

Sheet No. 1355

I find one of the Villages in the zemindary of Ranganga from the Accounts of 17211 & 17212 in extent of 1/4 of the Register it appears that 1/3 of the Village is Jintan & 2/3 is ...
The Jintan portion was granted to Pore Asaradulla Fakir in 1713. ...
This remark is not worthy of credence. This is written for all the villages under consideration in the Account. Even if the circumstances given in the remark be true, the zemindar had no Authority to resume this Jintan, which was deducted from the assets upon which the Poreul Jidd was based. ...
The Jintan Accounts of 17212 17215 & from 17218 to 17223 & of 17226 show that the Jintan was held in those years by the Ogl Grantee who is known as Asaradulla Fakir in ...
Since I find the above one Mohammed Sah Grant son of the Ogl Grantee, produced a Patta which is engraved on a copper plate & which is dated ...
The Poreul's Vedleed states that he is unable to say whether the Jintan is or not. He says that for fear that the Village may be restored to the ...
The Jintan is proved by the entries in the Jintan Accounts of 17212-17215 & 17218-17223 & 17226 which contain the name of the grantee ...
Whatever may be the justice of the right of the Poreul the Jintan is not in possession of his family since 17226 ...

For per chut of 17211

Nay's Verayidi 96-00	67-20
Assess 15-00	10-50
11-00	77-70
Excess Rang's Ranka 40-00	22-40
100-10	

Subduct Poreul's

Part of Rank's Ranka 30-00	15-80
Part of Rang's 8-00	2-80
Pasture lands 8-00	2-00
Cracking ground 20-00	1-12
40-00	22-40
Remainder	77-70

Subduct from Rang's 1/3 of Village

Nay's Verayidi 74-00	51-80
Remainder Jintan	26-90

Nay's Verayidi 1/3 of Village

Cultured 12-00	8-40
Waste 20-00	14-00
Excess Rang's 20-00	5-00
50-00	27-40

Assess	Patta of 17211 Fakir	Jintan none
38-14	Ranka	Kalakadi
Assess some	Ranka as per	Ranka
	Vedleed	Ranka
	Attal of 17223	Ranka
Poreul's	daughter Boundary	Ranka
per average	Ranka	Ranka
collection	Ranka	Ranka
of 17212	Ranka	Ranka
17213-17217	Ranka	Ranka
17218-17223	Ranka	Ranka
17224-17226	Ranka	Ranka
17227-17228	Ranka	Ranka
17229-17230	Ranka	Ranka
17231-17232	Ranka	Ranka
17233-17234	Ranka	Ranka
17235-17236	Ranka	Ranka
17237-17238	Ranka	Ranka
17239-17240	Ranka	Ranka
17241-17242	Ranka	Ranka
17243-17244	Ranka	Ranka
17245-17246	Ranka	Ranka
17247-17248	Ranka	Ranka
17249-17250	Ranka	Ranka
17251-17252	Ranka	Ranka
17253-17254	Ranka	Ranka
17255-17256	Ranka	Ranka
17257-17258	Ranka	Ranka
17259-17260	Ranka	Ranka
17261-17262	Ranka	Ranka
17263-17264	Ranka	Ranka
17265-17266	Ranka	Ranka
17267-17268	Ranka	Ranka
17269-17270	Ranka	Ranka
17271-17272	Ranka	Ranka
17273-17274	Ranka	Ranka
17275-17276	Ranka	Ranka
17277-17278	Ranka	Ranka
17279-17280	Ranka	Ranka
17281-17282	Ranka	Ranka
17283-17284	Ranka	Ranka
17285-17286	Ranka	Ranka
17287-17288	Ranka	Ranka
17289-17290	Ranka	Ranka
17291-17292	Ranka	Ranka
17293-17294	Ranka	Ranka
17295-17296	Ranka	Ranka
17297-17298	Ranka	Ranka
17299-17300	Ranka	Ranka

Copy of the Patta is placed in the ...
To be copied under Rule 807 ...
Goud 1/2 = 26 1/4 ...
Ramin ...
1711 64

1/2 of the village is confirmed as revenue ...
1711 64

Handwritten signature and notes at bottom left.

Handwritten notes and signatures at bottom right.

10-13-3 ~~5-9~~

Net 4-67

Net 407
 Average value of grain of Melwara on cult land in Kungalam
 Inaganam consisting of Ayer Villages in Kungalam Taluk
 for the period of 15 years. A

From \$100 to \$1250	Calculated area in acres	Grass saturated	Grass saturated there at 45 percent	average price of grain	value of the quantity of grain given in lbs	Value per acre
77 1/2	A C	77 1/2	77 1/2	100	77 1/2	77 1/2
1884-2-4	8304 93	8727843	39409-5	1-8-7	665829-1	7-4-9

Details are found -

Years	Pings on	Collected
71212	28-9	28-2
71213	28-9	21-4
71218	28-9	28-9
71217	-	35-3

Lot - 1132-0.

Average collected . . . 28-6-6.

As there is no ground to place much ~~and~~ reliance upon the entries in the Account of ^{Feb}
 I recommend ~~to~~ put the average collections of 28 to 6 may be adopted in this settlement
 as the avg. Pompey of this Village. In other accounts, no mention ~~but~~ than the above,
 no mention is made of Pompey

IV No. 2 all over about the lower basin of the Village on the foot of the Puget's Valley -
The lower basin now inserted in the new section stated correspond to those in the Accounts of
1724. Hence the same are copied & the 100 ft. & 80 ft. situated there are registered here -

Valueless of the Twentieth portion of 1/3 Village -

IV. Wet lands in the Village depend upon one Tank - It is in repair. It is fed by a Spring Stream. The Tank is filled annually in full.
The furrows & the Mang's Vahad of land 8 An x 40 fathoms long as cultivated land at 7 1/2 Annas per Acre as waste - They say that these lands are left waste
from want of water to cultivate them - ~~The surrounding~~ ^{the surrounding} Villages are important ones. Agreeing the Mahajans say that there is some
in the Village & that the village is not in flower being silted from want of care ~~on the part of the Government~~ ^{on the part of the Government} - Some of the wet lands are cultivated annually
Kangcha soil is of a medium quality generally. The average income of the Purnea portion is 5 ^{fifty one} Annas per annum as far as stated of the
Mang's Vahad. ~~respectively~~. The average wet assess of the Village calculated for a period of 15 years previous to 1770 comes to 6 b 3 per
Acre. The average dry assess of the contiguous Agri Villages of Mithakhata & Purganeri is 6 g 3 & 6 g 7 per acre respectively.
The average wet assess of the contiguous Agri Villages of Mithakhata & Purganeri is 6 g 3 & 6 g 7 per acre respectively.
The average dry assess varies from 1-67 to 0-98 per acre. In consideration of the fertility of soil and I frame the valuation of the Purnea as follows
~~at 6 1/2 Annas per Acre.~~

Wet 10. Inf. at base of 8 1/2 R. 7 p. 65-0-
Wet 5. Inf. 440 f. Wet. at 100. 15-15

80-14-0 - J. Lumbard
7186-