Register of [illegible] Villages of Miruvanpatti – Karaipatti [illegible] Hamlets of Kalap[illegible]

1 Periyapurasanendal 2 Puravaniyanendal 3 Pattakurichiyendal 4 Nangkudiyendal 5 Pottapich [illegible]
[illegible]

9 Yedayanendal 10 Karadiyendal 11 Yemberumanendal 12 Miruvanendal 13 Muttanendal 14 Periyakollanendal 15 [illegible]nakollanendal 16 Maniya[illegible]
[illegible]

17 Kalluprayanendal 18 Naganendal 19 Periyasittiyendal 20 Sirusittiyendal 21 Vellanendal 22 Semanendal 23 Karungolamyendal 24 Sinnakaruing[illegible]
[illegible]

25 Kudikattanendal 26 Amariyendal 27 Sinnapurasanendal 28 Aravikammaye Tayilapat 29 Tayilapattu
[illegible]

Sivagan[illegible]

---

1 There are 29 Hamlets of the Village of Kilapur, a Ayen Village. They were granted for the support of the Temple of Nallamangai-
Bhaga Swamy on Piramalai Hill in the Village of Piranmalai. These Hamlets are ~~not~~ supported by all the Accounts of 012-
No Tatt [illegible] about the area or about the boundaries or the grant of the Rajah taken. The boundaries of these Hamlets correspond ~~to~~ those
in the Mahazarnamah dated 1808 & which was registered in A D 1809 under Regulation XXXI of 1802. The boundaries of the Village are
not recorded either in the Account of F1244 or of F1246. The Temple is apply kept up. The average income of the Village is 2000 Rupees
per annum. The 1291 Acres & 78 Cents situated within the boundaries of the said Mahazarnamah are inferior.
The excess is a marked one. But it is situated within the proper limits of these Hamlets. This shows the utter unworthiness of the old
Accounts —

Sl No 910

As per acct of F 1211

Nanja – (Virayadi)	28 1 4½	19 – 69
Excess – (Do)	806 12 ½	564 – 81
	835 – –	584 – 50
Punja Excess (kurkam)	1263 – –	707 – 28
		1291 – 78
Deduct Porampoke (kurkam)	377¼	211 – 26
Remainder		1080 – 52
Deduct Service Inam – (Virayadi)	6 7 5	4 – 59
Remainder		1075 – 93
Nanja – (Virayadi)	21 7 5	15 – 09
Excess – (Do)	603 6 1	422 – 41
Waste – (Do)	203 6 1	142 – 41
	828 6 1	579 – 91
Punja – (kurkam)	671/4	375 – 90
Waste – (Do)	214½	120 – 12
	885¾	496 – 02
	Total	1075 – 93

2000

For the Iddi support as per of Pagoda datum of Nallaraw manga acct bagesmara nall raswami in Piran male hill Now apply kept up	the Villages belonging to this Temple in lump Rs 616-2-8 Izapa 246-15-1 863-1-9	Part Maha baliwana Raja Date is unknown	Acct of F 1211 Maratta acct of F 1223 Boundary acct of F 1244 Board Regr of A D 1815 Ayakat acct of F 1263 No Patta. 1 Mahazarnamah Dated in A D 1808 and Registered in A D 1809 No 749 under Regulation XXXI of 1802	Nalla mangaiba gesmara swami	In F 1211 Piranmale mange nayakar kovil 28 hamlets area of Kalapur Nanja Virayadi 28 1 4½ A C 19 – 69. In Marata acct of F 1211 Do – Do –	In F 1223 Piranmale mange bagesme raswami kovil in the Hamlet of Kalapur Nanja Virayadi 28 1 4½ A C 19 – 69. In F 1244 None Do F 1263 Piranmale kovil 1 Hamlet of Kalapur Nanja Virayadi 28 1 4½ A C 19 – 69	Piranmale Stree Nalla Mangaibagi swaraswami Trustee Ponnambala Desikar alias Devasikamani Pondarasha unidi of Tiruvannamalai Adinam 74	Tax under Cl III C for Free Income derived from all the Villages belonging to this Temple is — 863 1 9 [illegible] Rao Rashkur 28 4 64

