

Negros No 1051  
Dist No 1

No 1 to 4 is enjoying

N. 6 to 7 - do -

No 8 to 10 Do

Half Village }  
Mattheysen }

Sheet No. 1203

As per amt of \$1211.

Kanja (Kiyadi) — 42 — 29.40

Reduced as per my - 0 4 5 5 - 1/2

Рижск. Гос. архив (фонд 78-12.2 77-98)

103-04

Bed of Tank (Kun Kang) 51-2. 2.50 - 04  
 100 - 11 - 11 - 68

Pond - - - (60) - 6-3-12

Village site (50) — 6-2 — 40

Remainder ————— 45-00

Naugā (Vijaypuri) — 28 1/2 m. 44

Remainder ————— 44 62

1/2 of the Village Madapparam -

Partly waste (100) - 5-4-5 0-74

Punja (burkain) 9-12.3 9-70

Fullerton - 1 44-51  
 H. H. C. H. - 1 44-51

India (Bihar) - 12.9.24.8-87

12 = 1 1/2 12 61.

Punja (Kun Karn) — 9-12-39-70

Cal. 1000

1872

Register No. 1057

Velattaman Kōtai

1 This is one of the Villages in the Zemindari of Perungudi. From the Tamil Account of 17211 & the Marathi Account of 17212, it appears that half of the Village was granted for the support of Dattatreya Matham and the remaining half to a certain person, whose name is not known, as Darnasaram or personal grant - or subsistence grant. The Trustee of the Matham produced a copper plate purporting to be the Polla of the Matham portion of half Village. In this document, no mention of the Darnasaram portion of half Village is made. It is said therein that half of this Village was granted to one Darnasaram for the support of the Matham. The Rao's Vakeel says that he cannot urge whether the Copper Plate Polla is genuine or not. I doubt its genuineness as it is not written in the usual tone or form. It is of no consequence whether the Polla is genuine or not as the accounts of 17211 & 17212 show that half of the village is Matham endowment & the remaining half is Darnasaram.

The Trustee says that he is worshipping the Deities in the Mattam twice a day & ~~admits~~ that it is not ~~certainly~~ <sup>in the Mattam</sup>. The Sub Magistrate of Pannisser says that some of the witnesses whom he examined say that the Deities are in the Mattam - are being worshipped daily at a cost of £2½ Rs but he cannot believe this to be true. The Sub Magistrates report is placed with the - The enclosures of the Sub Magistrates report show that the Mattam is apparently kept up by worshipping the Deities therein - He furnished no evidence to support his inference that the Mattam is <sup>the only one</sup> efficiently kept up. A report given by the Trustee stating that the Mattam is efficiently kept up, he will forward the huge Village offertory among them is placed with the -

*[Left side of page - partially cut off]*

As per Account  
No. 10  
passed of S. 1211  
for the  
Quarantine  
Kuduru  
Kutlu  
of S. 1223  
No. 10  
Sever Boundary  
dated Jul of  
S. 1214  
no. 10  
1683

As per  
Patta  
Granted  
to Seiva  
Kuriyaz  
epi —  
for the  
support  
of Patta  
21 —  
area

No. 1211  
Kamachari  
new —  
Gosami  
Madam  
1/2 Village  
Kadapa  
raim  
Damma  
Nay's  
Vozgi  
21 —  
area

Rameswaram  
~~Tanman~~  
Dattatree  
gaswami  
Madam  
Tristee  
Valambhat  
ter Taiman

*[Right side of page]*

In the whole as it is proved that the Mattum is off  
by overstepping the Distress therein at a trivial expense as it is the case  
with all the other Institutions in these former years, <sup>the ground</sup> from the  
Mattum portion of half village under Rule III please one parallel Mattum  
has not any other encroachments from the half village &  
to be confirmed under Rule — This half of the village  
III / cause one Tax free. is confirmed as  
(Half Village) recommended in  
present tenure.  
22/11/84 WWS

[illegible]

|                                                                            |                                                                        |                                                      |                                                                            |                                                                        |                                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Barro 1000<br/>         2000<br/>         18-3-9<br/>         2-4-9</p> | <p>Shore-<br/>         holder<br/>         1 Wife<br/>         Son</p> | <p>(1)<br/>         (2)<br/>         80 - 1 Wife</p> | <p>Barro 1000<br/>         2000<br/>         18-3-9<br/>         2-4-9</p> | <p>Shore-<br/>         holder<br/>         1 Wife<br/>         Son</p> | <p>(1)<br/>         (2)<br/>         80 - 1 Wife</p> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|

[illegible]

*[Handwritten notes:]*

*Academy of Music*  
*Do do*  
*21*

*Lamont's organ*  
*25*

*Don*  
*Daughter born*  
*is second*

*Pudashan*  
*Sumner*

*DD.*

Met 10-13-3 " - 59

Oct 4-6-1

Salary for the period of 15 years -

1911

III--- The persons N<sup>o</sup> 5 to 16 purchased the lands entered opposite their names in A.D. 1816 & 1854 for Rs. 260 from one Subbramanaya Parthy & Iswara Nayana - Two bills are on plain paper leaves. The said Subbramanaya Parthy is said to be the son of the aforesaid Narayana Parthy - Iswara Nayana is said to be one of the ancestors of the persons N<sup>o</sup> 5 to 16 -

IV --- Wetlands in this Village depend upon one Tank of considerable size. It is in repair. It is fed by a Jungle Stream & by the Waste Water of the Tanks of the adjacent ~~the~~ <sup>Khazir</sup> -  
The Tank is filled annually. Scarcity of Water is not felt generally - The border of this Village claimed of them of about 20 Annas of Dry as cultivated lands first in their account -  
On measuring the village, <sup>some</sup> 17  $\frac{1}{2}$  % of about 19  $\frac{1}{2}$  Annas of Dry found to be actually under plough - The Mahajanams admitted the fairness of the measurement - Hence the  
results of the measurement are adopted in this settlement. They say that the Wet & Dry lands claimed as waste are of 50 years waste & that they are fit to be cultivated without  
= 3 Annas - This is an usual story - (Vide next sheet)

Registered 1057  
 Sheet of Velattamara Kottas - Remains of Devaganga.

- Karigala & Puvigala lands are of a superior quality generally. No portion of Drylands is now fit to be converted into Wet. Some of the Wet lands are cultivated annually 2 times. No garden crops are raised in the Village. The holders of the Village say that their average income of the entire Village is 79 Rupees per annum. This is not true. This is the average stated to be by them when they first conceded half of the Wet cultivated land. They never increased it when the cultivated area was found to be double to that entered in the Cogl Account. There are no other Villages contiguous to the Village under settlement. The average Wet assessment of the Villages entering in the Maganamu in which the village under settlement is situated, is 6 to 7 per acre. The average Dry assessment varies from 1 to 2 per acre. The highest Dry rate of assessment is charged for pulses which are not grown in this Village. In consideration of the fertility of soil &c. I frame the valuation of the Village as follows -

Wet - 20 Acres cultivated at 6 Rupees per acre - 120 <sup>Rs 07</sup>  
 Wet 5 Acres & 20 Pals - Waste at 20 Rupees <sup>10 80</sup> - 130 80

Register No. 1057

Dry 19 Acres & 40 Pals cultivated at 8 Rupees per acre - 19 <sup>60</sup> - 149 <sup>40</sup>

Sheet No. 1204

Rumukudi  
 5 10 64

Thoumama  
 5 10 64