

	max	min
day	3-9-9	1-7
mt	10-13-3	5-9

Day 1-5-6
 wet 4-6-7

From $\frac{L}{1250}$ to $\frac{L}{1270}$	Cultivated area in Acres	Grass turn	Grass Zamindar's share at 45 per cent	Average price of grain	Value of grain total of grain grown in Cal 4.	Rates per Acres
$\frac{L}{1250}$ to $\frac{L}{1270}$	5756	5756	2597	1-18-3	55515	6-20-2

10	Munakke Ammal 20	} Leo	—	(11)	—
11	Sekaramantay yew 28	} Leo	—	(11)	1 wife 1 daughter 1 mother
12	Kabiduenay yew 22	} Leo	—	(12)	1 wife
13	Ganapatiyew 50	} Leo	—	(13)	1 wife 2 sons 1 daughter in law
14	Pannadunam yew 45	} Leo	—	(14)	1 wife 1 daughter in law
15	Venkatarama yew 20	} Leo	—	(15)	1 wife
16	Kaleyyarkovil Venkatakrust yew 32	} Leo	—	(16)	None
17	~~Pannadunam~~ Ammal 41	} Leo	—	(17)	1 wife
18	Venkata krustayew 14	} Leo	—	(18)	1 mother 1 wife
19	Kagavayy 60	} Leo	—	(19)	1 wife
20	Venkatamma rayyew 20	} Leo	—	(20)	1 wife 1 mother
21	Aravinte Kaisiyamada strie 50	} Leo	—	(21)	3 sons 1 daughter in law
22	Vengusastrie 40	} Leo	—	(22)	1 wife
23	Kagadubba yew 35	} Leo	—	(23)	1 wife
24	Khisitamba rayyew 40	} Leo	—	(24)	1 wife 2 sons 1 daughter in law
25	Supprama yew 28	} Leo	—	(25)	1 wife 1 son

Sheet
No 638

The persons Nos 58-59-60-61 & 62 of 16 purchased their lands long ago - No Bill
gates - ~~24/16~~ - Ragoonam Giled in suit of 14 in A.D. 1840 on the file of the
Late Pundit Sudder Amma fond of Madurai & the decision passed by the said
Court is in support of 9/16 of a Vethi out of 10/16 of a Vethi, which the persons
Nos 58-59-60-61 & 62 purchased from - The persons Nos 15-21-27-23-25-26.
27-32-33-34-35-36-37 & 38 of 16 purchased some of their lands in A.D. 1822-
1826-1829-1832-1840-1841-1844-1845-1847-1850 - from person 670 -
from one Ganapati Dyan Ramaswamy Dyan & Co. bill of sale is on a stamped
paper of 1/16 of a Vethi in the name of the said persons. In the Village of
are enjoyed ~~with~~ without documents. It is said by the Madayamans, that the
persons who are enjoying them now acquired them by purchase long ago & that
Bills of sale were lost -

II. This person No 9-30-56 & one Appayyan alias Madalinga Madayam claims 13 Vethi from
the person No 32 & 36 of 16 on the ground that it was purchased ~~from~~ with 15 of a another
Vethi by the Elour Brothers of the person No 35 of 16 in "Vethi" in A.D. 1839 from one Parakkaray -
Pondyan Sairmai & Sallamuthy Parvati from Madurai his own goods & in his own name -
that when he purchased the 1/16 Vethi, the said Elour Brothers of the person No 35 of 16 promised
him to give 1/16 Vethi equally & when in his possession 6/16 of a Vethi, that some time
after the 1/16 Vethi was purchased, the father of the person No 58 of 16 ~~was~~ getting the
person No 1 of 16 & the husband of the widow No 4 of 16 - ~~claimed~~ the 13/16 Vethi on the
ground that he had purchased them from one Madayam Setty, but upon this, the Elour
Brothers of the person No 35 of 16 sued the father of the person, the husband of the widow
No 4 & others in suit No 4 of 1840 on the file of the Madurai Zillah Court for the recovery
of the 13/16 Vethi, that before a decision was passed formally in the suit, the P.P. &
Defendants entered into a Ragoonam to the effect to give 1/16 Vethi to the father of the
person No 58 of 16 & the husband of the widow No 4 of 16 & 1/16 Vethi
to the Defendants, that when the suit was pending in the Court the Elour Brothers of the
person No 35 of 16 & they themselves (No 9-30-56 & Appayyan Complainants) entered into
an agreement to bear the cost of the suit jointly & purchase the 1/16 Vethi jointly -
after a decision was passed in favour of the P.P. & the Complainants,
paid 2200 rupees for the charges of the suit. The Complainants request to now
to register upon in their names 13 of a Vethi which is in proportion of 1/16 Vethi out of
1/16 Vethi promised to be given originally - Complainants say that they have neither
the enjoyment of the land nor purchased it from -

