

Sheet No 801

The persons No 1 & 2 of 16 in the First and portion are descendants of the Oyl Grantee & others are aboriginals -

The persons No 5 & 6-7 & 8-9-10 & 11 of 16 purchased their lands in A.D. 1853-4 & 1862 for paper 1544 from, Paraguru, Nadoha, Manjogera & Ramaswami. The persons No 1 & 2 of 16 admit all these transactions - Enjoyment proved.

The persons No 3 & 4 of 16 purchased their lands partly by private sale & partly by public sale in A.D. 1849 & 1851 for paper 166 paper - Transactions proved & enjoyment proved.

The person Grand Father of the person No 2 of 16 purchased his lands in A.D. 1862 for paper 908 - from the person No 5 of 16. Bill of sale is on a stamped paper. Transactions proved to be bona fide. Enjoyment proved.

The person No 2 claims 55 fathoms of land from the person No 1 of 16 on the ground that the person No 1 of 16 transferred the land to his Grand Father under a written agreement in satisfaction of certain private liabilities, to be enjoyed by him & his heirs. The son of the person No 1 of 16 admits the genuineness of the document & pleads that the person No 2 or his Grand Father never enjoyed the land. It is proved that the person No 2 of 16 is enjoying the Kudiwarum of the land in question & that the person No 1 of 16 is enjoying Melwarum of the same. It is said in the said agreement that both the Kudiwarum & Melwarum say it was transferred to the person No 2 of 16 in satisfaction of certain debt due by the seller to the purchaser. The person No 1 is improperly enjoying Melwarum as the Grand Father of the person No 2 of 16 was an old man of 85 years old; as he died last year & as the person No 2 of 16 is a young boy from this it is evident that the person No 2 of 16 is enjoying half of the land in question or Kudiwarum & that this right cannot be distinguishable into two halves. Hence I consider that the entire land is in the possession of the person No 2 & register it in his name referring the person No 1 of 16 to Civil Court.

Valuation of 1/2 of the Sivikam Village

The lands depend upon one Tank of large size. It is in repair - It is fed by one of the branch channels of Pustamalai River. The Tank is filled annually. Scarcity of water not felt generally. The holders of the Sivikam portion of 1/2 Village claimed 16 Ann of Wet & 32 Ann of Dry as waste & pleaded that they "will till at least" on occasionally fullwatered lands & that they will be fullwatered annually hereafter. There is some suggestion in the matter as is proved to be the case. There is no account found out the real extent of Wet lands under plough in the Sivikam portion of half Village. Even if they were, they are not trustworthy as the persons who half of the Melwarum & conceal half of the fullwatered lands. Some of the Wet lands are fullwatered annually the area thus fullwatered is said to be 1/8 or 1/5 of the Wet Area. Kanjoh & Panigah lands are of a superior quality generally. It is red clay. One or two Ann of Dry are fullwatered with garden crops under Ganna wells. This is not Kudiwarum Village. The average income of the Sivikam portion of the Village is 253 & 257 respectively as per statement of the holders thereof. There is not very low though not true. The average Wet annuity of the Sivikam portion of this half Village is calculated from 12 to 18 per Ann. The average Dry annuity of the Sivikam Village varies from 12 to 18 per Ann. In consideration of the fertility of soil & of course, the Sivikam portion lands are equal to those of the Sivikam portion lands in fertility & vice versa.

I give the valuation of the Sivikam portion as follows -

Wet 50 Ann fullwatered at 6-12 per Ann	337-8
Wet 9 1/2 Ann of an inferior quality at 19	19
2 paper per Ann	356-80
Dry 50 Ann fullwatered at 10-12 per Ann	50
Dry 2 1/2 Ann waste at 10-12 per Ann	5-6
	556-80

Handwritten signature

411-14-0

Handwritten signature

Sheet No. 2055

To be completed by III
 Please see last page
 You see the answer
 2/19/65
 J. L. Summers
 7-20

P. Mayo
Knappton
Chas

- Manner -
 There is one of the Villages in the jurisdiction of Senganga. As to the portion of this is Agave, & portions of Devayam & Tivaram - These are each differently ascertained by the proportion of these tenures. In the Devayam account of 1721, ^{as of 1721} 1/2 of the Village is entered as Devayam or Devayam & 3/4 as Agave. In the Tivaram account of 1721, 1/2 of the Village is entered as Devayam, 1/2 as Agave & 1/4 of the Village as Tivaram. In the account of 1723, 1/2 of the Village is entered as Devayam, 1/4 of the Village as Agave & 1/4 of the Village as Tivaram. Now 1/4 of the Village is held by the Temple of Subramaneya Swamy in Chinnai. 1/4 of the Village is held as Tivaram & the remaining 1/2 of the Village is held as Agave by the Government. This is reported in the Boundary Account of 1726. The Village is altogether omitted from the Account of 1785 copy of which is forwarded to the Board of Revenue. It appears by the Account that the Village, entire or portion, was not held at all as Devayam. From a census in the Account of 1723, it appears that the entry herein is not correct. It is entered as Devayam.

