Kalanivasal Survey Pattiy - R 4 of 66

This is one of the Dummarands or the pemmany of Sevaganga. It was granted by the ... to ... as a ... to the ... of the members of various ... mentioned in the Boundaries ... Dummarand ... is supported by all the existing Accounts. The Village consists of 32 ryot Patta ... The lands are divided ... They are exchanged once in 12 years ... Four years ago the lands were exchanged ... Pompu is paid on the number of Patta ... equally ... & permanently. There is ... known about the ... boundaries on the part of the ... Dummarand Vakeel, the details of which are given below ...

The Vakeel pleads that the boundaries of the Dummarand now inserted by the Ambalagarans in the permanent Register so as to ... comprised in those in the account of Fasli 1244 include 434 Acres of Jungle lands of the Ayen Village of Sekkayalkotai & that the boundaries ... are not correct ones & can be pleaded that the Pattas produced by the Ambalagarans ... 775 Kunthams ... 18 Acres 80 Cents or 30 Kuthkenis ... gradually ... clandestinely with the connivance of the Village officers "Sekkayalkotai" ... that the stones which ... standing ... the ... produced the Ambalagarans or the ... of the Village of Sekkayalkotai ... out of the said 434 Acres or 775 Kunthams, ... the Ayen Village may be fixed on the boundary stones of these two villages in question ...

Sekkayalkotai ... Kalanivasal ... Kristnayan ... Thoravi ... Kalani Thoravi ... Vasal ... Sevaganga ... Kalani Thoravi ... Vasal ... Sivagangai ... Vasal ... Sivagangai ... Vasal ... Sivagangai

Mase
Son 9 9
Mde 10 8½

Tun
a 1 7
a 5 9

Ground Rent 2 Fanams per Acre

Average Grain of Pollorem on wet Lands in Amoravate Talavattam

Progress of Amoravate Taluq for a period of 15 years

A

From Fasli 1256 to Fasli 1270	Cultivated area in Acres	Gross outturn	Gross outturn which Landlord's Share is 45 per cent	Average price of Grain	Value of the gross outturn of Grain given in Col 4	Rates per Acre
Kalams Marcals	Acres	Kalams Marcals	Kalams Marcals	Rupees Annas	Rupees	Rupees
49 75-2-4	3461-98	45873-9-4	21663-7-2	1-8-10½	32157-10-0	9 — 2

Of the persons No. 16, the persons No. 35 is the Grandson of the person No. 13 — & others stand in exactly similar circumstances with the Descendants of the Original Grantee.

These are also Persons who acquired Lands recently, viz No. 16 - The persons Nos 1-2-3-4-5-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-19-23-24-25-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-40-43 of No. 16 - purchased Lands in AD 1754-1757-1766-1767-1783-1796-1807-1818-1819-1824-1826-1831-1834-1835-1840-1842-1843-1844-1846-1847-1848-1850-1857-1858-1858-1859-1860-1861-1862-1863 - for Rupees 13219-4-2 - Sixty both parties are on plain Foyan leases & are no Sanctioned Pattams - The persons No 2-45-7 of No. 16 acquired some Lands in gift in AD 1826-1863 - One Deed of gift is on plain Foyan leases - The persons Nos 54-55 of No. 16 purchased Rent Lands in AD 1838-1850 for Rupees 532-14 - A Register of 1856 is getting ready, Recording the same remaining Lands in AD 1850 admit the Returns as above - No Rent Roll exists -

One Villanda Veliyan pleads the Duty of the Lands entered opposite to the persons Nos 54 & 55 of No. 16. on the ground that he purchased the Lands from the persons Nos 54 & 55 on 20 days ago - for Rupees 525 - & admits that he never paid the money - The persons Nos 54 & 55 say that after the said Villanda Veliyan never paid the sum & has been enjoying the Lands as mortgagee, the Lands should have registered in their names - As the transaction of the Vendee is not complete the Lands are registered in the names of the persons Nos 54 & 55 of No. 16 - The persons Nos 54 & 55 of No. 16 did execute a Bill of sale to the said Villanda Veliyan - But the parties concerned admit that the money was not paid to the persons selling & that the Respondent was enjoying the Lands as per bill of sale for 20 days as mortgagee. Hence the Lands are registered in the name of the persons Nos 54 & 55 of No. 16 & the parties are referred to civil Court -

One Subba Lakshmanagal gave the 16/18 Unity check the head as the Village Otan Brother - Unity Lands are registered in her son & successor - Seven Patlands are registered

