Register of Devadayam Villages of Kadambagudi &c in the Taluq of [illegible]

1029
1030
1031
1032

(1) Kadambagudi [illegible]
(2) Pidáriyendal [illegible]
(3) Vageiyattaniyendal [illegible]
(4) Sandri-Pudu [illegible]

Register Nos 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032

Sheet No. 1180

I These are the Villages in the Zemindary of Sevagunga. They were granted by Muchukunda Chakraverty for the support of the Temples of Stree Nayanar ~~[illegible]~~ in Vadagampatty & of Marundiswarar in Yeriyur. These are permanent grants. No Potta. The Temples are efficiently kept up - No Talk are about the area or about the boundaries on the part of the Rany's Vakeel - The boundaries now inserted in the vernacular statement correspond to those of recognized one. The limits of these Villages are not given in any Account - Hence the recognized boundaries inserted in the vernacular statement are confirmed and the 222 Acres & 98 Cents situated therein are registered here -

As these Villages are settled as one by the Zemindar, they are brought on one & the same Register - These Villages belong to these two Temples in equal portions - The name of Pedary Yendel is given only in the Account of F 1211 & not in any other Accounts.

II The Names of the Temples & the Villages in which they are situated are not given in the Accounts of F 11 distinctly. Now all these details are given in Col 8 of this Register - Porupu is paid on lump on all the Villages belonging to these Temples -

III In the Register of A.D 1815 it is said that this Village belongs to Manakoli Amman Temple also - This is a mistake. This Amman has no share in these Villages. The Amman Temple has separate Villages -

As per acct of F 1211

Nanja (Virayadi) - 106-10-2 74-72
Punja Excep (Kurkam) 264 3/4 148-26
222-98

Deduct Poramboke
Punja - (Kurkam) - 31 - " 17-36

Remainder - 205-62

Nanja (Virayadi) - 106-10-2 74-72
Punja (Kurkam) - 193 3/4 108-50
Partly waste (Do) - 40 - 22-40
Total 233 3/4 130-90
Grand Total - 205-62

7 00

For the support of Temples of Stree Periyanudei Nayinar in the Vadugan Patti & Marundiswarar in Yeriyur -

Idi Pert as per Istimi acct all the Villages belonging to these Temple in lump Rs. 433-7-6
Now Ijapo 120-1-11
653-9-5

Muchukunda Chekravarti date is unknown

Acct of F 1211
Marata acct of F 1224
Boundary acct of F 1244
Ayakat acct of F 1263
Board Regr of A.D 1815

1 Periyanudei Nayinar
2 Marundiswarar

In F 1211
Periyanudei Nayinar kovil
1 Kadambagudi Hamlet of Vadanan Patti
Nanja (Virayadi) 101-7-3 39/64
2 Pedariyendal
3 Vageiyattanendal
4 Sandri Pudukolam yendal
Total Villages (Virayadi) 5-2-4/4
Total (Virayadi) 106-10-2 A C 74-72
In Marata acct of F 1211 - Do - Do -

In F 1224
Periyanudei Nayinar & Marundiswarar kovils
1 Kadambagudiyendal
2 Vageiya Ttaniyendal
3 Sendri Pudukolam
area none
In F 1244 none
In F 1263 none
In Regr of A.D 1815
1 Kadambagudiyendal Hamlet of Vadanan Patti
2 Vagiyi Attaniyendal
3 Sandri Pudukolam

Periyur & Vadugan patti
Stree
1 Periyanudei Nayinar
2 Marundiswarar
Trustee
Stree Kattama Nachiyar Avergal - Rani of the Sivaganga Zamindari
40

(Vide rent end)

To be confirmed under Rule III
Clause one Tax Free.

