

No 708 ————— 2 ———
 4 ———

No 708 } 80 — 2 — 4
 4 — 4

Sheet No. 718

1 This is one of the Darnas amans in the zemindari of Lingayy. It was granted by the zemindar of 11 to Kallai Vally Sankany in for
subsistence. This is a hereditary grant. This is an uninterrupted possession of it by them since the date of the grant - There has been a Pottu for two
plains as far as I know. From the English Account of 1815, it appears that this is a document was registered under Regulation XXXI of 1802.
Pottu is dated 795 A.D. - The Rang's Vakeel says that this Pottu is a genuine one. In the Account of 1821 furnished from the Collection Office -
the Village is entered as *Agre* & it is entered as Darnas amans in the Pottu, in the ~~Account~~ with a Account of 1823 & in the English Account of
1815 copy of which is forwarded to the Board of Revenue. In one of the zemindar's "Dehagada" Accounts of 1821 the Village is entered as
Darnas amans & in the Account of 1822 the Village is entered as Darnas amans - Rang's Vakeel does not plead that this is *Agre* -
He says that he has no Talukans of the Village being placed as Darnas amans on the Village deducted from the amlets upon which the Permanent
Settlement was based. I wonder from the above & clear circumstances that the Village was deducted from the amlets upon which the
Permanent Settlement was based & to treat it as such -

Super Sal of Sugar		
Kungo Varazgi	30	21
Excess	80	60
		42
		90
		63
Kungo Kurukam	32	8
	18	20
Excess	80	117
		8
		65
		80
		150
		84
Product Parambaki		147
Memorial Note Varazgi		3
		50
Invariable land	80	4
		2
		80
Bank	80	2
		1
		40
Bed of Tank Korki	50	
		28
Memorial Note	80	15
		8
		40
Invariable land	80	15
		8
		40
		52
		50
Remande		94
		50
Kungo Varazgi	30	21
Cultivation		
Excess	80	42
		29
		40
80	80	7
		4
		90
		49
		34
		30
Total	79	55
		36
Kungo Kurukam	32	8
Cultivation		18
		20
Excess	80	33
		8
		18
		76
80	80	4
		2
		24
		37
		8
		21
		4
Total	70	39
		20
Grand Total	94	50

[illegible]

(Three must read)

To be deposited 521

Genesis Dec. 30-38 confirmed as received in the 34 on a 22 of Rs 34

2/16/64

20m

84.3

Handwritten:
 2288
 The Louisiana Purchase
 Kansas
 22-4-66
 A. G.

Saint-Pierre

Average value of grain of Malabar met in the market
At the village in Sakai. Value for a period of 5 years

(X) From the inclusion of the Whigs as follows:-
 With 20 new tickets at 60 p. each } 200
 for our _____
 With 100 new of our former quality } 25
 at 2 1/2 p. each }
 With 50 new of our former quality } 50
 for our _____
 Total 270-8-0
 By 39/6 new tickets at 1/4 } 29 10-0
 By 100 new _____ }
 Total 300-2-6
 By 100 new _____ }
 Total 400-0-0
 By 100 new _____ }
 Total 500-0-0

III The Village consists of 40 equal thirds of 40 are enjoyed as shown in the margin of the preceding side - The lands are undivided. Bonfais paid on the number of thirds equally & permanently.

IV. . . The persons who sold are undivided brothers. The person who purchased half of the Village in A.D. 1809 for rupees 525 from Rangin Royer who purchased the same previously in A.D. 1806, from one ~~Rangin~~ Terazee Royer - who is said to have purchased the entire Village from one of the descendants of the Rajah. All rights of 1809 & 1806 are our plain paper names. Transactions proved by bonafide copy not proved.

half of the Village in A.D. 1809 for rupees 525 from one ~~Rangin~~ Terazee Royer. His name is

bonafide enjoyment proved -
 II. One Satapa Setty purchased half of the Village in A.D. 1849 for Rs. 525 from one
 Rangachary. The purchase made an endowment of the same to the Temple of Tenkavayadu. This was
 in Arundhary. The Valuel of Satapa Setty requested to one Brighadar Nathiah Village in the name of the
 Temple. No document to show that the half Village has been granted to the Temple - But the Valuel of the
 Donor admits the transaction. It is said that Rangachary purchased the half Village from the Village
 Donor who purchased it previously from one of the descendants of the original Grantee. Bill of sale of 1849 -
 as on a plain paper of - Transaction proved to be bonafide - Enjoyment proved -
 Valuation

Valuation

Valuation
 The lands depend upon one two Tanks of moderate size - They are in a fair way - They are good by Temple Income & by the sale of Water of Royasaram
 one of the Villages in Tondaimam Panchayat - The Tanks are filled annually. Security of Water is not much felt generally - The Tanks of the persons of 16 Rang
 But 50 Rang of 16 Rang 39 Officers of Day are full water generally in full & that 5 Rang of 16 Rang of 16 Rang as waste are of long in cultivation since it is full water
 House of the Tanks are full water ~~land~~ annually 2 times - Vaigah & Pungah lands are of a medium position generally - The contiguous Agri Villages are
 of the Vaigah, Pungah & Vellipally - The average land amount of these Villages are 5-78, 18-124, 16-210 paces respectively - The average of these Villages
 4-6-22 - The average income of the Village is 104 Rs. per year - The Tanks of the 16 Rang that the lands of the Dairamasaram may be planted
 in far with the Rice of all lands & Vellipally in perpetuity - The course of the water is