rupees 1800 [illegible] The bills of sale of 1861 & 1862 are on stamped papers. No witnesses to prove the genuineness of the bills of sale on plain

Bills of sale of 1855 & 30 [illegible] on stamped Cadjans [illegible] plain Cadjans. (See preceding side)

Dharma [illegible] Sanam as per date [illegible] acct. Rs 40-15-11 [illegible]	As per acct of F 1223 Vijaya Raguna [illegible] Pāty date is unknown	Acct of F 1211 Marata acct of F 1223 Boundary acct of F 1214 Board Regr. [illegible] A.D. 1515	As per acct of F 1223 Sivaramayyan	F 1211 Dharma Sanam Padavetti Singanapuram and Terku Tevarendal Nanja (Viriyadi) 43-6-1 A 6 30-40 Punja (Kurkam) 26 3/4 A 6 14-98 Total A 6 45-38	Do F 1223 Dharma Sanam Padavetti Singanapuram and Tevarendal Nanja (Viriyadi) 43-6-1 A 6 30-40 [illegible] F 1244 Do Regr [illegible]	Sedupuram [illegible]	Share holders	
						1 Dhorasami Iyer 60	Share holder	(1) 1 Wife 1 son
						2 Ramasami Aiyangar 50	Do	(2) 1 Wife 2 sons
						3 Meenakshi Sundarama Iyer 30	Do	(3) 1 Wife 1 Mother
						Kattamir 4 Sayed Bava Rawuttan	Do	(4) 1 Wife 2 sons 2 Daughters [illegible]
						5 Kadaram [illegible] 40	Do	(5) 2 Daughters [illegible]
						6 Karuttavi [illegible] Ramu	Do	(6) 1 Wife 1 son

Manual Family of lands are divided. One Pulli is [illegible]

The information is vague. As the present holders in any way related to those in Col 15 [illegible] how could they have [illegible] the [illegible] who paid the [illegible] for all along [illegible] obtained [illegible]

Tellegaa [illegible] VII

Sundara [illegible] 46

Govt [illegible] = 65 8

A [illegible] (signature)

Madura 16-12-66

In the Magistrate's Arzee 30th May 1865 No 10

Those [illegible] are in no way connected with Nagasamaranathan in Col 15, who held 1/2 of the Village while the ancestors of No 16 in Col 16 held the other half — [illegible] He left a brother Ramalingam who died 30 years ago leaving a son Nagarama [illegible] & his Narayanan who has died unmarried in Rudhiroodgari [illegible] or 3 years ago — His heirs have alienated the whole of their 1/2 [illegible] & his family is extinct.

The ancestors of No 1 & 2 having also lost a good portion of their 1/2 share, No 1 & 2 have left in their enjoyment 4 of the 24 shares into which the [illegible] is [illegible] dead — No share in the [illegible] be traced [illegible]

Register of Patrimoney Padavetti Tevarendal

	(1)	(2)	(3)	
[illegible]	1	2		1/2
[illegible]	2	2		1/2
[illegible]			1 1/2	3/2
[illegible]			4 1/2	
[illegible]			2	
[illegible]			2 1/2	2/2
[illegible]			1 1/2	1/2
[illegible]			1	1
[illegible]			1/2	1/2
[illegible]			1/2	1/2
[illegible]			3	
[illegible]			1	
Total	4	13	13	24

Village Shares by Documents

Nanja (Viriyadi)	43-6-1	30-40
(Do)	22-7-5	15-80
	66	46-20
(Kurkam)	26 3/4	14-98
	1 1/2	
	95	
Total		
Nanja	3	1-68
Punja ground (Do)	6	3-36
	44	24-64
		91-56
(Viriyadi)	43-6-1	30-40
(Do)	11	7-70
(Do)	11-7-5	8-10
	66	46-20
(Kurkam)	26 3/4	14-98
	34 1/4	19-18
	20	11-20
	81	45-36
		91-56

303-0-8

A Sheet No 1540

Dry 3-9-9 — 1-7
Wet 10-13-3 — 5-9

Average Rates of Government Taluqs per Acre

Dry 1-4-6
Wet 4-6-1

Average Value of grain of Melwaram or wet land in Povalanicle Tra-haram Consisting of 28 Ryot Villages in the Taluq of Marnad for a period of 15 years

Years from 1256 to 1270	Cultivated area in Acres	Gross outturn	Deduct Zamindar's share at 45 per Cent	annual price of grain	Value of gross outturn in Cash	Rate per Acre
H M D	A C	K M D	K M D	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
4 7 5	1731 84	15227 1 3	6803 2 4	1 8 6	10353 14 6	5 15 8

There is nothing in the Case however that can justify the [illegible] that the Village has unfairly acquired by [illegible] means.

The Village is therefore confirmed in one T. Cawny & odd on Rs (33) — besides the Cash payable to the Zamindar

13/3/14 [illegible]
1885 The Inam Commissioners

45
Vittiandei 20 — (11) Wife
11 Ganapati
Servei 40

K Sokkuser 20 — (12) 1 Wife 1 Son
vei — 30

13 Manigaranam 20 — (13) 1 Wife 2 Sons 1 Daughter
Nilam 30

14 Vayiranam 20 — (14)
Servai — 7

5 Palavanattu 20 — (15) 1 Wife 1 Son
Kanni 30

7/3 carry over

Gnd

IV In this Village 2 Pongo trees are enjoyed without document. It is said by [illegible] that these trees and lands by purchase long ago. [illegible]

II The persons of 16 years & above documents than 36 years - The family of the Gr. Grantee is exa[illegible]

The persons of 16 years & above, that they or their sellers acquired these Lands legally from Government or the Grantee by other title is the ground to say whether the Village wants to be enfranchised or not. Under these circumstances, I would recommend to confirm the Village [illegible] persons of 16 on 1/4 assessment. The Village are brought on one of the same Ryotwar[illegible]

Valuation

Soil Lands in this Village appear upon two Sorts of moderate sort - They are in repute. They are [illegible] Lands are chiefly watered annually. As scarcity of rain is felt. Some of the Wet & Dry Lands are [illegible] Lands [illegible] and since the last 10 years. They are fit to be watered early - Some of the Wet [illegible] are cultivated annually & some [illegible] Punja Lands are of seven qualities generally - As per [illegible] crops are raised in the Village - which are [illegible] per acre as per the Melwaram. This is not true. The [illegible] Ryot Village is Rs [illegible] per acre - The land of this Village is not any way [illegible] Ryot Village is 6 to 7 years - The land of the Village is [illegible] [illegible] Ryot Villages as follows

[illegible] at 6½ Rupees per acre 3 60 — —
[illegible] at 10 — — 6 — 3 — 2