

Max 0 0 Min

Aug. 3. 9. 9. — 67.
 Feb. 10-13. 3. — 59.

Pray: 1-5-6
get-4-6-7.

Average Value of grain of MacLuram on wet land in Paganeri
Mahanam consisting of Ryot villages in the Taluk of Annuttigeri
for a period of 15 years

From $\$$ 1250 to $\$$ 1270 -	Cultivated area in Acres	Crop out turn	Grain yield - number of sheaf at 45 per cent	Average price of grains	Value of quantity of grains given in Col 4	Rate per Acre
H R P A - B	H R P A - B	H R P A - B	H R P A - B	Ros A C	Ros A C	Ros A C
16381-10 -	11467 - 20	134003-9-3	62378-11 -	1-6-11	90186-2-11	7-13-11-

The purchase of this Village and as would seem of the other
Village Shungul, Trade was made at a time when the Family was undivided -
But the Villages so purchased were the self acquired Property of the eldest
father of the party in 1816 - And in a Deed given by him to his
brothers, his self acquired Properties were taken by him for his share
and the ancestral Property left to the share of the brothers - Thus the
natural brothers of the party in 1816 nor his natural father have
any interest in this village ^{nor} the other Village Buyas 29th Aug 1858
21/2/85/858/26 one D. With 18/5/85

The team is conferred on a ^{one Dy with} L.P. ^{and} exclusive of the Yamindar's Lodge -

J. R.
17/5/66-

Approved -
D. M. Rain

1/6/68

1897

1. Африка
- индусы
Гео

The adoption is not granted once since it took place early next to Oct 1848 & since the adopter father was an unmarried man. But as Veloyasamangen was a Sudra, the restriction that an unmarried person should not be adopted, is, I don't think applicable to him.

The natural father of the said ^{Adopted} child says that some money had been paid there are some papers in the Civil Court relative to the adoption & that he is unable to produce it - The Decree is dated 17th of 1856 shows that the master diligences regarding the title & what it was found, that the Court delivered to the puz 11 of 016. the said Village - from the person appearing ^{the} ~~for the~~ ^{to} ~~himself~~ ^{with} the net profit of a period of 13 years from the person whose father proved to have occupied the land properly. for years - The details or Contract of the same from the Decree are given in the Register of the Village of Ponguligatty - which is placed with this, & 3/4 of which belong to the puz 016 - Hence I changed the puz 016 with 1/8 of annual - in the Village.

IV---- Velagussamagen, adopted father of the person 1814-16, purchased the entire Village in A.D. 1831 for Rupees 1295 from one Vellalala Jalamagen - Ball of evidence on a plain Fuzjen leaf -

The said Venkateswaramayya is a descendant of the Caste Committee in the name of Venkateswaramayya & his
entire Village by reason that this is a "Kothamangudi" Village of the Patrimonial Government & that it was con-
granted to the adopted father of the person of sb about 30 years ago - by the Father's Elder Brother of the Sa-
vignior - whose title is pronounced to be an inviolable one by a decision of the Privy Council. The Village
amounts that the Village is in possession of the ^{old} person of sb -
Namasa. Venkateswaraya, Subramayya,

857
~~the~~ avoids that the Village is in possession of the ^{old} person of 166.
 - One Appabharanyan, Peshayan, Chetambara Zym, Raimayan, Venkateswararayan, Subbaramayen,
 - Venkatachalamayen, Venkateswararayan of Meematchy Tumsara Pastry of planni to the entire Village by reason
 - that they are descendants of the Cyl Prant & that they are enjoying the entire Village up to this day =
 - There is in possession of the p^y 166 =

that they are descendants of the Cyl. Grantee - & that they are enjoying the -
This claim is quite pretentious one. The Range Vakil admits that the entire Village is in possession of the p. 016 -
& it is really so - Mr. Bagnier, the late civil Judge awarded the Village (entire) $3/4$ of the Village of Singulipatty,
& $1/2$ of the Village of Palamatchernipatty making a total of $2 1/4$ Villages to the adopted father of the person No 1 of 016 -
with the net income ~~being~~ of Rs 59. 11 2 for a period of 13 years - ~~For the purpose of~~ in suit No 6 of 1856 -
The Defendants in this suit were the Ex-governor & the collector Mr. Parker - in his capacity of the Court of Wards - Mr. Bagnier.
The Defendants in this suit were the Ex-governor & the collector Mr. Parker - in his capacity of the Court of Wards - Mr. Bagnier.
established the right of the adopted father to p. 016 to the $2 1/4$ Villages & confirmed the sale - recorded that they were
acquired by the adopted father of the p. 016 by purchase - Hence the claims of the Rang of Sivagangaya & that
of Appadhiramayan in above are rejected & they are referred to the civil Court - the extract of the Decree above alluded
is entered in para III of the Register of the Village of Singulipatty, which is placed with the - (vide next sheet)

