Dharmavanam Nilapavale [illegible] the [illegible] of [illegible]

[illegible] No 287

Sheet No. 366

VIII. —— The persons No 1, 2 & 3 of 16 & the persons against whose names, the word shareholder is entered, are said to be the descendants of the Orgl Grantees, who belong to various families. But there is no documentary evidence to this effect, save the reports of the tradition. The Mahajanams again say that they have not a single old account to prove that the persons against whose names the words shareholder ~~is~~ are entered are the descendants of the Orgl Grantees. In the accounts of A D 1815 & of F 1223, the names of only one person who appeared at the preparation of these accounts is entered, adding "etcetera" for others. The ~~other~~ persons against whose names the word alienees is marked ~~[illegible]~~ have, of course, Bills of sale or Deeds of gifts as the case may be.

Sheet No. 366

IX. --- The persons No 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 19, 20, 22, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 45, 57, 64, 66, 69, 76, 78, 79, 80 & 100 of 16 purchased partly & in full ~~[illegible]~~ their lands in A D 1790, 1814, 1825, 1830, 1831, 1832, 1834, 1835, 1836, 1837, 1838 & 1839, 1842, 1843, 1845, 1847, 1849, 1850, 1851, 1852, 1853, 1854, 1857, 1858 & 1859 — for rupees 2599-14-[illegible]. Thirty two Bills are on plain Cadjan leaves & 8 are on ~~stamped~~ Cadjan leaves. The persons No 19, 20, 21, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 78 & 79 acquired their lands in gift in 1767, 1830 & 1846 from one Subbien, Narayanasamien & Sundaramayyen from religious motives. The ~~[illegible]~~ family. The persons No 14 & 15 of 16 acquired their lands in gift from the father of the person No 10 of 16 about 30 years ago. No deed of gift. The person No 10 admits the transaction. The persons No 16, 17 & 18 of 16 acquired by purchase from the shareholders in the [illegible]. The parties are not present. The Mahajanams admit the transaction of sales. The persons No 22, 23 & 24 of 16 plead that ~~they~~ their ancestors acquired their [illegible] a century ago by purchase, but they have no documents. The Mahajanams admit the right of these individuals to the [illegible] entered opposite to their names. The person No 25 of 16 acquired his lands from [illegible] long ago. The latter acquired them by purchase. (Vide preceding [illegible])

No documents.

54 Venkatesuarayen 40	Do	(54) 2 Elder brothers
55 Muttuayyen 55	Do	(55) 1 Wife 2 Sons 2 Daughters un[illegible] 4 Married Daughters
56 Seshayyen 45	Do	(56) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Married Daughter
57 Pichakuppayen alias Mangalisuarayen 30	Al	(57) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Married Daughter 1 Mother
58 Venguayyen 35	Shareholder	(58) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Grandmother 1 Daughter married
59 Subbusamiyen 32	Do	(59) 1 Wife 1 Daughter unmarried
60 Sundermayyen 12	Do	(60) 1 Mother
61 Kristnayyen 8	Do	(61) 1 Elder brother 1 Mother
62 Subbayyen 16	Do	(62) 1 Wife
63 Annasamiyen 45	Do	(63) 1 Wife 2 Sons 1 Daughter
Vuttara Kosamangei		
64 Ananta Narayanayen	Al	(64) 1 Daughter unmarried
Pas[illegible]	Shareholder	(65) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter [illegible]

Vide preceding [illegible]

X. — The persons No 1 & 2 [illegible] of 16 purchased their [illegible] ~~in~~ long ago — from certain shareholders in the Village — No documents — The transaction is admitted by the Mohazanams —

XI — The person No 46 — acquired the lands by purchase long ago — No documents — Transaction is admitted by the Mohazanams —

XII — The person No 77 of 16 acquired lands by purchase long ago — No documents Transaction is admitted by the Mohazanams.

XIII — The persons No 81 & 86 of 16 purchased lands in the time of their ancestors of one of the shareholders — No documents The transaction is admitted by the persons of 16.