1 Kuttak
Ski Andoro
& Kelator
50

Kalamvasal
11 Venkata
Subbayan
44

12 Venguseo
bio 82

13 Subramon
yabastry
36

14 Rama
Krishno
Sastrio 25

15 Karukovi
Stree
Kalpakovi
nayakar
Trustee
Vaspposatti
Ayyakudi
16 Periyasami
Iyengar
Ind

17 Sreenivi
sayangar
24

18 Lenkara
yan 63

19 Venkata
Subbayan
55

1 Wife
1 Son
2 Daughters
2 Grand Sons
2 Grand Daughters

(10)
1 Wife
1 Son
1 Daughter
2 Sons
2 Daughters

(11)
1 Sons
1 Daughters
2 Grand Sons
2 Grand Daughters

(12)
1 Wife
1 Son
1 Daughter
2 Sons
1 Daughter
1 Grandson
1 Grand Daughter

(13)
1 Wife
1 Daughter
1 Son
1 Married Daughter

(14)
1 Wife

(16)
1 Wife
2 Sons
1 Married Daughter

(17)
1 Wife
1 Son

(18)
1 Wife
3 Sons
2 Daughters
3 Grandsons

(19)
1 Wife

The Copper plate produced by the Abbayanams does not contain the town name only the Dannas
It contains the boundaries of Arakiyanad & Payyanbatty or Payyam Vayal & which does not belong to the Da
-anad but the portion of the boundary line which corresponds there in the Pulla has been allotted by A
hence the Pulla Rough seems the a Registered one from the account of AD 1815, it was of no use to settle
the Payyannanad of AD 1813 shews that the Dannayanam Abbayanams must have some lands East
to the garden ings & not proved & which the Arayanmark even if it were genuine is not
- on which, it is of no use -

At the Killeyer in dispute is just close by my lodging in Kantagoden I am writing this, I went
stone No 1 & 2 given in the sketch - The stone No 3 is a substantial evidence in the matter in dispute because it is
because it is in such a spot where the depression of the boundary line is essentially necessary
- plan one No 2 was found 2 years ago by Pulla Dekkolan the person No 2 of the Roy
- common use who may happen to see the stone No 163 marked in the sketch with any of the Roy are new to - The
- it is at a depth of 13 to 16 ft gauge - I dug the stone & found it to go down to the ground 5 feet
Half a span of persons may be required to lift of the stone - It seems to all the 8 stones on the same perception These stones are
- Roy were placed on the boundary line of the two Killeyer under dispute - The stone No 3 bear the mark V. Gow
(marked C)
- inspection that the Dy lands that are cultivated in the vicinity of this stone No 3 are stone, and recently grown Jungles - By
the Tamil Pandaram Has I cannot but pronounce my opinion that from stone No 1 to 3 the boundary lie
- against the Abbayanams plead that as by some Garden lands east of the line A in addition to a hamlet containing a few
- It is proved the houses were built by the inhabitants of Kantagoden a few years before the last
- years ago - The Petty was dug the Pond and when he opened before me that the houses were built by the people of Kantagoden
- & he dug the Pond at his own cost & that the Pond was enlarged in AD 1826 on the permission of Mattakkuni Savivar who
- the Pond - But in AD 1819 hereunto an agreement the given the Abbayanams of the Dannas arrangement under Settlement who
- belongs to the Village to the effect that he may dig the Pond & enlarge it - The garden lands situated in the vicinity of the Pond have been form
The inhabitants of the Village of Pekkadakai plead that by prevented from digging & clearing the Pond was by me to dig, when
- houses & when the Abbayanams formed gardens under that some of the ryots mentioned suit to the Abbayanams were the last few
- that they do not belong to them to against the Abbayanams produced two accounts as follows.

As per account 161 ... of Kol
The difference in favour of ... Dry ... account of ...

9d Sheet of Interrogatories on Behalf of the Armenian Patriarchate

Upon the foregoing ground I desire to put the following Single Lords or Clauses to the Witnesses to be examined here to remember - Most of the Lords proceed

Single Lords to be put among the Particular Items - The matter in Question No 1 to 53 as the Boundary Line between the two Villages is disputed

- The Interrogatory to Lord and that Question to be put marked C which contains the Abbreviations with Lords to a Vast Extent belonging

- to the Inhabitants of Romery Vayet, Pussevayet & Petite Pere Vayet [illegible] that those Hamlets belong to Pellumat Etat - alleged by the Village of [illegible] Lords

are brought under Plough as far back as half Century & the rubbish for built as 20 years - The 2nd Question to be put to Witness No 3 of the Line marked I shall

- not be Boundary Line [illegible] to the stones No 4-5-6-7 [illegible] should be the Boundary Line - The Abbreviations contained in the [illegible] of the marked C belong to

- Pere Damascenes Lands & Village - to the Pere are several stones like Pere [illegible] numbered 4 5 6 7 - on the Sketch - around them -

