Register No. 1352

Sheet No. 1548

Register of Devadayam Village of Ramanarendal Pudukulam in Tiruppavanam Taluq of Sivaganga Zemindary in Madura District

Minnarendal Pudukulam

Register No. 1352

This is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Sivaganga. Being granted as an emolument for one of the offices of Worshipper of Sri Minakshi Amman & Sundareswarar in the Temple of Minakshi Amman at Madura; as appears from all the Accounts. Here is a permanent grant. No Pattah. The Temple is specially kept up. No. 581 acres about the boundaries on the pattah of the Aayi's Vakeel. The boundaries were inserted in the vernacular statement corresponding with those in the Accounts of Fasli 1246. Here they are confirmed to the 116 Acres 91 Cents actually measured here.

Sheet No. 1548

Gutta account of Fasli 1211, One of these & that of another Village is given in lump. These two being distinct Villages held by distinct parties, they are brought on two separate Registers

II The Aayi's Vakeel argued that the Punjah lands of this Village may not be brought under the assessment by reason that they were not deducted from the assessment when the Permanent settlement was based. The lands are in possession of the holders of this Village from time immemorial & they are not in possession of the Zemindar. As decided in the marginally noted correspondence, the Punjah lands are confirmed to the holders of the Village - by reason that they were deducted from the assessment when the Permanent Settlement was based.

Com. Pgs 11 Novr/64.
Do Com. 19 Dr/64.
Com. Pgs 5 Decr/64.

III One Ponnu Bhattar alias Sokka Bhattar purchased the entire Village on the 31 March 1857 for Rupees 150 from one Ponnammal, of the late widow, Bell grade of an stamped Tozavan. Transaction proved to be bonafide. Enjoyment from Ponnammal is a Widow of Vera Nagatta Bhattar, one of the Worshippers of the Temple. The Bhattar died 10 years ago. The Members of the Managing Committee of the Temple argues that Ponnammal had no authority to sell the Village - which was granted as an emolument of the office of Worshipper of Sri Minakshi Amman & Sundareswarar. Ponnammal, that the Village should be registered in the name of the Common dates which relate to the Village are being conducted by the Curnis of Ponnammal, that the Village should be registered in the name of the Temple.

(Vide next col)

Confirmed in present Enam to the present incumbent. Shown as a "Pujari" & upon his performing the service regularly.
15/2/65

Sd/

Sd/

Sd/

28/12/64

Sd/

L D. No 1498

For the Sidi Service as per Settlement Pert

The name of Aayi's Vakeel and the Maratta date is of Fasli 1224

Boundaries of Pudukulam in lump Rs 57-4-6 Kamoi 7-6-8 49-13-10

Minakshi Sundareswarar Vikkirapandi Bhattar Vikkirapandi Bhattar

Agatal account of Fasli 1263

Board Regt of A.D. 1815

Inam Fasli 1211

Inam Fasli 1224

Madura Sree Minakshi Sundareswarar Worshipper

Ponnu Bhattar alias Sokkayar Bhattar

Ramanarendal Pudukulam Archana Bagam Yedirimalai Chanpli yengalam and Inam Ramanarendal Pudukulam Archana Bagam Yedirimalai Chanpli yengalam

Inam Fasli 1246

Inam Fasli 1263

Inam Boards Rules Fasli 1211 — of A.D. 1815

Devadayam Madura Minakshi Sundaresa Swamy For the Tamil Archana of Vikrama Pandi Bhattar of Minakshi

Deduct - Yedirimalai chanpli yengalam having a separate area as per Zamindar's account of Fasli 1224

Punja — (Pattipadi)	77	10	55 — 80
Remainder	96	5	67 — 24
Deduct deficiency	9	4	6 — 33
Remainder	87	1	60 — 91
Punja — (Kurkans)	32	60/64	18 — 47
Tirucep — (Do)	67	1/64	37 — 53
	100		56 —
Total	119		91

Deduct Perumpokke

Punja — (Kurkans)	55		30 — 80
Remainder	86	1	

Deduct Service Inam

Punja — (Virayadi)	1	2	3 — 87
Punja — (Kurkans)	2		1 — 12
			1 — 99
Remainder	84	1	2
Punja — (Virayadi)	62	1	42 — 41
Patty made (Do)	23	10	3 — 16 — 63
	85	10	46 — 04
Punja — (Kurkans)	30	43/64	17 — 35
Tirucep — (Do)	12	1/4	6 — 73
Total	43		24 — 08
Grand Total	84	12	350

Sd/

Sd/

Manamendal Pudukalam

Ranga Chiayadi 175-0-5 A 6 123-04

Ponna Pudukalam (Kuchaw) 32-69 A 6 18-47

Total A 6 141-51

I am sorry that the Members of the Management Committee are not devoid of partiality in rendering the above arguments. Only one of the Members wanted me to send a communication to me inserting the above arguments herein. Ponna Bhatta alias Sokaya Bhatta who purchased the Village pleads that though he purchased the Village through ignorance he is transacting the duties of Worshipper relating to the Village on behalf of the seller Ponnammal. There are several Worshippers in the Temple. Everyone has his own turn to render his service. The purchaser of this Village is a Worshipper the Temple. I saw him working in the Temple with other Worshippers. He is eligible for working as Worshipper. I doubt much that the Cousins of the Ponnammal are doing Work for Ponnammal. They are doing their own work. The Cousins of Ponnammal never objected to selling the Village in A.D 1857. They allowed the Village being enjoyed for 7 years by the purchaser. Even the Manager of the Temple who is now one of the Members of the Committee kept tenurely silent so long without questioning the right of Ponnammal in disposing of the Village. In reality no service was rendered by the holders of this Village since the demise of the Husband of Ponnammal which took place about 10 years ago. As the Village was purchased about that time ago by Ponna Bhatta alias Sokka Bhatta & as the said purchaser Ponna Bhatta is eligible for rendering the services of Worshipper, he being already one of the Worshippers of the Temple, I recommend to confirm the Village to Ponna Bhatta alias Sokka Bhatta on service tenure under Rule III Clause 1 of the Manual Committee to take work from him for Ponnammal or for her Husband. The purchaser should recover the money from Ponnammal or a receipt of the

Ponnammal argued very roughly that this Village was granted to the ancestors of her late Husband as personal grant. This ground the Sporte gave. Her Husband was rendering the service of Worshipper by enjoying this Village as emolument thereof. It will be very hard to depose the purchaser altogether from the Village.

III The average income of this Village is 350 Rupees per annum with reference to the Fertility of soil

Pollachy 23.12.84.

D. Sadasivam