Confirmed in present tenure. See remarks on Regr No 690 –
16/7/64 WMB

(vide next side)

	Names of						tal
			6		84		6
	Vellayen		44				44
	Karuppen	2	63				63
…ssino	Total	3	07			3	07
	Alagappen	9	63	14	84	24	47
…shed							
…innispandil	Periyakaruppen		43				43
	Grand Total	13	13	14	84	27	97

1815

1 Periyappura
saiyindal
2 Sinnappura
saiyindal
3 Puravaniya
nindal
4 Pattakurchi
yendal
5 Narikudi
yendal
6 Pattapachi
yendal
7 Mochiyendal
8 Varakudi
yendal
9. Puttimané
ndal
10 Yidayanendal
11. Karadiyendal
12 Yemberyma
lindal
13. Muttanendal
14 Muttanendal
15 Periyakolla
nendal
16 Sinnakol
lanindal
17 Maniyavu
=ttanendal
18 Kalluvaya
lendal
19. Náganendal
20 Periyakai
niyendal
21 Sirukaní
yendal
22 Selliyendal
23. Sammanendal
24 Karungolam
yendal
25 Sinnakarun
=golamyendal
26 Kudikatta
nendal
27 Amariyen
=dal
28 Aranikam
=mayi Ta
yilapat
29. Tayilapat

To defray
the charges
of Manga
ligadama
=nut in
Pranmale
Hill

Tran Cohird

Register of Devadayom Village of Kudikatavendal (1) Kadachuttavendal (2) Chetambra Vodai Arlayendal (3) in Tripatoor Taluq of Sivagunga Zemindary in Madura Dist.

Kudikatavendal, Kadachuttavendal, Chettambra Vodai Arlayendal

643
644

Registers Nos 642, 643, 644

[illegible]

Regr No 642 Regr No 643 Regr No 644

Sheet No 832

1 These are the three Villages in the Zemindary of Sevagunga granted for the support of the Temple of Stree Alagha maniswaren in Adigaram — There are permanent grants. No Potta. The Temple is apparently kept up — No talk ever about the boundaries of these Villages on the part of the Rajah's Vakeel. The boundaries of these Villages are not recorded in any of the Accounts — The boundaries now recorded by the Curnum proved to be correct ones — No encroachments were made upon Ayan lands. These 3 Villages are situated contiguous to each other. These ~~four~~ three Villages are brought on one & the same Register as the boundaries of these Villages are recorded as one or in other words one boundary line is drawn ~~one~~ enclosing the lands of these 3 Villages, as the Villages are situated contiguous to each other & as these Villages are entered as one in the Annual settlement Accounts of the Zemindar — These Villages are entered in the name of the Temple in all the Accounts — The Temple & the Villages appertaining thereto are under the management of the Rajah. The average income of these Villages comes to 750 Rupees per annum The Curnum says that the Income is 203 Rupees per annum This is not true.

As per Acct of F 1211
[illegible]ja Varayadi 52-1-1 36-46
Excess — 118-7-5/8 82-99
170-9-1/8 119-45
Punja Tharuku 199 — 111-44
230-89
[illegible] Poramboke Tharukai 46 — 25-76
Remainder 205-13
[illegible] Sarvamanium Nanja Varayadi 1-10-1/4 1-20
Remainder 203-93
Nanja Varayadi Cultivated 50-5-3/4 35-26
Excess do do 88-7-5/8 61-99
Do Do Waste 30 — 21 —
Total 168-12-5/8 118-25
Excess Punja Tharuku Cultivated 113 — 63-28
Waste 40 — 22-40
Total 153 — 85-68
Grand Total 203-93

750 —

For the Idol support of Temple of Alagha maneswaraswamy in Adikaram Now appy kept up

Irai as per Istimrar acct on all the Villages belonging to this Temple in lump Rs 13-2-8 Jodi 14-14-7
281-3

