

Kōlackad. செருவி ட்டரு

Porcupine is found on the mountains of this district equally & perhaps more abundantly.
 II The persons Nos 1 & 2 of 1864 say that they are descendants of the Ojibwa Grantee & that as several generations passed between the Ojibwa Grantee & themselves
 they are unable to describe their relationship with him. They proved to be true by tradition & by the old Kamachat Accounts which are in possession of
 the Villagers showing the names of the several shareholders in the Village.
 2d 1864-1865 Nos 4 & 5 of 1864 say that they are descendants of the Ojibwa Grantee & that as several generations passed between the Ojibwa Grantee & themselves
 they are unable to describe their relationship with him. They proved to be true by tradition & by the old Kamachat Accounts which are in possession of
 the Villagers showing the names of the several shareholders in the Village.
 3d 1864-1865 Nos 6 & 7 of 1864 say that they are descendants of the Ojibwa Grantee & that as several generations passed between the Ojibwa Grantee & themselves
 they are unable to describe their relationship with him. They proved to be true by tradition & by the old Kamachat Accounts which are in possession of
 the Villagers showing the names of the several shareholders in the Village.

The persons 1849-50-63 & 64 & 66 purchased their lands in A.D. 1847-1848 & 1854 from Purohita Rajenyan & other shareholders in the Village - Three bills of sale are on plain paper in Sanskrit - Transactions proved to be bona fide - Enjoyment proved - The persons 1825-26-69 & 50 & 56 acquired their lands in A.D. 1840 & 1857 from Purohita Rajenyan & other shareholders in the Village - Two deeds of gifts are on plain paper in Sanskrit - Transactions proved to be bona fide - Enjoyment proved - The persons 1827 & 186 purchased some of their

Don't get in 1840 & 1857 from Tinsana
 Anna Jodi 1840 & 1857 from Tinsana

Samon asper *Malison* *Bhatlor* *Taruma* *Taruma* *Alaghor*
Sataro *Raja* *Sangor* *Saran* *Saran* *66*
138153 *Sate is* *Kataded* *Pitaded* *yanos*
Saga *unknown* *Sanga* *Sanga*
Sala *1223* *Tarayed* *Tarayed* *Saranengo*
138154 *160* *160* *Samarangor*

Manadary
Account
of Trade

Bear's
Register
of 1841
No. 10

Naygo Naygo
Naraygo Naraygo? Siravengo } 80
160 - 160 - " damayungor }
04 - 04 - " }
112 - 112 - " 3. Kawsawa }
A. Kusina Venka mayungor } 80
Aut of lachab
Fox mayungor; Siraven.
04 - 04 - " }
80 In Fiddle gozama } 80
}
Barano Vavaruniz

Saruro
Saron Vidoronini }
Kato 5 Alteenivo' } 80
Kad sayungas }
Area 6 Narivano' } 80
moue yango 522 }
A. Retr Pampaloin }
J. A. D. J. Nidoos } 80
1845 Verminalayan }
Katackid } 80
Kakata Katackad }
Chelams 80 Blagharaz } 80
yango Nayungo 50 }
9 Alteenivo' }
sayungas } 80

[illegible]

Register no 479

Sheet No. 634

[illegible]

Handwritten signature: *Handwritten signature*

Notes of Government Palago perches

Jan 3-9-9
 Met 10-13-3

Average rates of Government Palago perches

Dec. 1-5-6
 Met 4-8-1

Average Value of grain of the town on wet land in Anoyokatan
 Mayanam consisting of 15 villages in Piruayampale Palag
 for the period of 15 years - A

Year	Cultivated area in acres	Grains in millions	Of which Zennudo's share at 45 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of the quantity of grains in Poles	Rates per acre
1870	2983	26	11669-3-2	1-7-4	16603-5-11	5-9-0

In the Village 10 road better arranged & held by certain Mahayans. The persons of 16 are -
 that these persons who are enjoying shares without documents for assigned parcels -
 partly by gift & purchase long ago & that the documents were lost. The names of the
 persons of 16 are entered in all the Annual Accounts of the Village, which are in -
 possession of the Village. These Annual Accounts are lists of the Pongors with the
 names of the holders living in the Village. In the account of 1823 & of 1815 -
 the names of outgoing of the shareholders is entered. Under the above circumstances, the
 persons of 16 are the legal owners of the shares entered off to the other names -

