

கொச்சி பத்தி, மலாபுர பத்தி, தஞ்சாவூர் பத்தி

Brounshaus road
 Runnabhad
 10 864
 N 277 of 1864 from the kumbhy
 Motidui Rajpuri one of the officers in the
 Village asking that the 3 Villages may be
 confirmed to him and that the Chagun
 which he understands to have been
 advanced by Hakkadan of Parangudi
 be ejected and sold -
 Appallant is informed that the
 3 Villages have been confirmed to the
 Mekam for the support of which they
 were given according to the same terms
 the present state of affairs
 18/9/65
 15/9/65

Met 461.

From Ft 1250	Cultivated area in Acres	Gross out turn	For which landowner's share at 40 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of quantity of grain given in Col 4	Ratio per Acre
to Ft 1270						
H. In P	A & B	H. In P	H. In P	Rs A B	Rs A B	Rs A B
834 - 2 - "	3323 - 90	33019 - 1 - 4	16548 - 8 - 5	1 - 10 - "	26959 - 5 - 6	7 - 15 - "

22 } of Glasgow /
 none of person
 in col 13.

The person N^o 1 of 1861 claims to terminate Village as an endowment of the Mookans in question & on the other hand the person N^o 2 of 1861 claims that portion of these Villages was ^{N^o 1 of 1861} has been allotted to meet the expenses of the Mookans & the rest is enjoyed by them as shown above - from time immemorial - The person N^o 1 pleads that the persons N^o 2 to 11 of 1861 have no shares at all in these Villages - ^{the same N^o 1 of 1861} from the authentic copy of the Decree of the late Pandit - Ludder Ameen's Court of Almorah, in N^o 116 of 1837, which is produced by the person N^o 2 to 11 of 1861 it appears that these Villages consisting of 7 1/2 Thallis, that of these one Thalli is allotted to meet the expenses of the Mookans & the rest is allotted to meet the expenses of the Padarwardas & that remaining 6 Thallis were ^{at the M^o} are enjoyed by the holders of the Villages for personal grants - ^{who were formerly relations viz. persons N^o 1 of 1861 & N^o 2 of 1861} The person N^o 2 to 11 of 1861 says that one of their cousins Pami Padarwardas Humaini who was enjoying one Thalli in these Villages having died without issue & unmarried about 4 years ago, his share is transferred to the "Mookans" & the proceeds of that share are now appropriated to the use of the Institutions since the demise of the holder thereof - This proved to be true - Thus the share of the Mookans is 2 1/2 Thallis - Padarwardas above alluded to consented to the cost of the oil &c required for the use of the Mookans - All unremitted charges of the Mookans, salaries of ~~the members~~ bathas or paid to "Messengers" & travellers - Thus the share of the Mookans is now swelled to 2 1/2 Thallis - & the balance remaining 5 Thallis are in possession of the person N^o 2 to 11 of 1861 - of 1861 Not any portion of these 5 shares is appropriated to the use of the Mookans - In the account of 1823, these Villages are entered as the endowments of the Mookans, while in the English Account of A.D. 1815, they are placed as subsistence grants of one Mirkhader Fakir - He says that Mirkhusen Fakir is a well name of the Ogle Grant - & again they say that they do not know who he was - I don't think that there ^{was} a subsistence grant as mentioned in the English Account of A.D. 1815 - because the Parwana shows that these Villages are endowments made to the Mookans - But 1/3 of the Villages is appropriated to the use of the Mookans & 2/3 for the person N^o 2 to 11 of 1861 who must properly be styled as servants of the Mookans - But though they are not doing any service to the Mookans personally by but by employing servants - It is a matter of question whether the 5 Thallis enjoyed by the person N^o 2 to 11 of 1861 are to be treated as personal grants or as Mookans' endowment - It is clear from the Parwana that these Villages were granted for the support of the Mookans & their servants - There is not a single place where - all the proceeds of the endowments belonging to religious Institutions are appropriated to the use of the same without misappropriation - (see next rule) - a portion of them

