

Register No. 1181 Kayalari

407 амборт

1 This is one of the villages in the genuine range of Laraganga - Half the Village was granted for the support of the Shroinger Shasthram & the remaining half to Shreepaty Jyengar & other Mahajanams for subsistence - The former is a permanent & the latter is hereditary grant - The entire Village is in possession of the respective holders of the same - The Shasthram portion has a Pottam on a copper plate of a foreign king - It is proved to be genuine one & the Darnesaram portion has no Pottam - The Shasthram is efficiently kept up - For the details of the same, my preliminary remarks written on a separate sheet - which is placed with this - The Pottam contains no boundaries of the Village - It is not clear about the area or about the boundaries on the part of the Range Tahsil - The boundaries now inserted in the vernacular statement & written corresponds to the limits of the genuine in the Account of H 226 ^{the north} H 246 - The boundaries now inserted proved to be correct & recognized ones. Hence the same is confirmed here & the 200 Acres & 25 cents situated thereon are registered here - Both the accounts of H 226 & 246 contain the lands that do not belong to the village - The boundaries given in the account of H 246 correspond to the recognized limits of the tahsil & of this village - To the recognized limits of the 3 sides of the Village - & those given in the Account of H 246 correspond to the recognized limits of the tahsil & of this village -

(Vide next page)

P. 100

(Twee maal over)

Confirmed on present
letter. See remarks
 in Reg^t 1226
 15/2/65 - JWS

To be confirmed under
Clause one San Francisco.

Germains took for all
the villages now in
possession of the
Heath man, in Europe

Allegro
Kunststück
14-15 16-17

To be confirmed under Rule Confirmed as
recommended
VII. M.S.

Genesio's Port. 675-8 15/2/15

Gold 20/8 - 19 Rupers 1811

A. Lusk and Co
Rummette
1670 bl } *L. S.*

As per Act of 27 Feb 11
Naujo Goryzidi 16-1-11-25
Excess for 20-1-11-21-11

32-26
108-u

200-25
 of Lang Furuk 30-16-80
 80-1-1-80

Sample site — 50 1-a-a-a-56
 Breeding ground 50 1-a-a-a-56
 2 22 11-20

Remonde 53-4-29-68
170-57

Wm. V. May Jr. Chatham
Navy Yard
8-35-63

Excess Profit 15 - 10 - 50

Erceas Vinja Puruk
Cattivola } 70-u-39-20

Waste 53-8-29-96
Total 123-8-69-16

Grand Total 85-29

Village Darmasaneu
Kaujo Kariya } 8-4-35-62
Huta }

Excess Do Do 15-u-10-50
Total 23-u-316-12

Excess of myo. Karak
Hunt 570-0-0 39-20

Waste 53-8-29-96

Total 123-8-07-10
Grand Total 85-27

Geo. W. H. P.

[illegible]

Barma Jodi No Kivali Dodo Sheng Lo Fall in Fall Maximaduri
Nam - asper Pascha vani No Datta pati Barma Barma 1 Vekatagiri } 26
Saturas chat Baka, Village, Village Syangor 24 }
8-15-6 baran Rajik Na hegan 1/2 Village of which 2 Tirateru }
Two to Kanon Jate is Kanon Nongat Kanis dedent Syangor 24 }
Nam - Varayidi 1/2 Village }
8-3 Chudigiri 3 A Pmana } 26
A Chatnon yangor 20 }
5-62 Varayidi }
Chudigiri 3 4 Rangasayi } 26
Chat of Remunder Syangor 24 }
Dodo 1/2 Village }
A Barma 5 Patchigiri } 26
Dodo Samon Varayidi Sumel 20 }
8-3 6 Supponaymer }
A 24 }
5-62 24 }
Kama xiri Syangor }
swan 24 }

Sheet No: 1335

Manuscript

Max Min

Day 3-9-9 -17

Mo 10-13-3 -5-9

Average rates of Government Salagpore

Day 1-5-6

Mo 4-6-1

Average value of grain of Behorum on wet land in
Maganam consisting of Ayen Villages in Kattaravankottai
Salag for the period of about 15 years.

From 1786 to 1790	Cultivated area in Acres	Gross cattum	Of which Government share at 45 percent	Average prices of grain in 1804	Value of the quantity of grain in 1804	Rate per Acres
1795-11-3	4757-09	51677-4	22986-5-1	1-7-11	136207-15-3	7-6-9

The Dammasanam portion of half Village consists of 4 equal thittus. They are enjoyed as
shown in the margin of the preceding side. The thittum & Dammasanam portions of lands are
undivided - Produce is shared equally by the thittum people & the Mahajanas of the Dammasanam
The Pongu of the Dammasanam portion is paid equally on by thittum - permanently. None of the
shareholders in the Dammasanam portion is any way related to the Govt. Grantees. They are aliens -