XIV. The person No 91 of 16 — acquired lands in gift long ago — No documents Transaction is admitted by the persons of 16.

XV. The person No 4 & 5 of 16 ~~have~~ acquired 2 Pongoos at one time about 30 years ago from their sisters Husbands — No documents — The sons of the said sisters Husbands, who are numbered 6 — 7 & 8 of 16 — admit the transaction —

XVI. The ~~two~~ father of the persons No 6 — 7 & 8 of 16 ~~has~~ acquired four Vellies in A D 1830 from Mutter Vijiah Ragunatha M[illegible] Tondiman the Periya Oodaya Tever, in gift — The Donor is the son of the Elder Brother of the Istimrar Zemindar who acquired them previously from the Mohazanams in the name of his Minister Maruda Servaikaren — in A D 1797 for pagodas 5 18 3/4 — Bill of sale & deed of gift are on plain cadjan leaves. Transactions [illegible] are bonafide by the Mohazanams — The father of the persons No 6 — 7 & 8 of 16 — alienated two Vellies of these four to the persons No 4 & 5 of 16 — as shewn in paragraph No XV of this Register

Vide next side

66 Venkata Ramayen 20	[illegible]holder	1 Wife 1 Mother
67 Jamarayen 40	Do	(67) 1 Wife 1 Daughter married
68 Appuvayen 46	Do	(68) —
69 Seshayen 55	Do	(69) 1 Wife 1 Son
70 Appuvayen 50	Do	(70) 1 Wife 3 sons 4 married
71 Kumarasa ini Subbayen 61	Do	(71) 1 Son
72 Samiyen alias Hari Harayen 42	Do	(72) 1 Elder Brother
73 Kolandappayen 20	Do	(73) 1 Wife 1 Mother
74 Lekshmi Ammal 10	Do	(74) 1 Brother in law
75 Ramakkai Ayen 65	Do	(75) 1 Wife 3 sons 2 married Daughters
76 Venkatesvarayen 38	Al	(76) 1 Wife 1 Son
Sivagengy 77. Savumminyanarayana yingar 35	Do	(77) 1 Wife 2 sons
Pasale 78 Srinivasa Vadiyar 50	Do	(78) 1 Son 1 Daughter
79 [illegible]ana Vadiyar 45	Do	(79) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter [illegible] 1 Daughter married

Vide preceding side
No [illegible]

[illegible] Kilapparai in the [illegible] of Partepanur of Sivagenga Zamindari [illegible] in Madura

Kilappavai Village [illegible] of Sivagenga Zamindari Estate in Madura District

D 14406

86-7-1
11-6-11
238-11
34

	Shares
[illegible]	1
[illegible]	1
No 3 is Do	1
No 4 Do Do	3
No 5 Do Do	2 3/8
No 6 to 8 are Do	6 3/16
No 9 is Do	41/48
No 10 to 11 are Do	1 37/96
No 12 and 13 are Do	41/48
No 14 and 15 Do Do	1
No 16 to 18 Do Do	1 15/16
No 19 and 20 are Do	1/4
No 21 is Do	[illegible]
No 22 to 24 are Do	1/2
No 25 is Do	3/4
No 26 to 30 are Do	1 5/32
No 31 is Do	1
No 32 Do Do	1/8
No 33 Do Do	1/8
No 34 and 35 are Do	1/4
No 36 is Do	1/2
No 37 Do Do	1
No 38 and 39 [illegible]	[illegible]
No 40 and 41 are [illegible]	1/4
No 42 to 44 are Do	1/16
No 45 is Do	3/4
No 46 Do Do	3/8
No 47 Do Do	1 7/16
No 48 to 50 are Do	15/16
No 51 is Do	1
No 52 to 54 are Do	1/16
No 55 is Do	1/2
No 56 Do Do	1/2
No 57 Do Do	1 1/2
No 58 to 61 are Do	7/8
No 62 is Do	1/2
No 63 Do Do	1/2
No 64 Do Do	1/4
No 65 Do Do	1/2
No 66 Do Do	11/16
No 67 Do Do	1/8
No 68 Do Do	5/16
No 69 and 70 are Do	1 3/16
No 71 and 72 Do Do	[illegible]
No 73 and 74 Do Do	13/16
No 75 is Do	1
No 76 Do Do	1
No 77 is Do	1/8
No 78 and 79 are Do	5/16
No 80 is Do	3/4
No 81 to 86 are Do	7/8
No 87 to 90 Do Do	1/2
No 91 is Do	1/2
No 92 and 93 are Do	1/8
No 94 and 95 Do Do	1/4
No 96 is Do	1/8
No 97 Do Do	3/8
No 98 to 99 are Do	[illegible]
No 100 is Do	11/16
No 101 Do Do	5/32
No 102 Do Do	1/16
No 103 is Do	4
No 104 Do Do	2
No 105 Do Do	2
Total	56

