Register No. 501

Sheet No. 663

Return of Government Salaries in force

	Rs	As	P
Gross			
Dry	3	9	9
Wet	10	13	3

Average rates of Government Salary per acre

	Rs	As	P
Dry	1	5	6
Wet	4	8	1

Average Value of Grain of Kelworm on wet land in Gajalakanakadi Magnum Consistory of Aryan Villages in Chakrawarti Taluq for the period of 15 years.

A

Grain Rs As P to Rupee	Cultivated area in acres	Gross or Nett	Portion of Zemindar's share at 15 per Cent	Average prices of Grains	Value of the quantity of Grains given in Kind	Rate per share
Rs As P	C	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As
10135-0-5	7094-54	74286-8-2	28090-6-0	1-8-3	41715-13-4	5-11-9

III The persons Nos 16 say that they are descendants of the original Grantees who were of Nama Gotra & Nama Sutra & that they are not related to the persons of No 3. All is proved by the Inscription Nos -

The Agrahara was granted to the Brahmins of various families & of Nama Gotra & Nama Sutra - The persons of No 16 are in possession of the Village according to the names of the ancestors of the persons No 1 to 15 & 16 as given in the Account of Rs 12223 - There is no documentary evidence to show that the persons No 16 are descendants of the original grantees though it is proved to be in possession of the Village since Long -

The persons No 3-4-5-7-8-9-19-20 & 21 of No 16 purchased some of their lands in A.D 1853 - for Rupees 2718-2- from Ramaswamy Dyan & these bills are on plain foolscap Lemon Amount 68 - There are no charges for Lemon Transaction proved to the Enjoyment proved -

The adoption of the person No 1 of No 16 took place one year ago when the adopted father died - The adopted father died 8 months ago - The adopted boy is the person No 17 of No 16 - The Daughters are younger Sisters of the Wife of the adopted father - His adoption did actually take place according to Hindu Shastras & Usages & is a regular Settled, the objects in the adoption are of nature

Nayr Varayta 14-5-10-5/8 At 102-05	8 Tahsildars yan 40	Son of Gamuka Chetty of the pur	(9) 1 Wife 2 Sons 2 Daughters
Late Ayd. 1815 Koda Kayakalam & Giru workalam Brahmin yan 60	9 A. Venkatoramana yan 60	younger brother of the pur No 3 & 15	(9) 1 Wife 3 Sons 3 Daughters-in-law 2 Grandsons 1 Granddaughter 3 Married Daughters 1 Unmarried Daughter
	10 Venkatesworaya yan 70	Share holder	(10) 1 Younger brother
	11 Narayanayan 63	do	(11) 1 Wife 1 Unmarried Daughter
	12 Ramaswamy mud 50	do	(12) 3 Married Daughters 2 Sons & 3 Daughters
Kada Kayalam	13 Sivakami Ammal 55	do	(13) None
Kada Kakalam	14 Ladasiwa Iyan 48	do	(14) 1 Wife 1 Married Daughter 1 Brother
	15 Narasimha yan 40	do	(15) 1 Wife 2 Sons 1 Married Daughter
	16 Rama yan 36	do	(16) 1 Wife
	17 Venkata Chalapati Iyan 76	do	(17) 3 Elders brothers
	18 Sabeles Satchiva	do	(18) 1 Married Daughter 2 Sons & 2 Daughters
	19 Satchiva nayan 40	do	(19) 1 Wife 1 Married Daughter
	20 Nagayan 36	do	(20) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Unmarried Daughter

The preceding 20 are

Late Ayd. 1815

21 Krishna } do (21) 1 wife 1 son
jun 32 } Pholeter

22 Krishna } do (22) 1 wife 1 Married daughter
ryan 50 }

Magdalen Ryan in Kanara Estate

23 [illegible] } do (23) [illegible]
[illegible] }

23 Venkata } do (24) 2 sons 1 Married daughter
Ramanayan }
50

24 Sagayan } do (24) 1 wife 3 sons 1 Married daughters
45 }

Voradin —

25 Venkama } do (25) 1 wife 2 sons 5 daughters in law
chiari 57 }

Vide preceding side.