Most of the shareholders have no documents. The papers No 710-19-120 of 16 purchased
the lands entered opposite their names in A.D. 1792-1798-1809-1833-1864. In papers 1300 from the
Pillai. Four bills are on plain paper & the bill of 1864 is on stamped paper. No witnesses naturally to prove the genuineness of the old documents -
The papers of 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19 who are enjoying or holding about 2/3 of the Dammasanam portion of half Village have no documents -
They say that their ancestors purchased their lands long ago & that bills of sale were lost. Most of the persons of 16 say that they are enjoying their shares since the last century.
But none of their ancestors' names are entered in any of the accounts. The Range's Valuer says that he does not know who are the rightful owners of the Village & who are not -
& that has been any accounts on to any person or persons in the matter. The Mahajanas produced receipts and bills granted by the former owners for the payment of Pongu.
in A.D. 1784-1785-1786-1787-1803-1805-1806-1807-1808-1809-1813-1814-1825-1826-1827-1833-1846. Of these, those dated 1803-1805-1806-1808-1809-
1813-1814-1825-1826-1827-1833-1846 contains the names of the ancestors of the persons of 8-9-19 of 16. The Range's Valuer says that the receipts dated and assigned to the
Permanent Settlement are genuine. The papers of 8-9-19 of 16
(their next of kin)

7 Katakijor	Ab	1 Husband
Asoka Annal	40	2 Son
Mahezer		1 Daughter
8 Satyamarti	Ab	(8)
Lyango	5-5	1 Son
9 Ananta	Ab	1 Married Daughter
Cherri	3-5	1 Son
10 Kariyayyan	Ab	(9)
11 Permayan	40	1 Wife 1 Son
12 Krishnayyan	Ab	1 Daughter
13 Sanjini	Ab	(11)
Lyoo	30	1 Wife 1 Son
14 Subbayyan	Ab	2 Daughters
15 Kondayyan	Ab	(13)
16 Senkara	Ab	2 Younger brothers
Kariyayyan	35	(14)
17 Venkayyan	Ab	1 Wife
18 Subbayyan	Ab	1 Son
19 Senkara	Ab	1 Daughter
yan	63	(15)
20		(16)
21		(17)
22		(18)
23		(19)
24		(20)
25		(21)
26		(22)
27		(23)
28		(24)
29		(25)
30		(26)
31		(27)
32		(28)
33		(29)
34		(30)
35		(31)
36		(32)
37		(33)
38		(34)
39		(35)
40		(36)
41		(37)
42		(38)
43		(39)
44		(40)
45		(41)
46		(42)
47		(43)
48		(44)
49		(45)
50		(46)
51		(47)
52		(48)
53		(49)
54		(50)
55		(51)
56		(52)
57		(53)
58		(54)
59		(55)
60		(56)
61		(57)
62		(58)
63		(59)
64		(60)
65		(61)
66		(62)
67		(63)
68		(64)
69		(65)
70		(66)
71		(67)
72		(68)
73		(69)
74		(70)
75		(71)
76		(72)
77		(73)
78		(74)
79		(75)
80		(76)
81		(77)
82		(78)
83		(79)
84		(80)
85		(81)
86		(82)
87		(83)
88		(84)
89		(85)
90		(86)
91		(87)
92		(88)
93		(89)
94		(90)
95		(91)
96		(92)
97		(93)
98		(94)
99		(95)
100		(96)

Use preceding side -

2nd of Mayalini & Pethrom & Dharmasaram Village in Nallarasankattai Taluq in Tiruvengga Zemindary in Madurai District

Register No 1181

and the right of all the purnas 10/16 - to the lands entered opposite their names - Hence the right of all the purnas 10/16 is proved -

Valuation of the entire Village.

IV - - - Wet lands in this Village depend upon 3 Tanks - of these one is large & 2 are small - The tanks are in thorough repair - The Tanks are fed by
- Jungle Streams - by the waste water of the contiguous Villages. They are ~~fully~~ filled annually in full - Scarcity of water is not felt generally -
For the fullness of the statement, I must say that the Mahajans have prepared statement on because the Rango's Vakil is a puppet in their hands -
The Mahajans claim 32 1/2 annas of Wet & 8 annas of Dry as full water lands & 5 1/2 annas of Dry as waste - No waste in Wet area as per
their own account - They state that the 5 1/2 annas of Dry claimed as waste are 10 or 15 years uncultivated lands from want of water & that they will be
brought under plough within the next 10 years - This is an usual story - Some of the Wet lands are full water annually 2nd time -
Nangoch & Pungoch lands are of a superior quality generally - Soil is of red clay - Garden crops are not raised in this village -
The Village is in flourishing state - No portions of Dry lands is fit to be converted into Wet - The average income of this Village is 2800 Rupees as per
statement of the Rango's Vakil & Mahajans & 1600 Rupees as per statement of the Mahajans - Neither of them is true - The Rango's Vakil has no account
which accounts for a series of years to show the average income of this Village - The contiguous Aggar Village is Kilapadavur - The average Wet account of
which calculated for a period of 15 years previous to 1870 comes to 7-5-6 per anna - The average Dry account varies from 9-10 to 13-6 per anna -
The Mahajans say that the soil of this Village may be placed on par with that of the contiguous Aggar Village -
In consideration of the fertility of soil & the valuation of the Village as follows -

Wet - 32 1/2 annas full water at 7 Rupees per anna - 225-12-0

Dry 100 annas at 14 annas per anna - 81-8-0

Dry 38 annas & 32 annas waste at 4 annas per anna - 24-8-0

Total - 330-8-0

J. S. S. S. S.

Sheet No. 1336

(S. S. S. S.)