III The person of 9 who of 16 of land 1/2 Village from the person of 12 & 1/2 Village from the person
 of 9 & 1/2 by reason the first father mortgaged the lands to the ancestor of the person
 of 9 & 1/2 of 16 & 1/2 the person of 12 & 1/2 about 30 or 35 years ago & of 1/2 from the same.
 It is proved that the person younger brother of the complainant sold the 1/2 Village in 1816 for 100
 of 1/2 from the person of 12 & 1/2 of 16. All of which on a plain piece of land - The 1/2 Village was
 of 1/2 from the person of 12 & 1/2 of 16. In 1840 - and of agreement or on

- 10 Ramadani } shares 100
 mayangor } holder 16/10
- 11 Venkata } 20
 Chalamayam } 16/10
 } 2 married
 } 8 daughters
- 12 Perumal } 80
 Yangor 63 } 2 sons
 } 2 daughters
- 13 Appana } 80
 Yangor 45 } 16/10
 } 1 son
- 14 Pristhu } 80
 Yangor 42 } 16/10
 } 1 son
 } 1 daughter
- 15 Sundarara } 80
 Yangor 45 } 16/10
 } 1 son
- 16 Sellaumul } 80
 Yangor 25 } 16/10
 } 1 son
- 17 Kuppura } 80
 mi Yangor 42 } 16/10
- 18 Pristhu } 80
 Yangor 40 } 16/10
 } 2 sons
- 19 Stherranga } 80
 Rajayangor 38 } 16/10
 } 1 son
 } 1 daughter
- 20 Aswala } 80
 Narayana } 16/10
 Yangor 23 } 1 married
 } 1 daughter
- 21 Mattusami } 80
 Yangor 38 } 16/10
 } 2 married
 } 2 daughters
- 22 Stherranga } 80
 Yangor 38 } 16/10
 } 1 married
 } 1 daughter
 } 1 son
- 23 Seshayana } 80
 Yangor 26 } 2 elder
 } brothers
- 24 Mattum } 80
 Yangor 40 } 1 married
 } 1 daughter
- 25 Venkata } 80
 Chalamayam } 16/10
 } 1 son
 } 1 daughter
- 26 Stherranga } 80
 dattan Yangor } 16/10
 } 1 son
 } 1 daughter

Viso preceding side

45

IV. One Annabaiyer Plaintiff of 1/11 Thuty from the person of 58 of 16 on the ground that it is his patrimony & that his father mortgaged the lands in about 20 years ago. He is the father of the person of 58 & that the latter was intent the lands in his own name. In proof of this the Plaintiff produced a division of property and to have been passed between his father & his brother in A.D. 1826 - The Defendant denies every knowledge of the Plaintiff's statement. One Subbata Narayana Plaintiff from Thuty from the person of 16 of 16 on the ground that it is his "Mata's" Maharegula's or of Mother's father's property - It is said that the younger brother of the Mother of the Plaintiff mortgaged the lands 25 years ago to one Arasur Venkata Chalamayana. & that the Defendant entered now these lands in his name. Again the Plaintiff states that for his Mother's brother died 25 years ago without issue & without wife leaving a widow, who died about 15 years ago - By and to the Plaintiff produced an agreement said to have executed by the Plaintiff to the Defendant in A.D. 1861 relinquishing his right in the property of the Plaintiff's mother's younger brother's property & that the Defendant is the son of father's younger brother of the Plaintiff's (Plaintiff's) mother's younger brother. The said agreement is on a stamped paper. In the agreement of 1861 no disposition of property is given. It is said that before that the Defendant relinquished his right in all the property belonging to the mother's younger brother of the Plaintiff. The Defendant is now a blind man. It is proved that the lands in question are in possession of the Defendant & that he mortgaged it to one Arasur Venkata Chalamayana about 25 years ago. Hence the claim is rejected & the party is referred to civil court. The Plaintiff admits that he has no enjoyment of the lands.