26 Struene } do (26) 1 wife
varachari }
45

27 Virtacha } do (27) 1 wife
rei 40 }

The person No 1 of the undivided younger brother of the boy No 1 of 16 —

The person No 2 of the undivided younger brother of the boy No 1 of 16 —

to the person No 2 of 16. has neither issue nor wife, the boy No 1 is entitled and to be the heir of the person No 2 of 16. The person No 1 & 2 of 16 have no issue

entitled —

VI. The person No 3 & 4 & 5 of 16 claim 1/4 Vritty from the person No 1 of 16 by reason that five Candy which are in the possession of his family have been now entered by the Village inspector in the name of Respondent and Venkatarayan Ryan —

The said Venkatarayan Ryan pleads that this is his mother's property or Stridhan "Ellotai Mal" right, that the Lands became his property as he performed the funeral rites of his mother about 18 years months ago when she died; that these Lands are not in his possession, that the 1/4 Vritty was mortgaged some Vellalen — Sellamyan about 30 years ago by the deceased & that the said Sellamyan re mortgaged it to the father of the person No 3 & 4 & 5 of 16 — 4 or 5 years ago — The Vakeel also in support of Venkatarayan pleads to prove that the 1/4 Vritty belongs to him — & that it is in his enjoyment with the person No 3 & 4 & 5 of 16. The Vakeel of Venkatarayan Ryan produced a letter and the same written by the person No 5 of 16 claim Elder Brother, in which he in addition to many other things that the 1/4 Vritty is in mortgage with them — The person No 5 of 16. admits the letter & also his writing — The Vakeel says that he took this letter from the Vritty to whom it was given by the person No 5 of 16. The Vakeel had no authority to do this — The letter not proved to be genuine one — The Vakeel did forge the letter — The Adhayam says that 1/4 Vritty is in possession of the family of the person No 3 & 4 & 5 of 16 — & that it was given by gift to the ancestor of the person No 3 & 4 & 5 of 16 by Naranammal & also it belongs properly, 30 years ago. & that Naranammal the younger Sister of the father of the person No 3 & 4 & 5 of 16 — No deed of gift to show the Vakeel produced another agreement and shown passed between the Claimant, Venkatarayan & his cousins in which they mentioned that they have 1/4 Pongoo on the Village Vritty — signed by some of the Adhayam — By any Vritty and this proves ignorance the agreement passed between the Claimant & his cousins in A.D 1863 cannot deprive the person No 3 & 4 & 5 of 16 from their well gotten 1/4 share — Benefit of Claim in regard to the party is refused to find [illegible]

(Vide next side)

Valuation—

Ellis— Soil Loam depending upon 3 Varieties of large size— They are in upper— They are fed by Jungle Streams & by the Surface Water of Valleys— one of the Cultivation
The Tanks are filled annually Scarcity of Water is not felt generally— The Malguzars who appeared for the settlement claimed 6 Acres as Cultivated Land 250 Acres as waste
They say that the Lands classed as waste are fit for Cultivated only There are usual story— Some of the Waste Lands are fallowed annually & Crops
They say that the waste Lands are of not of immemorial waste— From the nature and extent of the Malguzars it appears that there is not much waste in the
The Malguzars say that 80 Acres of the Dry classed as waste are partly Cultivated & partly Wadis— Nearly & Irrigable Lands are of a superior quality generally
They are of a medium character generally— No Tankai is Cultivated in this Village— The average income of the Village is 285 Rupees per Annum this is not Low
The Contiguous Ayan Villages are Ready, Parasdary & Patt annary— The average Wet assessment of these Villages are 1 Rs. 6 As 4 As 8 Pies 1011—
The average of these Villages comes to 7 Rupees per acre— The average Dry assessment of these Ayan Villages comes to 0 Rs 3 As 6 As 1 Pie 2 per Acre—
The Village is in pretty good state— The average of the Cultivated area of the said contiguous Ayan Villages does not show any Reduction in the Cultivation of the
Villages— I see no reason for enabled extent of waste Lands in the Village now settled— After Weighing the fertility of soil & Grouse the valuation
Village as follows— The Malguzars say that the soil of the Village may be classed in part with that of the contiguous Ayan Villages
fertility of soil & Grouse the valuation of the Villages as follows— To the best of my knowledge & understanding with the aid of the Malguzars &
that the average of the contiguous
Ayan Villages is high—

Wet 30 Acres Cultivated once annually Partly Garden at 7 Rupees per Acre— 350—
Wet 28 Acres of an inferior quality at 5 Rupees per Acre— 140—
Wet 28 Acres of Waste at 3 Rupees per Acre— 84—
Dry 60 Acres Cultivated at 1 Re per Acre— 60—
Dry 63 Acres Waste at 6 As per Acre— 22 14—

Total— 82 14—

It is satisfactorily proved by some of the Shareholders in the Aguda & by the Inhabitants of the adjacent Villages that there is no much waste in the Village & the Lands are fertile— The average of my valuation comes 5 Rupees per Acre— while the average Valuation of the adjoining Ayan Villages comes 7 Rupees per Acre— Hence my valuation is not arbitrary or unjust one—

Ramlal
16 a 64

16 a 64