Per Adi Chandra Pandya Rajah Hindwi Date is unknown

Acct of F 1211
Acct of F 1223
Baundory Acct of F 1244
Ayakut Acct of F 1263

Alagha maneswaren

In F 1211 Adikaram Alagamaneswaran Kovil
1 Kudi katanendal
2 Nanja Varayadi 36-10-5/2
A 25-75
Kada chutta vendal Nanja Varayadi 14-0-4/2
A 9-84
Chittambra Vadoi Arlayendal Nanja Varayadi 51-13-3
A — 87
Total Varayadi 52-1-1
A 36-46
In Hindwi Acct [illegible]

In F 1223 Adikaram Alagha maneswaran Kovil
1 Kadi Katanendal
2 Kado Sattavendal
3 Chettambala Vadayarendal
Area for all the Villages belonging to this Temple Nanja Varayadi 79-8-1/2
A 55-71
In F 1244 none
In F 1263 none
In Nets [illegible] 1815

Adikaram Alagha maneswaraswamy Trustee Kallanna Natchiyar Avergal Rajah of Sevagunga Zemindary &c

To be confirmed under Rule III clause one Tax free
Zemindars Jodi on the Villages belonging to the Temple 28-1-3

Ramnad
19-5-64

Confirmed on present tenure - See remark on Regr No 640.
14/6/64

Register of Devadayam Village of Kudickatanendal in Amaravati Taluq in Sivaganga Zamindary of Madura District

Register No. 6

Kudickatanendal

குடிக்காத்தான் வேந்தல்

I This is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Sivagangai granted for the support of the Temple of Stree Kandeswara Swamy in Velongudi. This is a permanent grant. This is in uninterrupted possession of its holders since the date of the grant. There was no Potta. It was lost. The Temple is efficiently kept up. No talk over about the area nor about the boundaries on the part of the Zemindari Vakeel. Hence the area of 260 acres & 68 cents is situated within the proper limits of the Village and conformed [illegible]. The excess is a striking one. All the lands are situated within the proper limits of the Village which correspond to those in the Account of F1246.

II As per Setumar Account, Poruppu on all the Villages belonging to this Temple is 49-4-6. The Zemindar raised it some forty years ago to Rupees 63-1-3 by 13-12-9. As there is no dispute regarding the sum of Poruppu of 63-1-3 now collected, the same is adopted in the settlement.

III Wet lands depend upon Tanks. Soil is of a superior quality generally. Average profits of the Village are 650 Rupees per Annum as per statement of the Trustees. [illegible]

The proceeds of the ~~Temple~~ Village are appropriated for the use of the ~~[illegible]~~ Nagarakovil of Kandeswara Swamy & Nattar Kovil of Periya Nayakky Amman. This is done from time immemorial. The name of Nayakky Amman is entered in the Zemindari's Account of F1212 though it is ~~entered~~ omitted in the Account of F1211 & 1223. These two Temples are in possession of the Trustees since long.

N.F.

List of Villages belonging to this Temple.

I. Kudikattanendal	Whole Village	
II. Pandiyanendal	Do	
III. Ayakaranendal	Do	
IV. Solemudiyendal	Do	
V. Vadagudi		Minor Inam

Register No 414

Sheet No 535

As per Acct of F1211

Nanja Varaydi	15 —	10-50
Excess do	35 —	24-50
	50 —	35 —
Excess Punja Kaulk	403 —	225-68
		260-68
Deduct Porombokе		
Bed of Tank Kaulk	130 —	72-80
Templesite do	1 —	56
Jungle do	182 —	101-92
	313 —	175-28
Remainder		85-40
Nanja Varaydi cultivated	15 —	10-50
Excess do	35 —	24-50
Total	50 —	35 —
Punja Kaulk cultivated	90 —	50-40
Grand Total		85-40

For the support of Temple of Stree Khandesworo swami in Velongudi Now efficiently kept up	As per Setumar Acct Poruppu in lump for all the Villages belonging to this Temple is Rupees 49-4-6 Jodi 13-12-9 Total 63-1-3	Per the name of the original Grantor & date is unknown	Acct of F1211 & Hindivi Acct of F1223 Boundary Acct of F1246 Board's Register of A.D. 1815	Khandesworoswami	In F1211 Devadayam of Velongudi Khandesworoswami Kovil Kudickatanendal Nanja Varaydi 15 — & 10-50 In Hindivi of Do Do Area Do Do. In F1246 Velongudi Devadayam Kudikatanendal Area none	In F1223 Velongudi Khandesworo Kudayar Kovil Kudikatanendal Nanja Varaydi 15 — & 10-50 Brahmeswara Kurukkal In Register of A.D. 1815 Kudikatanendal Khandesworo swamy In F1263 Velangudi	Velangudi Stree Khandesworoswamy Trustee. 1 Chitambaram Setti 30 2 Verappan Setti 29 3 Swattapayaniyappen Servei 50 4 Karuppanan Servei 45 5 Periyanamkalam 55