1 This is only the Dharmasanams in the Zemindary of Sivagai. This was granted by the Zemindar in 1011 to the Bramins of various families for subsistence originally in A.D. 1723 — Subsequently, some of the lands were alienated or granted out of the Dharmasanam [illegible] of the Choultry of Sadiyar in Puvukottai in [illegible] Taluq [illegible] of Muddurama puram in the Dharmasanam of Nemmeni in [illegible] Zemindary — Originally the Choultry of Sadiyar was built in Suvagai in [illegible] Parthevanur Taluq (Vide next side)

As per acct of F 1211

Nanja (Virayadi)	414-7	240-15
Excess — (Do)	15-7	10-85
	430	301
Punja (Hurkam)	92-3	51-62
Excess — (Do)	440-5	246-58
	582-8	246-20
		549-21

Register No 287

Sheet No 34

[illegible] 50 — [illegible]
[illegible] 11-20
[illegible] 20
216-15
[illegible] 1-3-51
379-53

Remaining [illegible]

Nanja (Virayadi) Cultivation [illegible] 379-35
Waste — (Do)
Excess waste (Do) 10-85
[illegible] 5297.49
Punja (Hurkam) Cultivation [illegible] 379-53
Waste — (Do) [illegible] 09
[illegible] waste (Do) [illegible]

Dharmasanam

Do di as per Istimrar Acct or Dharmasanam Rs — 317-10
Izafa 12-2-11
329-13
1 Sadiyar [illegible] belong [illegible] Choultry
228-14 as per Istimrar acct
Izafa 329-9-5
558 7-5

As per acct of F 1223 Muttu vijaya Raguna daseta pati dated Palmyra leaf [illegible]
A D 1722
As per Patta Muttu Vijaya Raguna da Setu pati Katta Tevar dated Do

Acct of F 1211 Maratta acct of F 1223 Boundary acct of F 1246 Bogid Agr [illegible] 1815 [illegible] Palmyra leaf

As per acct of F 1223 Pimdari katcha yya [illegible] As per Patta [illegible]

1 Muttuvarna puram Choultry as per Istimrar acct 17-2-5

The Extent of [illegible] 12 Pongal Acres
Dry 2.93
Wet 10.63
13.56

Tamil acct [illegible]
Dharmasanam Kilappavai sale
Nanja (Virayadi) [illegible] 353 [illegible]
[illegible] 257-13
Punja (Hurkam) 92 5/16
57 — 63
Total 298-76 · Total 317 — 12
[illegible] F 1211
Dharmasanam Kilappavai sale
Nanja (Virayadi) 359 — 3
257 — 33
Punja (Hurkam) 92 3/16
51 — [illegible]
Total
302 — 16 · 301 — [illegible]
F 121 [illegible]

[illegible] 38	Great grandson of person in Col 15	(1) 1 Wife 2 Daughters	
[illegible] Ammal 20	Daughter in law of grandson of person in Col 15	(2) 1 Brother	
3 Kuppayen 35	Great grandson of person in Col 15	(3) 1 Son 3 Daughters 1 Mother	
4 Appasamiyan alias Nagaiyan 55	Share holder	(4) 1 Wife 4 Sons 4 Daughters 4 Grandsons 1 Granddaughter	Members of family Divided. Lands are Divided. Our Patta is recom[illegible]
5 Anantanarayanan 45	Do	(5) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter	
6 Ramaswamiyen 38	Do	(6) 1 Wife 1 Son	
7 Santhanayen 33	Do	(7) 1 Wife	× Pongal justice [illegible] Villages [illegible] known [illegible]
8 Sivasubramaniyen 25	Do	(8) 1 Wife 1 Son	
9 Venkatachalam Ayer alias Annayyen	Share holder	(9) 1 Wife 2 Sons 2 Daughters	account also Pongal is entered in [illegible]

