

Patrimony Required by pure Idea
 supported unsupported Total
 by Documents by Documents shares
 1- 3/11 1- 3/11

Page 100
Avanti

Two of Pinagunga zamandee ^{at} in Mad
G. M. 1810

It is not blue
deep blue

In Super Acct of St. Peter In St. 1221

account 124 account 121

& St. 1223. Marata acct & St. Chama Phamasee Rama King Phara (1)

1223. sanam nam - trayan 30 Halder Wife

Vijaya & St. 1223. Lakshmi Anandini Anandani

Raghu Boundari Karaya Kampa Manya 2 Subbayen } do - (2)

Matta acct of St. 1244 nayan (Vijayadi) (Vijayadi) 32 } do - 1 Wife

Pariya 62-3-3-42 3-3 2 Daught

Vudayi Board Regt A Co A Co

Lunar fets 1815 29-58 29-58 3 Periyvaly do - (3)

dated So No Patto In Marata Venkata Annab-16

1607 acct of Drigyn

A.D. St 1211

1735 do do Venkata & Anantayen } do - (4)

do do Ramayen 45 } do - 1 Wife

do do do } do - 1 son

do do do } do - 1 Daughter

In St. 1244

Anurion Arungaree

acca Venkata Rama do - (5)

nana yon 57 } do - 1 Wife

do do do } do - 1 son

In Regn

fets 1815 6 Appasamma

Phamasee Dyne alino } do - (6)

nana Govindayen 35 } do - 1 Wife

Anandini

Venkateswara Prasad

adidyn 7 Kristnayen } do - (7)

do do 30 } do - 1 Wife

do do do } do - 1 son

do do do } do - 1 Mother

do do do } do - 1 Daughter

notices or were seen upon their respective property. It is
 of 1866, just entered the papers in the state of N.Y. by the
 descendants of the Capt. Gaudin but he never appeared before
 the court - nor did they any correspondence to the fact of 1866 or in 1868
 It appears by the Tradition or that the present holders are
 to be considered as the heirs of the former owners of
 the Village and the
 However as the Village
 is now in the hands of the
 General and the 1866-72 - paid by the
 but which the
 Title to the Village
 General seems
 to confer the
 Village to the
 on the ground
 but there is no
 proof to show
 whether they agree
 the Village legally
 It is
 Confirmed in one T.D. on 18th
 2d of 1866 besides the
 Jobe paid to the
 General -
 13/4/66 W.W. James never appeared
 to present the title
 to release the Village

The information is ready
 to be obtained should
 the parties in Cal.
 agree for how long & on what terms
 the present he does agree
 13/1/15
 1795
 the Crown?

1000 miles away 23rd May 1865 Reg
 1866 are descendants of Venkata
 - driven in 1223 - The rest are the brains
 + fathers who have acquired these Venka
 - then they are son of Venkataraman in 1223
 Nothing known of this family - Number of
 Lord's Power to believe 1824 & 1842 are pro
 duced - but they have no bearing on the
 title of the rest as they relate to the
 shares of 900 to 6 -
 at any rate I see no reason for
 a higher LR -
 5. 1866
 21/13/66 -

Average Rates of Government Salugs per Acre

1870
1871

Average value of grain of Melumaram on wet land in Karikalam
Kanakuram consisting of Ayer Villages in the Taluk of Mayagalam
for a period of 15 years

From 1850 to 1870	Cultivated area in Acre	Gross rate per Acre	of which undrainable at 45 per cent grains	average value of grain per Acre	Rate per Acre
C - M - O - A	C - M - O - A	C - M - O - A	C - M - O - A	C - M - O - A	C - M - O - A
1850 - 1870	5534	16 500 75	6 - 3	12 183	4 - 3
				1 - 7 - 9	33023
					5 - 15 - 5

Valuation

II Wet lands in this Village depend upon Tanks. They are irrigated by Jangles
Streams &c. The Tanks are filled annually. Scarcity of Water is not felt generally in
ordinary seasons. Wet lands are cultivated annually in paddy. Soil is of superior
quality generally. The Melumarams say that there is no excess in the wet area.
This is not worthy of reliance, but they wrote a written remark in the accounts produced.
by them that if they will relinquish to Govt. such lands as they may be found by measurement
to have been concealed - without bringing any to account. The average income of the Village is -
70 Ropes per annum - as stated of the Melumarams. This is not true - The average
Wet area only the contiguous Ayer Village of Karikalam is 5 to 6 Ropes per acre, calculated
for a period of 15 years previous to 1870. In consideration of the fertility of wet land
I frame the valuation of the Village as follows -
Wet 29 Acres 58 Cents cultivated at 5 1/2 Ropes per Acre - 162 1/2 Rs.

Remitted
17 12 64

Thuruvaiyur
17 12 64

9 Sakthamam } 60 - 100
10 Raimasamam } 60 - 100
11 Charavani } 60 - 100
12 Minikuram } 60 - 100
13 Kuppamam } 60 - 100
14 Vengachanai } 60 - 100
15 Narayanasam } 60 - 100

16 Annalam } 60 - 100
17 Peruvallur } 60 - 100
18 Muthukalai } 60 - 100
19 Muthu Perumal } 60 - 100

20 Karikalam } 60 - 100
21 Muthukalai } 60 - 100
22 Muthu Perumal } 60 - 100
23 Muthu Perumal } 60 - 100

See preceding page
17 12 64