The Villages can be confd to both the Pagodas according to their enjoyment A.

To be confirmed under Rule III Clause one Tax Free

Zemindari Jodi for all the villages belonging to this Temple — 63-1-3

T.D. No. 1737

& the back of the T.D.

22/11/64

[illegible] Rao

13-11-63

This village & Regr. Nos. ~~407~~ 415, 416, 417, & 209 the Jodi on all of which is paid in a lump, are confirmed in one T.D. to the Pagoda in Col: 16 & Nayakay Amman so long as the service is kept up. The villages are confirmed to both the Pagodas as they have been in their enjoyment from time immemorial. The particulars of extent &c of each village will be given in T.D. No. 1737.

650 — This is true & real income calculated for a period of 10 years

Note The persons No 1 & 2 of 16, who are "Nattukottai Chetties" appeared as Trustees of the Temples of Kandeswara Swamy which is said to belong to Nagarathars or Chetties, & of Periya Nayakky Ammen, which is said to belong to "Nattars" or cultivating classes of people. Soon after the settlement of the Villages belonging to the Temple of Kandeswara Swamy was completed & a Ticket was granted to the persons No 1 & 2 of 16, as Trustees, a large body of "Nattars" headed by the persons No 3-4 & 5 of 16 appeared before me & pleaded that the names of the persons No 3, 4 & 5 of 16 also should be ~~[illegible]~~ added to the Trustees of these Temples as the proceeds of these Villages are appropriated to both of the Temples & as they have sole control over the Temple of Periya Naicky Ammen which belongs to Nattars. The persons No 1 & 2 of 16 admitted that the Temple of Periya Nayakky Ammen also belong to Nattars & the proceeds of the Vill[illegible] [illegible] Kandeswara [illegible]

Kovil
Kadikal
atavindal
Uarya
Virayadi
15 —
A 6
16 — 50

(x) The mob of Nattars & their way of conversing induced me to think that
the Nattars & Nagarattars will fight horribly & as a precautionary measure
I issued a notice at once stating that I will not interfere in this case as I am
precluded from doing the same & that everything must go as usual — & made
the persons No 1 & 2 & No 3-4 & 5 / of 16 to sign it & warned them
to disperse the mob at once. The Nattars dispersed at once — When the persons
No 3-4 & 5 / of 16 appeared before me meekly & when the persons No 1 & 2 / of 16 pleaded
that the names of the persons No 3-4 & 5 / of 16 should not be registered here as Trustees,
I asked the Nattars or persons No 3-4 & 5 / of 16 to prove that they have the key of the
Temple of Periya Nayakey Ammen. They promised to do so — & on the next morning
they brought to my office a large wooden box said to be containing the Jewels &c
of the Temple of Periya Nayakey Ammen — The persons No 1 & 2 / of 16 pleaded that
the Nattars broke the door & brought the box out of the Temple — I again sent them
as this is not proved & it was not my duty to enquire about it
away quietly — Again on the 11 May / 64, Nattars presented to me a Mahazer
signed by some 50 persons requesting me to register the names of the persons No —
3-4 & 5 / of 16 with those of the persons No 1 & 2 / of 16 — Upon this I gave notice &
sent summons to the persons No 1 & 2 / of 16 but they purposely never appeared before me.
From the enquiry I held it is satisfactorily proved that Nattars have sole control
over the Temple of Periya Nayakey Ammen — & that they spent & are spending a
considerable sum of money from their private funds to improve the Temple — The Nagarattars
or the persons No 1 & 2 / of 16 admit that the proceeds of the Villages that are entered in the Huzoor
Accounts in the name of Randeswara Swamy Covil, are appropriated to both Temples —
Again in the zemindari Accounts of F 1212, which are bound in book with those of the Accounts of F
1211; the names of two Temples are entered in lump in this — "Velangudy Randeswara
Modayaner, Periya Nayakey Ammen" & below it the names of this Village & those of other
Villages, the registers of which are placed with this are given — Under the above circumstances
I register the ~~persons~~ names of the persons No 3-4 & 5 / of 16, also as Trustees — The [illegible]
letters from Nattars & Nagarattars are placed with this for the perusal of the Revising Officer