To be confirmed under Rule II Cl 3 Agreed & under Rule VII for choultry.
For Dharmasanam to 8 Villages.
Value [illegible]
[illegible] 329 13 0

[illegible] 21/16 — 319 —

[illegible] 1808 & 1809

To be confirmed under Rule [illegible] free [illegible] Choultry [illegible] which [illegible] Two Villages —

Value [illegible]

Pongal [illegible] the lands belonging to Choultry 55

(Vide next side)

Rates of Government Taluqs per Acre

	Max	Mini
Dry	3-9-9	—1-7
Wet	10-13-3	—5-9

Average Rates of Government Taluqs per Acre

Dry 1-5-6

Wet 4-6-1

Value of grain of Meilwarum on wet land in Melappavale Mahaganam Consisting of Ayan Villages in Pattipamir [illegible] Taluq For a period 15 years

From F 1256 to F 1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Gross out turn	of which Zamindar's share at 45 per cent	Average price of grains	Value of quantity of grain given in Col 4	Rates per Acre
M P	A C	K M P	K M P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
— 1	1501 — 86	15893 2 5	6756 — 5	1-8 2	10208-8-7	6 12 8

It Seems that Villages have been granted for the support of the Choultry — That Choultry was removed from Pudiyur to Pudukottai in — Rameswaram Taluq about 30 years ago as the road that was running by Pudiyur fell in disuse & Pudukottai Road leads to Rameswaram — & is frequented to a vast extent by the people — The Chuttrum is now — efficiently kept up — In the account of F 1211 — 10 Acres & 98 Cents are entered as the property of the Chuttrum of [illegible] In the account of F 1223, two — out of 36 in these Villages are entered as the property of Pudiyur Chuttram — It appears that these two Villages are held by Pudiyur Chuttram from time immemorial — Hence these two Villages are to be treated under Rule III Clause one Tax Free —

— The Chuttrum of Mutthuwarnapuram was originally built within the Temple of [illegible] Swami Situated in the Damasanam of Nermerie — in Ramnad Zemindary — The Temple is standing up to this day & the Choultry ~~[illegible]~~ building fell into decay long ago, or about 40 years, as it appears from the reports of the Mahaganams — In the account of F 1211, and Mutthuwarnapuram Choultry — 32 Acres & 25 Cents are entered as the property of these ~~[illegible]~~ Choultry — Now the Mahaganams say that the 7 Villages are held appropriated for the use of the Temple, & ~~[illegible]~~ proceeds [illegible] Villages are appropriated for Pooja — [illegible] day, or once [illegible] in the Damasanam [illegible]

in Dama[illegible]
mevaram
Kilapa
sale
Iraiya
Virayadi
15-5-3
A C
10 — 78
Dumara
tta acct
of F 1211
Do

116
6
A
61-7
Total
—71
[illegible]rma
[illegible]nam
[illegible]lappa
le
[illegible]hiraya
[illegible]
Regt
of F
1815
[illegible]erma
[illegible]nam
[illegible]lappa
le

of F
1246
Damma
sanam
Kilappa
le
Virayadi
45
A C
30
414-7
A C
290 -10
Punjai
Nunjai
-92 3/16
A C
51- 6
Total
Acres 341-
Grand total
the acc
of F 12
Nanjai
Punjai
420-7
A C
294-3
Punjai
Nunjai
92 3/16
A C
51- 6
Total
345-9

