Register of Devadayam Village of Nangaimuttam alias Nangaimangalam in the Taluq of Trippattur of Tanjore District

Sheet No. 755

Register No. 579

Nangaimangalam

Remarks &c.

I Turn one of the Villages in the Jemindary of Sivagangai - This was granted for the support of the Temple of Sri Karpaga Vinayaker [illegible] upon Pillayar Patty. This is a permanent grant. No Patta - The Temple is efficiently kept up - In the account of Fasli [illegible] in the English account of Fasli [illegible] it is said that the Village of Maradangody, Gendal, Hamlet of Sivaiyagel belongs to the Temple in question - This entry is not a correct one - Maradangudy is a Ryan Village - Sevayagel also is a Ryan Village - In the said account it ought to have been said that the Village of Nangaimangalam in the Hamlet of Maradangudy belongs to the Temple in question - In the Maratta Account of Fasli 1223 & in the Account of Fasli 1244, the Village of Nangaimangalam is entered in the name of the Temple - There is no doubt that the Village belongs to the Temple & that it was dedicated from the assets upon which the Permanent settlement was based - In the Estimate account & in the account of AD 1815, Maradangudy [illegible], a Ryan Village is entered in the name of the Temple as Inam Village - In the account of Fasli 1211, Maradangudy, a Ryan Village, is entered as Devastanam Village - In the account of Fasli 1212, it is said that Vadakai is omitted "Hamlet of Maradangudy belongs to this Temple - The Ranga Vakil says that the proper name of Nangamangalam is Vadakai Gendal is Nangamangalam - The Ranga Vakil also says that Nangamangalam was dedicated from the assets upon which the Permanent Settlement was based - The boundaries of the Nangamangalam otherwise called Nanga Muttamandel are recited both in the Maradangudy Mandel of 1808 & in the Account of Fasli 1266 - There is some difference between these two boundaries - The trustees say that they are one & the same.

As per account of Fasli 1223.

Nanja — (Viraiyadi)	8	9-2½	6-07
Kattu Pai — (Do)	31	1-5	21-79
	39	11-1½	27-86
Punja Exempt (Kuttakani)	89	—	38-64
			66-50

Deduct Pramipoke

Nanja — (Viraiyadi)	1	5	0-95
Punja — (Kuttakani)	4	8	2-52
			3-47
Remainder			63-03

Nanja — (Viraiyadi)	8	9-2½	6-07
Exempt — (Do)	29	10-5	20-84
	38	6-1½	26-91
Less — Waste (Do)	—	—	—
	38	6-1½	26-91
Punja — (Kuttakani)	64½		36-12
Total	63	03	

Fasli 1223

Fasli 1244

Fortified Poddi Pert - The name of Pagoda as per account of Karpa Fasli 1223 - Nanja and Punja Vakai in the Villages belonging to this Temple - Now in Cultivation Rs 91-14- Iyapa 25-11-7 = 117-7-7

Maratta account of Fasli 1223 - Karpagavinayakar Sri Vinaya Vakai - Boundary account of Fasli 1244. I Authenticated Copy of Jamabandy Examination and Register in AD 1809 Regulation XXXI of 1802

Fasli 1211 - None

Fasli 1223 - Pillayarpatti - Karpagavinayakar Sri Vinaya Vakai - Trustee 1 Nachiappa Setty — 55 2 Avidayanchetti 36 3 Ramanadan Setty — 61 4 Annamalai Setty — 41 - These lands are entrusted with the proper limits of the Village - The average income of the Village is 644-9-0 per Annum

Pillayarpatti - same as the 1st - Here the area of 66½ Acres is confirmed here -

Nanjanangalam Gendal 3 Nanja (Viraiyadi) 8-9-2½ 6-07 4 Punja (Kuttakani) 64½ 36-12 1 Subbramaniya Gurukal In Fasli 1244 Devadayam Pillaiyar Patti Nagara Mandal Pillaiyar patti including Nangamangalam Mandal Nanja [illegible]

To be assigned under Rule III from Inam Free

Trustees for all the Villages belonging to the Temple — 117-7-7

Confirmed as per Remarks - In remarks in Regr. No 578 - Fasli 1211 & Fasli 1215 the name of the Village is incorrectly entered

10/6/64

Rent Roll 105-64