- | | | |
|-----------------|----|-------------|
| Karianna Kottar | | (26) |
| 26 Venkateswar | Le | 1 son |
| rayen - 48 | | 1 daughter |
| 27 Venkateswar | Le | (27) |
| rayen - 32 | | 1 mother |
| | | 1 wife |
| | | 1 daughter |
| | | 1 son |
| 28 Kalalinga | Le | (28) |
| Quentab | | 1 wife |
| | | 1 mother |
| 29 Paripamir | Le | (29) |
| ti Quentab | | 1 wife |
| 40 | | 1 daughter |
| | | 1 son |
| Kaduvattar | | |
| 30 Subbayen | Le | (30) |
| 35 | | 1 wife |
| | | 1 son |
| | | 1 daughter |
| 31 Ganapati | Le | (31) |
| Arayen 25 | | 1 wife |
| | | 1 mother |
| 32 Raimalinga | Le | (32) |
| yen 25 | | 1 wife |
| | | 1 daughter |
| | | 1 son |
| 33 Subbayen | Le | (33) |
| 10 | | |
| 34 Muttusami | Le | (34) |
| yen 22 | | 1 wife |
| | | 1 mother |
| 35 Ramayen | Le | (35) |
| 42 | | 1 son |
| | | 1 mother |
| 36 Subbaram | Le | (36) |
| mal 40 | | |
| 37 Subbayen | Le | (37) |
| 40 | | 1 wife |
| | | 1 son |
| | | 1 daughter |
| | | 1 son |
| 38 Subbayen | Le | (38) |
| 60 | | 1 wife |
| | | 1 son |
| | | 1 daughter |
| | | 1 grand son |
| Kalyajikond | | |
| 39 Kagannday | Le | (39) |
| mayen 11 | | 1 mother |
| 40 Pethuvayen | Le | (40) |
| alias Rama | | 1 wife |
| Rayen 37 | | 1 mother |

Vide preceding side

The Defendants N^o 32 to 56 deny every clause & part of the Commission -
 As the complainants submitted themselves that they neither purchased the lands
 which they claim nor enjoyed them up to the day the claim is registered & the party is
 refused to find fault the Defendants never submitted the genuineness of the document
 said to have been executed by the Widow breaking the p^{er}son of 35 of 16 to the
 Defendants.

III The p^{er}son of 30 of 16 claims 1/2 share from the p^{er}son of 41 of 16 - 42 of 16 -
 43 & 44 of 16 on the ground that these lands belong to the Widow of his father's younger brother
 who died without issue about 19 years ago; that the Widow mortgaged the lands to the p^{er}son
 of 35 of 16 & that the p^{er}son of 31 of 16 redeemed the lands improperly from
 the mortgage & afterwards it came among himself & his Cousins N^o 42 - 43 & 44 of 16 -
 The p^{er}son of 35 of 16 says that the Widow did mortgage the lands to his father
 & that the p^{er}son of 41 of 16 did redeem the lands from mortgage - The latter asked
 mortgage Bonds also are produced - One Latchingpally Pottay who is a witness
 in his younger brother, that on the eve of her death she transferred the lands in question & in
 - to him in a written bill, but it is true that the Widow mortgaged the lands
 of the lands to the p^{er}son of 35 of 16 which she did in this village & that he redeemed the mortgage lands from
 the mortgage about 10 years ago - that the bill is in his possession -
 One Latchingpally Pottay who is a witness that he has attested the bill and been seen executed
 by the Widow to the p^{er}son of 41 of 16 says that he has attested the document, that the p^{er}son
 of 41 told him that the document was the one executed by the Widow on the eve of her
 death; that the Widow was laboring with fever when he signed the document, & that
 he never saw the Widow affixing her mark to the

