

Madappa
sam
Kilapi
Dai siri
So de pray
the change
of the
P. M. S.
Kargar
swami

I got the Village measured by one of the Taluk Officers of the Government & the results of the measurement are given in Nos. 1, 2 & 3 of the preceding side of the Register. The Taluk Officer of the District & the Taluk Officer of the Taluk consider the fairness of the measurement. Hence the results of the measurement are accepted in this settlement. This will show that the holders of the enclosures of the Religious Institutions who are also in the habit of concealing lands situated within the bonavies of their Villages. It is my opinion that the Trustee should be charged with something as a penalty for his fraud in concealing large extent of lands, though the course is not permitted by the Town Rules. I think such cases may be dealt severely. I am warning every one not to conceal lands but to show special authority of God. I am warning every one not to conceal lands but to show of no value. The Trustee concealed the lands purposely & not inadvertently. Though the lands of this part of the County are not systematically measured, still the Trustees have measurement Accounts of all their lands. They will not bring out these Accounts. The Taluk Officer of the Trustee says in his last year's Account that the income of the Village is 4280 per annum. This is quite false. I value the Village at 6000 Rupees with reference to the fertility of soil & the average of the contiguous Ryot lands. What other reason is there then to say that the Village produces annually 4280 Rupees, while it is capable of yielding a sum of 6000 Rupees per annum. I recommend therefore strongly that the Trustee should be punished. Such lands are committed by the Government to the holders of the Government Villages. & to give me no proper data for the valuation of the Village.

The difference of valuation in cases of this kind where no soil bank is charged will not affect the interests of Government.

Handwritten
11-7-64