

Sheet No. 2051

Personal Name
 Village
 District
 Province
 Country

Jivitan foot Per the
 as per none Sat of
 87-
 Collector of the S 12 11
 24-5-2 original kind of
 Greater Sat of
 12 date S 12 23
 in unknown
 Lemindar's
 Jivitan
 9th

As per In F. 1211 In F. 1223 Sivaganga
 Nat. of Under none
 A.D. 1860 1863 Kattana Nat
 S. L. P. Kallangi In Nat Chiyar Chuzhal
 gizaai. patti gram Nanyag
 10 days F. 1256
 of path to F. 1270 Sivaganga January
 about 6. 40
 even to Lennoro

1 Son
1 Unmarried
Daughter
2 Widowed
daughters

To be paid on the 16th 1763
 per order of the 7th 1763

Could 20 1/2 - 40

I have no more
 Remains
 10 11 64

2/3 R.R.
 14/10/85.

Approved -
27/1/65 WWS

288
 11490

1 This is only the first case that came within the limits of the Agency.
 Village of Nallangpattin - The area of the Fort was given as 16 acres
 in the account of 1814 others entered of 14 - The Range & Reed says
 that the present area of the Fort is only 14 acres - It is not known
 from the stores and accounts to whom, where & for what purpose the Fort was
 was granted - In an account of the Fort area forwarded to the collector
 in 1821 80 p by the then Resident, it appears that the was granted
 to one Telappa Nayana for cultivatⁿ & that it reverted to Govt
 as the Govt Grants relinquished by the same by reason that, the
 income from the Fort was insufficient to cover the cost of ~~the~~
 The Range & Reed failed to prove that the Fort was reverted to
 Govt the former was legally - From the journals of Jamabondy

Shuts from
 1212 to 1217
 Do Agency
 Jamabondy
 Shuts from
 1217
 Do fifteen
 Jamabondy
 Shuts from
 1221 to 1226
 Do Agency
 Jamabondy
 Shuts from
 1226 to 1230

Chic
 arco is
 not given
 Remains
 Varay
 20
 14
 Lu Remains
 exit of
 Fr 1211
 80 80
 Lu cato
 8000
 Fr 1212 6
 1217
 Remains
 Sella
 gyp
 Varay

According to 1212 to 1217 it appears that this land was in possession of the Ogl. Grantee in these years. The Accounts of 1721 to 1724 show that the Finnish was in possession of the grantee in these years. The Accounts of 1721 to 1724 show that the Finnish was in possession of the Ogl. Grantee in these years. The accounts relating to a period intervening between 1725 to 1725 are not further going. In the accounts of 1725 to 1727, the entire village to 1724 in which the house is situated is entered as Ajen-offense it is not known when the Finnish was resumed. Probably, the Finnish might have been resumed subsequent to 1724.

As the family of the said Grantor is extinct - As there is no proof that the same has
been resumed by the German as legally was it is in possession of the German, since subsequent to 1722 -

recommenced to confirm the same to the Range on half acre and under Rule XVI of Law III -
 II - - - The Range's Valued assess that Proper of Assess 26-5-2 entered in the account of 1921 - year

In 7116 - 676 - 680 -
 In 7116 - 258 - None -
 In 7117 - 1272 - None -
 In 7123 - 1376 - 974 -

No other accounts
regarding Bunker }
m 1123-1124
Feb 14-6-0
Average 7-3-
Paid

Last 14-6-0
 Average — 7-3 —
 As the entries in the Account of F211 are not worthy of reliance, I would recommend, to except the average collection of Rupees of 9 on the settled
 The average income of the Duan as per statement of the Revenue Officer is 88 1/2 — per annum. This is fair. The average cost of the Village calculated for a period.
 1860-61 was 57 1/2 — 1861-62 was 64 3/4 — 1862-63 was 66 3/4 — I have put the valuation of the first year at 80 Rupees for the first year and 80