10	Amma[illegible]ayen	54	Do —	(10)	1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter 4 Grand Daughters
11	Pichammal	40	Do —	(11)	1 Brother in law
12	Pagiyammal	20	Do —	(12)	1 Husband
13	Ramayen alias Samavayen	7	Do —	(13)	1 Father
	Nattarasankottai				
14	Senkarayen	40	All	(14)	1 Wife 1 Mother
	Sivaswamiyan	38	Do —	(15)	1 Wife
	Panayur				
16	Subbayen	33	Do —	(16)	1 Wife 3 Sons 1 Mother
17	Ayaswamiyen	25	Do —	(17)	1 Wife 1 Daughter
18	Sathayen	18	Do —	(18)	1 Wife
	Kilapasale				
19	Ramaswami Ayen	16	Do —	(19)	1 Wife 1 Mother
20	[illegible]yen		Do —	(20)	1 Elder Brother
21	Sivaramayen	50	Do —	(21)	1 Wife 1 Son 1 Son's widow
22	Subbayen	25	Do —	(22)	1 Wife 1 Son 1 Mother
23	Naguvayen	23	Do —		
24	Savunmiya Ayen	12	Do —		
25	Venkata Narayanayen	20	Do —		

Six Pagodas belonging to Mutthuwarnapuram Chuttrum which fell into decay long ago

[illegible] contended that [illegible]
amount the four Villages
in possession of the person
of ~~43~~ 103/016 as the proceeds
thereof are now misappropriated
in contravention to the object
of the ogl Grant

Four Villages —

Poruppu — 11-6-11

Value 80-2-1 —

Govt 2/4 = 17 —

To be free under Rule III Clause one
Tax Free —

For two Villages —

Jenmavar Tax — 5 11 6

Value 40 —

1264 [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] preceding [illegible]

Dharmavaram [illegible] Kalapavai [illegible] in the [illegible]

Vill. No. 87

Sheet No. 366

VIII — The persons No. 1, 2 & 3 of 16 & the persons against whose names the words shareholders is entered [illegible] said to be the descendants of the Orgl Grantees, who belong to various families But there is no documentary evidence to this effect save the report of the tradition. The Mahazardars again say that they have not a single old account to prove that the persons against whose names the words shareholders are entered are the descendants of the Orgl Grantees. In the accounts of F 1815 & of F 1223, the names of only one person who appeared at the preparation of these accounts are entered — adding "etcetera" for others. The ~~[illegible]~~ persons whose names the word alienees is marked ~~[illegible]~~ have of course title grants or Deeds of gifts as the case may be.

Sheet No. [illegible]

IX — The persons No. 5-6-7-8-10-11-19-20-22-26-27-28-29-30-31-45-57-64-66-69-76-78-79-80 & 100 of 16 purchased partly & in gift ~~[illegible]~~ their lands in F 1790-1814-1825-183[illegible]-1831-1832-1834-1835 1836-1837 1838 1839-1842-1843-1845-184[illegible]-18[illegible] [illegible] 1852 1853 1854-185[illegible]-1858 & 1859 for rupees 2599-14[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 8 are on ~~t~~ stamped Cadjan leases — The persons No. 1[illegible] [illegible] 27-28-29-30-78 & 79 acquired [illegible] their lands in gift in 1767-1830 & 1846 ~~to~~ from one Subbier, the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Chinderamayyen from religious motives — The ~~[illegible]~~ No. 4 family [illegible] the persons No. 14 & 15 of 16 acquired their lands in gift from the father of No. 10 the person No. 10 of 16 about 30 years ago — No deed of gift The person No. 10 admits the transaction — The persons No. 16-17 & 18 of 16 acquired by purchase from the shareholders in their [illegible] The parties are not present. The Mahazardars admit the transaction of sales — The persons No. 2[illegible] 23 & 24 of 16 plead that ~~they~~ their ancestor acquired their lands in a century ago by purchase but they have no documents — The Mahazardars admit the right of these individuals to the [illegible] entered opposite to their names. The person No. 25 of 16 acquired his lands from his [illegible] father long ago. The latter acquired them by purchase — (Vide preceding sheet) No documents.

