1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

# Register of Devadayam Village of Mulliyendal in the Talug of Trippattur of Sivaganga Zamindari Estate in Madura District

## Mulliyendal Hamlet of Murayur

Register No 859

## Register No. 859

List of Villages belonging to this Temple

| | | | Registers |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Mulliyendal | Whole Village | 859 |
| 2 | Paramanur Paramaniendal | Do | 86[illegible] |
| 3 | Periya Paramaniendal | Do | 861 |
| 4 | Vurunivayalendal | Do | 862 |
| 5 | Duvarapuriyendal | Do | 863 |
| 6 | Kilavamudayanendal | Do | 864 |
| 7 | Nayinarendal | Do | 865 |
| 8 | Madattendal | Do | 866 |
| 9 | Valayanendal | Do | 867 |
| 10 | Vannarendal | Do | 868 |

... for the support of the Temple of Stree Sokkanadha Swamy

1 This is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Sivagangai. This was granted by Nanda Rajah Pondyan for the support of the Temple of Stree Sokkanadha Swamy & Minakshi Ammen in Murayur. This is a permanent grant. No Potta. The Temple is efficiently kept up. This Village is entered in all the Accounts in the name of the Temple. No [illegible] arose about the boundaries of the Village on the part of the Ranys Vakeel. The boundaries of the Village are not recorded in any of the accounts. Hence the recognized boundaries of the Village that are inserted in the vernacular statement are registered here being correct one & the 11 Acres & 72 Cents entered therein are registered here.

II The Temple is under the management of the Rany. The entire Village is in waste since the last 30 years. No income for the present from the Village. If the Village is improved, the Village may yield 50 Rupees income per annum.

## Sheet No. 1009

---

As per Acct of F 1211
Nanja Varapu 3-10-3 2-62
Excess 0-10 " 7-00
13-10-3 9-62
Punja Excess (Kurkam) 3-12 " 2-10
Total 11-72
Deduct Porampoke (Kurkam) 3-12 " 2-10
Remainder
Nanja (Virayadi) 13-10-3 9-62
Waste

50

For the Jodi Pert support of Temple of Sockanadhaswamy in Murayur efficiently kept up

As per Detail Sockenadha Swamy [illegible] acct on all the Villages belonging to this Temple in lump
Rs
48-9-10
Iyaja
13-9-10
62-3-8

Nanda Raja Pandiyan Date is unknown

Acct of F 1211
Bundwi Acct of F 1224
Boundary Acct of F 1244
Ayakat acct of F 1263
Board Regr of A D 1815

Stree Sokkanadaswami and Minakshi Ammen

In F 1211 Devadayam Murayur Sokkanadaswami Kovil Mulliyendal
Nanja (Virayadi) 3-10-3 A C 2-62
In Marata acct of F 1211 Do Do

In F 1224 Murayur Sokkanadasami & Minakshi Ammen Kovil Mulliyendal
Nanja Virayadi 3-10-3 A C 2-62
Punja Kurkam 3 3/4 A C 2-10
Total A C 4-72
In F 1244 None
In F 1263 None
In Regr of A D 1815 Devadayam Mulliyendal

Murayur Stree Sockanadhaswamy Trustee Rattama Nachiyar Avergal Rany of Sivaganga Zemindary
40

To be confirmed under Rule III of Clause 6
Tax Free
The Jodi for all the Villages belonging to this Temple 62-3-8

A Seshagirirow Rao

19-7-64

Confirmed to Sokkanadha Swami and Minakshi Amman as recommended on present tenure. One T D will be given to this village & Regrs No 860 to 868 all of whose the Jodi is fixed in a lump which are all held by the same Pagoda. The particulars of the extent &c of the several villages will be shown on the back of the Title Deed.

27/1/65 JWB

T Certif 1495
6

Are there any other Registers belonging to the Pagoda in all 16 on which the Jodi is charged in a lump? If so, all of them are to be sent at the same time. In the standard accounts of F 1211 it is said that this Village is granted for the support of Sri Sockanadhaswami & in the accounts of F 1244 together with Minakshi Amman. The same is repeated in the Dy Collrs remarks. The Dy Collr will explain clearly whether the T D is to be made out in the name of both Pagodas or of only one.