Zemindar Jodi on all the Villages belonging to these Temples is 553-9-5

Confirmed on present tenure. See remarks in Reg. No 481. 10/1/65 WM

A. Surendrana Rao
Ramnad
1864

Faircote

5

5

the [illegible]
of Mainun
dianmar
Suriya
Annai
Nayinar
and Māna
kōliyam
-man kovils
in Malla
kottai

II The average income of these Villages is 620 Rupees per annum as per statement of the Curnum. I raise it to 700 Rupees with reference to the fertility of soil &c

II The Temples & the Villages appertaining thereto are in the management of the Ranee

[illegible] Row

[illegible]
1864

Register of Dharmasanam Village of Kadambangudi in the Taluq of Nattarasenkottai of Sivaganga Zamindari Estate in Madura District

Kadambagudi

Bl — 1015

Acquired by purchase
Supported by
documents
Shares

[illegible] are enjoying	1
Do	1
Do	1/4
Total	3/4

I This is one of the Darmasanam Villages in the Zemindary of Shivaganga - this was granted by Vorayar Saivragaren, a descendant of the Minister of the predecessor of the Zemindary Zemindary to ~~the~~ Gavure Vallabah Pushpavana Gurukal - for [illegible] - this is a hereditary grant - this is in uninterrupted possession of its holders since the date of the grant. This has no Patta - It was lost - The Village is supported by all the Accounts of C12 - & in other words it is entered as Darmasanam in all the Accounts - No Talk arose about the Area or about the boundaries on the part of the Rajah's Vakeel - The boundaries now inserted in the vernacular statement correspond to those in the Account of F1244 - Hence the boundaries are confirmed here & the 67 Acres & 20 Cents situated therein are registered here -

II This Village is ~~[illegible]~~ enjoyed as shown in the margin by the persons of C16 - The lands are undivided - Porupu is paid on the revenue of Vritties equally & permanently.

III .... The Widow No 2 of C16 is the Wife of the elder Brother of the person No 1 of C16 - The person No 3 of C16 is the grandson of the father's younger brother of the person No 1 of C16 - The person No 4 is the father's younger brother of the person No 1 of C16 - The family was divided 7 months ago - Personal property was divided actually & the real property will be divided hereafter - Deed of division of property is exchanged among the parties concerned - the deeds which are [illegible] in number are on stamp ~~[illegible]~~ papers worth 8 Rupees each

(Vide next side)

Sheet No. 1080

[illegible]

Nanja — (Virayadi)	35	24 — 50	
Excess — (Do)	5	3 — 50	
	40	28 —	
Punja — (Kurkam)	70	39 — 20	
		67 — 20	
Deduct Poramboke —			
Bed of Tank (Kurkam)	15	8 — 40	
Temple site — (Do)	1/2	28	
Village site — (Do)	3	1 — 68	
Threshing ground (Do)	1 1/2	84	
		11 — 20	
Remainder		56 —	
Deduct Service Inam — Nanja (Virayadi)	1-3-3	87	
Remainder		55 — 13	
Nanja (Virayadi) — Cultivation	20	14 —	
~~[illegible]~~ — (Do)	13-10-3	9 — 63	
Excess — waste (Do)	5	3 — 50	
	38-10-3	27 — 13	
Punja (Kurkam) — Cultivation	15	8 — 40	
Waste — (Do)	35	19 — 60	
Total	50	28 —	
Grand Total		55 — 13	182-4-

Deduced from the Statement produced by the Inamdar

Dharmasanam — Jodi as per Istimrar acct Rs 39-1-2 Iyapa 1-3-4 / 39-4-6

Not

Vodayar Sivrigaran date is unknown

Acct of F 1211
Maratta acct of F 1223
Boundary acct of F 1244
Board Regr of AD 1815
No Patta —

1 Gavure Vallaba Pushpavana Gurukal

In F 1211
Dharmasanam Kadambangudi
Nanja Virayadi 35 — A C 24 — 50
Punja Kurkam 70 — A C 39 — 20
Total A C 63 — 70
In Marata acct of F 1211 Do — Do —

In F 1223
Dharmasanam Kadambagudi
Nanja Virayadi 35 — 1 A C 24 — 50
Punja Kurkam 70 — A C 39 — 20
Total A C 63 — 70
1 Muttusami Gurukal Area

In F 1244
Dharmasanam
Kaliyana Sundaram Pillai
Kolkriyam
Kadambangudi area none

Sivaganga

1 Suppaya Pillai 46	Al	(1)	1 Wife 1 Daughter md 2 Daughters unmd
2 Adilacksumi 40	Do —	(2)	—
3 Kaliyana Sundaram Pillai 18	Do —	(3)	1 Wife 1 Younger brother
4 Arunachalam Pillai 70	Do —	(4)	2 sons 2 Daughters unmd 1 Grandson 1 Do Daughter