The Armenians Village say the the Spot - marked C belongs to the Damascenes Village and not to Pellumat Etat - In addition to Record is proved

that the Spot marked C is in possession of the Abbreviations - But the Spot marked C is regarded here as a parcel of the Damascenes

The inhabitants of Pellumat Etat produced to the Boundary Line marked with stones No 1 to [illegible] a [illegible] Cornelone - But the two Villages is dispute -

The Abbreviations say that a stone small in size & just lying on the ground close to Rankay Village situated at the locality marked C is Real boundary

stones of the Armenians from it to North curved - the is not proved - Pere stone I proved the to boundary stone of Rankay & Pellumat Etat

View & side of the Sketch for the following subject -

Register No 183

Sheet No 209

Thoruvasavan Kilavivasal in Arcavati Taluq Sivaganga

Sheet No 210 Sivaganga Dt Madura Dt

1 The Trustee of the Temple Nos 15 & 16 purchased the lands entered opposite to its name in A.S. 1855 & 1854 for 637 Rs from the private funds & made an endowment of the same to the Temple - & the proceeds are appropriated ~~[illegible]~~ Gazette

the use of the Temple - and the date of the purchase -

1 One Periya Nayaka Ayyan deceased about 2 years ago - He had in the Village 3/4 Thitty - for which the persons Nos 33 & 35 claims - This is disputed share - The person No 33 claims by blood only on the ground that his father was the Grandson of Eswan Pattu of Ground father of the Mother of the deceased - The deceased died suddenly & so the person claims jointly with - The father of the person No 33 - is the Elder Brother of the deceased - The deceased was living separately though the person No 35 & 16 is a divided member of the family, still as he has left a considerable property of the deceased, to whom the person No 33 & 16 ~~[illegible]~~ The person No 33 pleaded that he is enjoying the share of the deceased and long - Patta "Paramal" account about which was disputed some years ago, the share is entered in the name of the deceased who was born about - This old disputed the deceased had in his possession the lands in question - Our Inspector, it appears, a cousin of the deceased claims to the effects & disputed - Hence this claim is not taken into consideration - He lives somewhere in Pasumalai -

In considering the above circumstances, I divide the 3/4 share of the deceased between the Nos 33 & 35 & 16 - equally -

Appl. per of Ramakrishnayyan No 33 & 16 - 28 Feb 1865 No 6 -

The dispute under dispute being with the Trustee of the Brother of the deceased Periyanayaka Ammal made an alleged bequest, it will not be necessary to be inquired in his presence - He is in Valaiyankottai the name of No 33, though the Patta No 35, is ~~[illegible]~~ evidently after him -

XXX This Department does not undertake to decide cases of disputes of minor shares in whole allowance, which a Joint Settlement is made. Claims of this kind must be decided by the Civil Court

[illegible] 10 March 65

A Sankiah to Gopaya Collr wants to be informed whether the Trustee of the Temple is entitled to the Inam lands

Vide proceedings of 31 Jan 65

Rec'd 18/9/65

(20) 1 Wife — 20 Nagappan 25 — share holder

(21) 1 Wife — 21 Sanders nayan 20 — do

(22) 1 Wife — 22 Kristnan nayan 22 — do

(23) 1 Wife, 1 son, 1 daughter, 2 Grandsons — 23 Retna Sastrey 65 — do — Pedda Kudi

(24) 1 Wife, 1 Son — 24 Sami Nadayan 35 — do

(25) 1 Wife, 1 daughter, 1 Grandson — 25 Jagannadayango 19 — do

(26) 1 Wife, Son — 26 Nagappan 25 — do — Konda Kudi

(27) 1 Wife, 1 Daughter — 27 Narayana nayan 24 — A

(28) 1 Mother, 3 Son, 2 Married Daughter, 1 Daughter's son — 28 Kuloya nayan 45 — A C — Kalavasal

(29) 1 Wife, 2 son, 1 Daughter's son, 1 Married daughter — 29 Muttusa nayan 55 — share holder

Ariya Kudi

(30) 1 Wife, 3 daughters — 30 Venkata Chetty 25 — A

(31) 1 Wife, 1 Son younger brother — 31 Kristna nachiyar 20 — A

(32) 2 Married daughters, 1 Grandson — 32 Chellam mal 33 — A

(33) 1 Wife, 1 Son — 33 Rama Kristnayan 25 — share holder

(34) 2 sons, 2 Daughter's sons — Kalliyapal 34 Narana nayan 50 — A

Appeal Nos 37 and 55 of 1865 from No 35 - states the Will is a fabricated one & prays that on further enquiry the share (3/4) under dispute may be conferred to him - The claim is rejected & the appellant to be advised to prove the validity of the will before the Court, and recover the inam from No 33 to whom it has been conferred as per pretence enjoyment -