JWB

5/8/64

Sokkanadha Swamy & Minakshi Amman are in one & the same Temple. Hence T. D may be confirmed in the names of the two Deities.

Rc. d. No. 8/19

7-MAR 1919

Alagar Thirukan hamlet of Venkatur

# Register of Mulliyenthal an Inam village in the Tiruppatore Talug, Shevagungah Estate Madura District

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| As per account of Fasli 1211 | | | | | Deduced from the statement produced by the Inamdar. | | Supplemental Register No 15<br>Polavar Inam | Jodi 12-5-4 | Hereditary | Date of grant not known but is stated to have been given long before the Fysal | Account of Fasli 1211 and Hindivi account of Fasli 1223.<br>1 | Muthukumaru Polavar | In Fasli 1211 Madapuram Velangudi Eilkadai Nunjah Veraiyadi 9-9-5½ or 6.80 acres | In Fasli 1223 Vythialinga Polavar Inam Mulliyanthal Nunjah Veraiyadi 9-6-4 or 6.63 acres. | Venkatachella Pandarum Age 35 | Velangudi | Great Great Great Grandson to the original Grantee (by adoption) | one wife | | Under clause 3 para 5 and para 7 of the Inam Rules embodied in the Standing order No. 118 of the new series, It is recommended that the Inam be confirmed to the present holder as freehold on payment of the yearly quit-rent of Rs 4-9- | T. D.<br>194 |
| | | Nunjah Veraidi | 9-9-5½ | 6. 80 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Excess — | 12-10-.. | 8. 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 22-5-5½ | 15. 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Excess Punjah Kurnu-Kam | | 25-8-.. | 14. 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Total | | 29. 98 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Deduct waterspread-Kurnu Kam | | 24-11-.. | 13. 82 | | 49-2-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Remainder — | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Nunjah Veraidi (Cultivated) | 6-3-3 | 4. 38 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Waste | 16-2-2½ | 11. 32 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 22-5-5½ | 15. 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Punjah Kurnu [illegible] | [illegible] | 4.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | [illegible] | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Sheet No. 2079

[illegible] was consulted. [illegible]

(1) This is a personal grant. The date of grant is not exactly known but is stated to be long before the Fysal. The deed of grant is not forthcoming and is stated to have been lost in a fire which took place in the village.

(2) The name of the original grantee is Muthukumaru Polavar and the village was granted to him for having composed poems.

In the Istimirar accounts it is entered that there is a Madapuram Inam attached to Velangudi village, but in fact there is none attached to the village. In the Inam Dariaffut account prepared in Fasli 1223 the village of Mulliyenthal is entered as a hamlet of Sundakattur. and as Kavirayar (Poets) Maniam and the name of the original grantee and the then owner of the village are entered as Muthukumaru Polavar and Vithialinga Pulavar respectively.

Mulliyenthal is in reality a hamlet of Sundakattur village and the entry in the Istimirari account is apparently a mistake.

(3) One Nallathambi Pandaram objected to the Inam being registered in the name of Venkatachella Pandaram, but the enquiry made of the village officers and of others in the village shows that the village is in the possession and enjoyment of Venkatachella Pandarum & that the other Nallathambi has nothing whatever to do with the village. His petition is therefore rejected & the Inam is settled in the name of Venkatachella Pandarum.

(4) The village was measured by the Deputy Tahsildar of Tirupatore & its extent according to this measurement is noted in columns 4 & 5 of this register. There is but one tank in the village. It is rainfed. It is in a state of repairs and is capable of holding 2 months supply of water. The soil is sandy and is of a middling character. No second crop is raised. In consideration of the fertility of the soil and the valuation set forth to the adjacent Inam villages, I should put the valuation of this village as follows.

Wet 4. 38 acres (cultivated) at 6 Rs per acre Rs 26-4-5
11. 32 acres (waste) at 2 [illegible] per acre - 22-10-3

Confirmed on [illegible] Rev. Board [illegible] 14 8 [illegible] [illegible]