Deer acct of	1216	for
Range and Range	1223	
Range - (Krayak)	100 - 2	102 - 18
Receipt - (Lo)	80 - m	2 56 - 02
	160 - 5	108 - 15
Range - (Krayak)	100	100 - 80
Receipt - (Lo)	100	225 - 20
	600	330 -
	Total	574 - 15

Indian Camps —		
Village site (Chickasaw) —	16	7-84
Red Shale (Coe) —	70	34-80
Pool — (Coe) —	6	2-36
		<u>50-60</u>
Remainder —		<u>28-75</u>

1/2 of the Village, Agui —————
 Ranga (Kragap) — 176-5 3 119-07.
 Ranga (Kunham) — 253 — 142-50
 261-57.

Remainder _____ 261 - 98
 1/4 Village - Swindayen
 Range - (Village) - 15 - 4 45 - 54
 cultivated _____ 84

For exp - waste (Bo)	- 20 -	1/4 14 -
		85 - 5/2 89 - 54
Punya (Kuzhamb)	- 45 -	25 - 20
		Cultivation
For exp - (Bo)	- 25 -	14 -
		70 - 80 - 20

	70	29-20
Lo waste (B)	57 1/2	32-20
	127 1/2	71-40
Total	180-94	

to Villero Livestock

1/4 Village Iivitem
 Branga (Viragadi) —
 Cultivation 65-4-4 45-5
 Waste (50) — 20-1-4 44-0
 85-5 p. 56

Pongra (Kushan)	45	25-20
Cultivated	25	14
...	70	59-20
...	57	25-20

Lo-mocky (60) 57 1/2 5 20
127 91 60
Cole 128 94
sal

For the Free Port Insurance	Acct of F ^r	Place	In F ^r
support	124	Subbaram	1211
for Raja	and the	maniga	maini
for Subbaram	no Patte	maniga	Village
maniga	Date is	swami	
swami	unknown		Kanya
			Krayadi
			200-2-6
			A 6

In H
1211
man
village
Kanya
Krayadi
260-4
A 6
182-13
Kishik
manir
Subham
marinva
swami
Savarna
nigew
manir
1/4 of the
Village
Kanya
Krayadi
65-4
A 6
45-54
In Inara
taraf
H H
1211
Lio-65

Kānir
Tree
 Subhrammal
 niga swaini
Trustee
Tree
 Kattamanāichu
 yir Avergal
 Rain of the
 Viagerga
 zamindari

that the information that the half Village was sold as Damascoan
 $\frac{1}{6}$, as I think $\frac{7}{8}$ as above, was collected from some trustworthy material
or from hearsay reports - The fact of the Damascoan portion of $\frac{1}{6}$ Village, which
is hid by the Titillation interrupted by from time immemorial, having been
omitted altogether in the Account of 1722, is sufficient proof that the account
is not an accurate one - True Ranges Valued places that the entries
in the Account of 1721 are not correct - As in this case, there is no other
account than that of the alleged Account of 1722.

Account from that of the alleged Account of 1711
to be adopted in this settlement, I flag half of the
Village as Iritani, $\frac{1}{2}$ as Derastantane & $\frac{1}{2}$
as Agere. Of Derastantane in 1718 & 1721
on the file of the Mississippi Court of Louisiana,
(See French records)
To be compared with Page III this $\frac{1}{2}$ of the village is
planted one Town Place confirmed to the Papaga in
1716 on present tenure.

6 Post. Home — 13/3/85
 (1/2 Village) P.D. = 16.84
 A. L. ...
 11-5-86

To be conveyed under Rule II this 1/4th of the village is
 confirmed as personal
 to Schyns & under Rule VII in a 2^d of Rs 4
 for all time -
 13/3/55 WMS
 General Dec-61-158

Gould 2 2/8 - 46 Rifer
 P
 J. D. 1683
 2-48 in
 J. D. 1683
 J. D. 1683

Sheet no 800
Register no. 617

[illegible]

To be compared with Pale II
 62 by right & under Pale III
 for atones -
 James Durr - 61-758

Could 2 2/8 - 460 per
 R

J. H. Pemberton Pres &c
 Raleigh N.C.

Average Rates of Government Expenditure

Eng.	150
Ind.	465

Year	Cultivated area in Acres	Crop out ~~the~~	Produce received at 45 per cent	Average price per gallon	Value of grain delivered in gallons in 1871	Rate per Acre
1870	7094	80 1/2	2890	1-8-3	4715-13-4	5-14-9

II The Devastation is apparently kept up & the $\frac{1}{2}$ of the Village belonging to the Devastation is free from all Tax.

confirmed by
2nd instance

Việc này cũng sẽ có
Kính

(Vieruit stert)