Karaikudi
28-5-64

Iman comm

Register No 92

Hadikattanendal

[illegible] 11 [illegible] 135

Sheet No 90

[illegible]	4
[illegible] Do	4
	8
½ Village Jivitam	Villages
Sarvamanyam	1/8
Do Do	1/8
Do Do	1/4
	1/2

1 This one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Sivaganga — Half of the Village is granted for the support of certain Brahmins [illegible] other half for one Vattama Palavar or Poet for his having sung a song on the Zemindar — Both of these are hereditary grants [illegible] possession of its holders since the date of the grant. There being no Pottas — Though the boundaries of the Village are not stated [illegible] Pattah says that he no objection to raise on the part of the Zemindar regarding the area or about the boundaries. Hence the area of [illegible] confirmed here — All these lands are situated within the proper limits of the Village —

II ——— The Devadayam portion consists of 8 equal Vrittis — They are enjoyed equally by the Temples of 16 — In A.D 1811, [illegible] the Trustee of the Temple of Sundaresvara Swami from the funds of the Temple for 90 Pagodas — from Tirumalai & Ramaswami [illegible] The Trustee of the Temple of Devanga Perumal purchased two Vrittis for Pagodas 78 [illegible] Village — One Bill is on plain Cadjan leaf — Transactions proved to be bonafide by tradition & by inhabitants — In the [illegible] A.D 1795 — Bill of sale is on plain Cadjan leaf — [illegible] Devadayam is personal grant — Though the proceeds are appropriated for the [illegible] is made in the name of the Temple [illegible] is [illegible]. The Jivitam portion of half Village is enjoyed as shown in the margin. [illegible] personal grant — Hence this is treated as such — [illegible] purchased the lands in [illegible] Temple of Nattu Swamy in [illegible] 1792 of 16 in the [illegible] Iluppagudi 750 — from the persons [illegible] Transaction proved [illegible] sale on plain Cadjan leaf —

The remarks of the Deputy Collector are not clear — Do the 2 Pagodas in the Dharmasanam portion of the Village hold the shares from the date of the grant, or did they acquire the whole 8 Vrittis by alienation — If the by former is the case Quit Rent will be charged on the Inams only [illegible] by alienation [illegible]

The [illegible] will supply the [illegible] information [illegible] 1/7/[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] Acct of F 1211		
[illegible]		31-11-4-22-28
[illegible]		10-9-2-7-66
		42-7-29-94
[illegible]		10-7-
		32-74
[illegible]		11-3-3-7-87
		5-3-50
		16-3-3-11-37
[illegible]		11-3-3-7-87
		5-3-50
		16-3-3-11-87

65 —

65 —

Declared [illegible] the [illegible] papers produced by [illegible]

Jodi as per Datum sar acct 14-3-6

Vijaya Raguna Dasetu pati — Date is unknown

Acct of F 1211 Maratta acct of F 1223 Boundary [illegible] no Pottah 1244

Tandonisvara swami Periya Perumal Gurukal as per acct of F 1223

Acct of F 1211 Dharmasanam Hadikattanendal Hamlet of Senja of which Deducting Jivitam ½ Remainder Senja Viruyadi 15-12-5 A C 11-14 Do Maratta acct of F 1211 Do — Do F 1244 none

Do F 1223 Iluppagudi Tandonisvara swami Kovil Hadikattanendal Viruyadi 6 — 4½ A C 5 — 68 Sornakal [illegible] Reg A D 1815 none

Iluppagudi Tandonisvara swami Trustee Ramanathi 15

Tandonisvara swami [illegible]