J.K. 15/8/65

18/9/65

Appeal No 50 of 1865 from No 33 - 35 praying that the lands pleaded under attachment may be released - endorsed informing him that the Collr has been addressed to the contrary

J.K. 18/8/65

18/9/65

Extract granted to Ramakrishnayya as much thereof as relates to him only

65

the verbal statement of the Mahajanams that 5 or 6 Cultivated time
Wet soil is of a superior quality generally throughout the district there may be
very few inferior sort as it is naturally to each
Punjah lands any a medium sort generally Varyam & are raised
10 Acres Dry Lands are cultivated with Garden crops under wells - - - - -
Plantain trees are planted in Garden lands - The Mahajanams say that 20 or 25
Acres of Dry are fit to be converted if a Tank is dug in the Village at a cost
of 2-0-0 300 Pagodas - From all the Mahajanams will do this as one - The Village is
in flourishing state like the surrounding Agrn Villages - There no defects in the
Village is difficulties in the way of Cultivating lands - I have no materials to enter
into the average assessment of the adjacent Agrn lands for a period of above 5 years -
as the adjacent Agrn Villages Karaikudy & Pallatoor, Vairaguri, Nattagoy,
Kadiattan, Neveltty Patty, Nattarampalam, Podia Patty, Nadanam, Velamangudy &
Pillaiyarnatham not enquired into for 5-6 or 7 years from the average assessment of the
5 years from Fasly 1266 to 1270 of the contiguous Agrn Village of
Karaikudy comes to 12-13-7 per Acre - This is a rate as the first
The average assessment of the Village as per statement of the Mahajanams is 555-7-5 -
The average Dry assessment of the adjacent Agrn lands from 1-8-0 -
0-8 per Acre - The average assessment obtainable by the Mahajanams is quite entirely -
Pongam is sold in the Village for 2000 Pagodas - On consideration of the
foregoing I have granted valuation of the Village as follows - with the
aid of the Mahajanams -

1 Wet 100 Acres in consideration of Nattavaram Cultivated & Inam at 8 Pagodas per Acre	800	—
1 Sc 064 1/2 Acres of an inferior quality at 4 Pagodas per Acre	178	—
	978-0-0	
1 Garden Acres 60 Pagodas per Acre	60	—
1 Dry 148 Acres Cultivated with Pongam at 3/4 Pagodas	111	—
	1149-0-0	
Total	1129-0-0	

36	Ganapoo Dikshatar 40	Agrn Village	(36) 1 Wife, 1 Mother, 1 Son, 1 Daughter, 1 Grandson
37	Vengu Dikshatar 45	do	(37) 1 Wife, 2 Sons, 1 Daughter, 1 Daughter's son
	Mara Kalavayal		1 Daughter's
38	Veerama Iayan 36	do	(38) 1 Wife, 1 Son, 1 Married Daughter
	Kalaiyar		
39	Sundara moyan 34	do	(39) 1 Wife, 3 Married Daughter
	Karakudi		
40	Ramudu vindattu 40	Ahl	(40) 1 Wife, 3 Sons, 1 Daughter's son
	Kalavayal		
41	Kuppam mal 25	Shore Salter	(41) 1 Husband
42	Periya Nachiyamma 35	do	(42) None
43	Venkata nirayanan 32	Ahl	(43) 1 Wife, 1 Married Daughter, 1 Mother
44	Muttu Sawdayan 40	Shore Salter	(44) 1 Wife, 1 Son, 1 Daughter
45	Ramu Sowmyan 30	do	(45) 2 Sons
46	Veerasami Iyan 25	do	(46) 1 Mother
47	Nagoma Dhasyan 23	do	(47) 1 Wife
	Weller in Pudukota		
48	Subbu ayan 30	do	(48) 1 Wife, 1 Mother

Vide preceding page

Kalawasal in Aruvaratty Taluq

Ref No 3185

Sheet No. 211

49 Vadayo murtayan 29	shoe holder	(49)	None
Kutter			
50 Ponno Kutti Ammal 55	do	(50)	None
Resolur of Valangiman Taluq in Jaffna District			
51 Arisatho ryan 50	do	(51)	None
52 Subbro mayan 20	Al	(52)	1 Father 1 Mother 1 Wife
53 Vadayottiar 53 Kiryano ya Kannad 50	shoe holder	(53)	None
Kalawasal			
54 Sami Ayan 50.	Al	(54)	1 Wife 4 Sons 1 Married Daughter
55 Pakir lingayan 45	Al	(55)	1 Wife 1 Son 1 Married Daughter
56 [illegible] ryan [illegible]		(56)	1 Wife 4 Sons 1 Married Daughter