A Hindu Family. Divided Lands are undivided One Patta issued

To be confirmed and Rule
VII.
Zemindar Jodi - 39 4 6

Govt 2 1/8 — 18 Rupees

A Virasawmy Rao
Rumalla
13 5 64

Confd as recommended in my T D on a 2 R of Rs 18
21/9/64

IDB

1074

Register No 928

Rates of Government Talugs per Acre

	Max	Min
Dry	3-9-9	-1-7
Wet	10-13-3	-5-9

Average Rates of Government Talugs per Acre

Dry 1-5-6
Wet 4-6-1

Average Value of grain of Mélvaram on wet land in Mallal Mahanam Consisting of Ayan Villages in the Talug of Nattaradamkottai for a period of 15 years

From Fs 1256 to Fs 1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Crop out turn	Of which Zamindar's share at 45 per Cent	Average prices of grains	Value of quantity of grain in Col. 4	Rates per Acre
K — M — P	A — C	K — M — P	K — M — P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
6795 — 11 — 3	4757 — 09	51679 — 4 —	22986 — 5 — 1	1 — 7 — 11	35301 — — 3	7 — 6 — 9

In Regr of A.D. 1815
Dharmasa
nam
Kadamba
-gudi
Muttusa
mi Gurukal
&ca

IV ~~the Village~~ One Kaliyana Sundara Pillai, who is the [illegible]
brother of the person No 1, younger brother of the father in law of [illegible]
Grand Father of the person No 3, & Elder brother of the person No [illegible]
purchased the entire Village in A D 1820-1822-&1825 for Rupees
735 — from one Samy Nadha Gurukal, Swarnna Pillai, —
Kamalattammal alias Muttammal, Sengili Servai, Vella[illegible]
Servai, & Vodayar Servai. Four bills are on stamped Cadjan leaves —
Some of the sellers ~~are~~ are the descendants of the Orgl Grantees & others were alienees —
The family of the Orgl Grantee is extinct — Transactions proved to be bonafide [illegible]
Enjoyment proved — The Village was divided into 3¼ Vrittees or shares —
& ~~one~~ of which one share fell in possession of the person No 1 [illegible] another in possession
the person No 3 & the residue 1¼ Vrittees fell in possession of the person No [illegible]
by the division of property made by them about 7 months ago as stated already
in para 3 —

Valuation

V. Wet lands in this Village depend upon one Tank of moderate size —
It is in repair — It is fed by a Jungle stream & by the waste Water of the adjacent Village
The Tank is filled annually — Scarcity of Water is not felt generally — The holders of the
Village say that most of the Wet lands are cultivated annually — but a few Acres from
one Cause or other & that the 19 Acres & 60 Cents ~~[illegible]~~ of Dry classed as waste are
2 or 3 years waste — They attribute the waste to the misunderstanding existing among
them previous to the division of the property — They say that hereafter all the lands will be
cultivated — Some of the Wet lands are cultivated annually 2d time — Nanjah &
Punjah lands are of a superior quality generally — Punjah soil is of red clay —
& Nanjah soil is of Regar description — Garden crops &c are not raised — The Village is
in pretty good state — Punjah lands are said to be unfit for converting ~~to~~ into Wet
The average income of this Village as pretended of the holders of this Village is 50 Rupees
per annum — This is quite false — This income leaves 11 Rupees after ~~[illegible]~~ covering the Poruppu
~~The contiguous~~ I am not saying that the income is unduly low by reason that the
remnant on which QR is to be charged is only 11 Rupees — The ~~a~~ contiguous Ayan Villages
is Kottaty, Vallakolam & Saranai — The average Wet assessment of these Villages
comes to 6-13-3 per acre — The holders of the Village say that the soil of this Village is somewhat
inferior to that of the contiguous Ayan Villages — This is an usual story — However I make
in my valuation some allowance for it so as to give no room for dissatisfaction —
(x)

(x) In consideration of the fertility of soil &c I frame the
valuation of the Village as follows —

	Rs A P
Wet cultivated 27 Acres & 13 Cents at 6 Rupees per acre	162-12-
Punjah cultivated 20 Acres ~~[illegible]~~ at 14 ~~Rupees~~ as per acre	17-8-
Punjah 8 Acres Waste at 4 As per acre	-2-
Total	182-4-0-

[illegible]
13-8-64
A. Srinivasa Rao