41 Vengaswamy	50	Al	(41)	1 wife 1 daughter and
42 Sundara	55	So	(42)	1 wife 1 daughter and
43 Vijayarajulu	55	So	(43)	
44 Mahalinga	59	So	(44)	1 wife 1 daughter and
45 Suppamannu	61	So	(45)	
46 Agatharajulu	51	So	(46)	1 wife 1 son 1 daughter and
47 Lakshmi	35	So	(47)	1 wife
48 Madavatha	21	So	(48)	1 wife
49 Lakshmi	24	So	(49)	1 wife
50 Mahalinga	22	So	(50)	1 wife
51 Maria Raju	25	So	(51)	1 wife
52 Mahalinga	29	So	(52)	1 wife 4 daughters and 1 son and son
53 Venkatachala	63	So	(53)	
54 Lakshmi	54	So	(54)	1 wife 1 son 2 daughters and 1 daughter and
55 Lakshmi	54	So	(55)	1 wife 1 daughter

Vide preceding side

IV. The person No 67 of 16 claims to 1/3 of 1/8 of 0. Truly enters opposite to the
- person No 16-17 of 16 on the ground that he purchased the lands from one
- Akshai Devayan - the son of the Daughter of Gadhun Elobu Brother of the person
- No 16 of 16, in A.D. 1854 just before 25. The person produced the Bill of sale or a plain
- paper leaf. & admitted that he has no enjoyment of the lands - The Akshay means egg
- that ~~the person~~ ^{the} person is a boy never heard of Akshai Devayan & that neither he nor
- his Akshay Grand children ^{nor} father ^{nor} Grand father enjoyed any share in the Village
- & that truly is in possession of the No 16-17 of 16 who ^{are} owners -
- from the time of their ancestors. The person No 67 of 16 claims that the person who
- sold the lands to him ^{has} ^{been} no enjoyment of the same like himself - He asserts Claim
- is rejected & the party is referred to civil Court -

Sivagungei
 56 Tirupati } Leo — (50)
 Ayengar 50 } 1 wife
 1 son
 1 daughter married
 4 daughters
 and

57. Tellamayan
gar - 60 } Leo — 1 wife

Velha Kudi
58 Kinnivapa } Co — (58)
yengar 40 } 1 wife
1 son
1 daughter
1 Co married

59 Gopalayangan (59)
28 } Les. — 1 wife

60 Rangayun Leo — (60)
gar 25 } 1 rupe

61 Samavayen } Bo — 1 ripe
gar 30 } 1 saughtlor
und

62 *Pinasani*
ypu aliao } Leo — (br)
Sarumimya } 1 Wife
Karamayujin } 1 Daughter
 28 } and
 1 Son and

Neduvittu } Co. — (63)
63 Le ksami }
Annal. }
 45

— Vide preceding side

Sheet No 639

Valvatus

V. The lands depend depend upon one Carge & another small Town -
They are in copuri. They are fed by a Jungle Stream. They are fed also by c/s
the Divastaniam Village of Maratani. The Towns are fed annually in full -
Security of Water is of a rare occurrence. The Mithayans say that 80 or 90 years
of late are fullwater annually & the rest 20 Mithayans say occasionally. They again say
that the Mithayans lands may be fullwater annually after 4 or 5 years. From this is
evidently war or fluctuation it appears that there is not much waste in the Village -
Some of the Mithayans are fullwater annually 2^d time. Pungah Lands are fullwater c/s
in full. Soil is of a medium fertile generally. No Tambrie is raised. The average
income of the Village as per statement of the Mithayans is 358 Rupees per annum.
The contiguous Nayan Village is are Pithy Kury. & Chitt alongry. The average
income of this village is calculated as for a period of 15 years previous to 1870. The
Mithayans say that their lands may be raised on par with those of the
Nayan Village as the lands of that Village seems to be of the same
quality. In consideration of the fertility of soil & of the value of the Village as

Wet-100 being fall water annually at 8 Ropes per acre	600	
Wet 22 1/2 acres Mattalaw and 2 Ropes per acre	45	645
Dry 6 acres fall water at 12 Ropes per acre	108	10
		655

Comes to 512 - 4697 in
Zon. Fingers varies from 0.180 to 0.90 as far as
- ~~increases to the top of the range~~

AL

Wm. L. Garrison