V. One Latchay Annal Plaintiff of 1/2 part of a Thuty from the person of 37 of 58 & 57 of 16 on the ground that her husband, who died about 20 years ago, mortgaged the lands to the Defendant just before his death & that the Defendant of late now holds the property of his own. The Defendant pleads that he purchased the lands - & that the bill of sale was lost. It is proved by the Maharegula that the Defendant did purchase the lands & that it was lost. Hence the claim is rejected & the party is referred to civil court. The Plaintiff is a young widow. Her husband died in youth. She says that her husband told her that the lands were in his name -

VI. One Venkata Annal Plaintiff of 1/2 Thuty from the person of 7 of 16 on the ground that these lands were allotted to her by one Latchay Annal, who died 40 years ago. The Defendant pleads that the said Latchay Annal is the younger sister of the

Plaintiff's name	Defendant's name	Plaintiff's name	Defendant's name
Annabaiyer	Arasur Venkata Chalamayana	Annabaiyer	Arasur Venkata Chalamayana
Subbata Narayana	Arasur Venkata Chalamayana	Subbata Narayana	Arasur Venkata Chalamayana
Latchay Annal	Arasur Venkata Chalamayana	Latchay Annal	Arasur Venkata Chalamayana
Venkata Annal	Arasur Venkata Chalamayana	Venkata Annal	Arasur Venkata Chalamayana

See preceding sheet

The Plaintiff again admitted the lands are not in possession. The Plaintiff produced also a deed of gift and where been executed by Lathany Arumal to the son of Pongor 43. This document is not attested by witnesses - The Plaintiff again says that this is a forged document. The Defendant (N^o 7) is the son of Pongor 43 - brother of the husband of Lathany Arumal - The deed of gift produced by the Pff is dated 1835 - & is proved by documents that Lathany Arumal mortgaged the lands in 1839 to one Puff amongu - The lands are in possession of the person N^o 7 of 186. The funeral rites of Lathany Arumal who died about 20 years ago were performed by the person N^o 7 of 186. Hence the Plaintiff is rejected & the party is referred to trial court.

III The "Karam" accounts of the village are of no use. In the said accounts the Pongors are entered in the names of some persons who held them originally. & if one share is mortgaged once, it is entered always as mortgaged property.



IV The person N^o 46 claimed 1/4 Vaddy from the person N^o 47 of 186 on the ground that the latter inserted the lands in his name, on which were granted to him as gift in 1854 by one Paccrana Pongor Arumal who died without issue some 7 years ago.

The Plaintiff produced the deed of gift and where been executed by the deceased to him - This is on a plain paper leaf. The Plaintiff admits the genuineness of the document. The Defendant also admits the genuineness of the document.

The lands are in possession of the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff produced a document on which has been executed to him by the deceased in 1834 on a stamped paper leaf transferring to him all the property including the lands in question.

The Plaintiff proved this a false document. The Defendant admitted the lands are registered in the name of the Plaintiff who is numbered 46 of 186.

X One Venkataranga Pongor has 3/16 of a Vaddy in this Village. He died long ago. The maintenance of his family is not known. The Widow N^o 24 is enjoying 7/16 Vaddy by reason that her father in law purchased it long ago, & the person N^o 2 is enjoying 1/16 Vaddy on the ground that his mother received the lands in mortgage from the ancestor of the said Venkataranga Pongor. It is proved that the father in law of the Widow did purchase the Vaddy & that the family of the said N^o 2 of 186 is in possession of the Vaddy since long.

43 Pongor 43	at 116 gr	(43)
younger 43	at 14 an	
44 Saramya	at 146	
Morianayugor	at 146 gr	
45 Kadar a	at 146	(45)
46 Narayana	at 146 gr	
younger 48	at 146	
Papugor	at 146	
47 Terunigadu	at 146	
mayugor 50	at 146	
Papugor	at 146	
47 Padanuli	at 146	
younger 46	at 146	
48 Appana	at 146	
younger 50	at 146	
49 Dornama	at 146	
younger 32	at 146	
50 Kuttala	at 146	
nielu Arumal	at 146	
25	at 146	
51 Narayana	at 146	
younger 45	at 146	
Pengavayal	at 146	
52 Kristna	at 146	
younger 20	at 146	
53 Syatuna	at 146	
Papugor 50	at 146	
54 Syasuni	at 146	
Vadyat 40	at 146	
Pengavayal	at 146	
55 Narayana	at 146	
younger 28	at 146	
56 Terunigadu	at 146	
mayugor 50	at 146	
57 Seshayana	at 146	
younger 50	at 146	
Sivaganagai	at 146	
58 Sanderes	at 146	
warayan 45	at 146	
59 Korchora	at 146	
younger 46	at 146	

See preceding side

name 70 years since the lands are registered in the name of the person who is enjoying them.