	[illegible] Swarayyen	40	Do	(54) 2 Elder brothers
55	Muttuvayyen	55	Do	(55) 1 Wife 2 Sons 2 Daughters & 4 Married Daughters
56	Seshayyen	45	Do	(56) 1 Wife 1 Son Married Daughter
57	Pichakup payan alias Sivaram		All	(57) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Married Da[illegible] 1 [illegible]
58	Venguvayyen	35	[illegible]	1 Wife 1 Son 1 Grand Mother 1 Daughter married
59	Subbusamiyen	32	Do	(59) 1 Wife 1 Daughter unmarried
60	Sundermayyen	12	Do	(60) 1 Mother
61	Kristnayyen	8	Do	(61) 1 Elder brother 1 Mother
62	Subbayyen	16	Do	(62) 1 Wife
63	Annasamiyen	45	Do	(63) 1 Wife 2 Sons 1 Daughter
64	Vuttara Kota Mangei Ananta Narayanen		All	(64) 1 Daughter unmarried
	[illegible] Share holder			(65) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter [illegible]

Vide preceding sheet
[illegible]

N° 44 & 82

X. — The persons N° 41 & 2 & 92 of 16 pur

~~in~~ long ago — from certain shareholders in the Vi

No documents — The transaction is admitted by the Mohazanams —

XI. — The person N° 46 — acquired the lands by purchase long ago —

No documents — Transaction is admitted by the Mohazanams —

XII. — The person N° 77 of 16 acquired lands by purchase long ago

No documents — Transaction is admitted by the Mohazanams —

XIII. — The persons N° 81 & 86 of 16 purchased lands in the time of their ancestors of one of the shareholders — No documents — The transaction is admitted by the persons of 16 —

XIV. The person N° 91 of 16 — acquired lands in gift [illegible] No documents — Transaction is admitted by the persons of 16 —

XV. The person N° 4 & 5 of 16 ~~pur~~ acquired 2 Pongoos at one time about 30 years ago from their sisters Husbands — No documents — The sons of the said sisters Husbands, who are numbered 6 — 7 & 8 of 16 — admitted the transaction —

XVI. The four [illegible] of the persons N° 6 — 7 & 8 of 16 — ~~pur~~ acquired four Vellies in A D 1830 from Mutter Vizia Ragunatha Mu[illegible] Saving the Periya Odaya Tever, in gift — The Donor is the son of the Elder Brother of the Istimrar Zemindar — who acquired them previously from the Mohazanams in the name of his Minister Maruda Servaikaren — in A D 1797 for pagodas 508 ¾ — Bill of sale & deed of gift are on plain Cadjan leaves — Transactions [illegible] bonafide by the Mohazanams — The father of the persons N° 6 — 7 & 8 of 16 — alienated two Vellies of these four to the persons N° 4 & 5 of 16 — as shown in paragraph N° XV of this Register

(Vide next side

66 Venkata go[illegible] Ramayen 20	holder	1 Wife 1 Mother
67 Sumarayen 40	Do —	(6 1 Wi 1 Da ma
68 Appuvayen 46	Do —	(68
69 Seshayen 55	Do —	(69) 1 Wife 1 So
70 Appuvayen 58	Do —	(70) 1 Wife 3 sons 4 married
71 Kumarasamie Subbayen 61	Do —	(71) 1 Son
72 Samiyen alias Kari Narayen 42	Do —	(72) 1 Elder Brother
73 Kolandappayen 20	Do —	(73) 1 Wife 1 Mother
74 Lekshmi Ammal 10	Do —	(74) 1 Brother in law
75 Ramakasi Ayen 65	Do —	(75) 1 Wife 3 sons 2 married Daughters
76 Venkatesnarayen 38	Al	(76) 1 Wife 1 Son
Sivagunga		
77. Savumminarayana Iyengar 35	Do —	(77) 1 Wife 2 sons
Pasale		
78 Strinivasa Vadiyar 50	Do —	(78) 1 Son 1 Daughter
79. Ha[illegible]ara Vadiyar 45	Do —	(79) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter widow 1 Daughter married

Vide preceding side

N° 2