One Potta is recorded for Devadayam portion of half Village

To be confirmed [illegible] half Village of Dev[illegible] [illegible]

2 8/8 — 6

[illegible] 19 11 63

Jivitam Jodi [illegible] unknown — [illegible]

Vattama Palavar Do F 1211 Jivitam Hadikattanendal of which Dharmasanam ½ Remainder [illegible]

Vattama Palavar [illegible] F 1223

Do F 1223 Sundaresvara Kovil Devanga Perumal Hadikattanendal Viruyadi 6 — 4½ A — 5 — Vruthi [illegible]

Contributed of [illegible] 1 Devanga Perumal and Rama swami [illegible] Pettaperumal Pagodas 36

157

Chinna [illegible]

	Maxm	Minm
Dry	3 9 9	1 7
Wet	10 13 3	5 9

Average rates of Government [illegible] per Acre

Dry 1-5-6.

Wet 4-6-1.

Average grains of the Melwaram on each land in the Amaravati Nagaram Kolovattam consisting of 7 Ayen Villages in the Taluq of the same name for a period of 14 years.

A

From F 1256 to F 1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Gross outturn	Of which Zemindar's share at 45 per cent	Average prices of grain	Value of the quantity of grains given in Col. 4	Rates per Acre
K M C	A C	K M C	K M D	R A P	R A P	R A P
4773 9 4	3461 98	45873-9-4	2[illegible]-9-2	1-8 10½	33657-6-10	7-[illegible]-2

9-0-2

11 — 14
In Mara-
-tha cut
of F
1221
Do-
Do F
1244
None

1223
Pulavar
maniyam
Kudika-
-ttumayal
½ of the
Village
Manya
Virayadi
16-3-4/p
A C
11 — 38
1 Ramanu-
-ja Pulavar
2 Vuttama
Pulavar
In Regt
of A D
Nov 1815

1 Suppramani-
-ya Pulavar
55
2 Pettaperu-
-mal Pulavar
40
3 Kristnasami Al
Trustee
Narayananchetti
23
Nagappanchetti
24.

Great grand son of person not in Col. 13.
2 Wives
5 Sons
2 Daughters-in-law
1 Grandson
1 Granddaughter
5 Daughters

Grand son of Grandson of person not in Col. 13.
(2)
1 Wife
1 son
2 Daughters

(3)

D D D
One Pollu is reserved for Pooja [illegible]

[illegible] F 6 3 3
[illegible] VII [illegible]
Zemin Dar — 11-7-9 Fifteen rupees seven and 9 pies —
Govt 2 R/s = 2 Rupees Two Rupees
19 W 2
Vide [illegible]

Confirmed to the parties in C 16 in one T D a 2 R of Rs 6.
8/10/64

2-4

[illegible]
1016

— The lands in the whole Village have been divided permanently. They are not liable to periodical [illegible]
— Poruppu is paid equally on the [illegible] shares in the Village.

Valuation of the entire Village

1 Wet lands depend upon one small Tank — It is in repair — It is fed by one of the branch channels of [illegible] — [illegible] supply of water is
— an influential hill stream — The Tank is fed annually. No scarcity of water is felt but [illegible]
— felt sometimes. The people say that the Wet lands [illegible] as waste are [illegible]
— Wet lands are cultivated annually 2 times on an average — Soil is [illegible] of a superior quality generally. The Village is in
— There are some [illegible] lands. No [illegible] is raised — No Dry cultivation in the Village. The average of the
— I have no materials for [illegible] the average assessment of the adjacent Ayen lands. The average
— Maganam assessment is given in the margin — It is [illegible] principle [illegible] — I think Maganam average
— is better ~~than~~ [illegible] than ~~the Village~~ that of one or two Villages. Average income of the entire Village
— per statement of the Head man is 620 rupees per annum — This is not [illegible] true — In consideration of the
fertility of soil &c. I [illegible] the valuation of the Village as follows —

16 Acres of Wet cultivated annually — in consideration of the lands — 112
cultivated annually 2 times, at 7 Rupees per acre — 14
7 Acres Mettu land &c at 2 Rupees per acre

Rs 126-0-0 [illegible]

19 11 63