Register of Dharmasanam Village of Appagudi in [illegible] Taluq of Sivagunga Zemindary in [illegible] District

Shares

Persons enjoying

No. 2 — 8 — 1

No. 3 — 8 — 1

No. 4 — 8 — 2

No. 5 — 8 — 1

No. 6 — 8 — 1

Total 7

Appagudiyendal

Tirumangalam

This is one of the Dharmasanams in the Zemindary of Sivagunga. It was granted by the person No. 11 to the person No. 13 for subsistence. It is a hereditary grant. There is uninterrupted possession of the holders since the date of the grant. There is a Potta or two palm [illegible] leaves. The document was registered in A.D. 1809. Again this Village is supported by the accounts of 1812. No [illegible] about the area or about the boundaries on the part of the Zemindar's [illegible]. Hence the area of 35 acres is confirmed here. The boundaries now inserted in the vernacular Register correspond to that in the [illegible] Potta. The Village consists of 7 equal Vrittis of unequal balance. They are enjoyed as shewn in the margin. The lands are divided & they are exchanged once in 12 years. Porupu is paid on the number of Vrittis equally & permanently.

The persons No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 16 are descendants of the Original Grantee & the person No. 6 acquired his one Vritty in A.D. 1857 from one Sreenivasa Iyengar for rupees 1295. One Bill [illegible] is on plain Cadjan leaf. Transaction proved to be bonafide. The other is a descendant of the Original Grantee [illegible] the Grand Father of the persons No. 5, 7 & 16 acquired his lands in gift in A.D. 1806 from one Sowmya Narayana Iyengar, a relative of the Grand Father of the person No. 5, 7 & 16. Deed of gift is on a plain Cadjan leaf. Transaction proved to be bonafide. Enjoyment proved. One Ranga Iyengar alias Sowmya Narayana Iyengar claims ½ Vritty out of the lands entered opposite to the persons No. 5, 7 & 16 on the ground that he is a [illegible] of the persons No. 5, 7 & 16 & that he (Claimant) & his ancestors enjoyed [illegible] a share in this Porupu or Vritty. Hence the Claim is rejected & the party is referred to Civil Court.

(Vide remarks)

Dharmasanam 43-66 Sgd. [illegible]	No. Vizo [illegible] Nagpur [illegible] Periya Vedaya [illegible] Dated [illegible] Boundary [illegible] 1705 A.D. 1783	Acct. of F. 1211 Bundies Acct. of F. 1223 Boundary Acct. of F. 1244 Board's Register of A.D. 1815	Sreenivasa Iyengar	Inam F. 1211 Dharmasanam Appagudiyendal Nayagi Varaydi 50 A 35 Inam Account of [illegible] None	F. 1223 Dharmasanam Appagudiyendal Nayagi Varaydi 50 A 35 Sowmya Narayana Iyengar Mother Appagudiyendal Sowmya Iyengar	Tirukostiyur

1 Venguvayan gar 65	Grandson of the person No. 13	(1) 1 Wife, 3 Sons, 1 Daughters, Son, 1 Grandson, 1 Grand Daughter
2 Sowmya Narayana Iyengar 17	Great grand son of the person No. 13	(2) 1 Wife, 1 Mother
3 Sreenivasa Iyengar 36	Grandson of the person No. 13	(3) 1 Mother, 1 Wife, 1 Son, 2 Unmarried daughter
4 Venguvayan gar 36	do.	(4) 1 Wife, 2 Son, 1 Married daughter, 1 Unmarried daughter, 1 Daughter in law
5 Sreenivasa Iyengar 12	Son of daughter's son of the person No. 13	(5) Mother
6 Gopalasastry 65	[illegible]	(6) 1 Wife, 2 Sons, 2 Daughters in law, 1 Widowed daughter, Grandsons, Grand Daughter

Alienation: Family & lands are divided. One Pottah recd.

To be confirmed under Rule V, [illegible] & [illegible] under Rule [illegible] for subsistence.

Confirmed as recommended in the [illegible] on a [illegible] of [illegible]

3/8/64

Zemindari [illegible] 43-66

Govt. 2/8 — 160 years

A. Sudarshana Row

Kristnadoss 17/12/63

Per Acct. of F. 1211 Varaydi 50 [illegible]

Actual Present [illegible]

Arable land [illegible] 7-7 — 5-25

[illegible] 5 — 3-50

12-7 — 8-75

[illegible] — 26-25

Nanja Varaydi Cultivated 25 — 17-50

Waste 12-7 — 8-75

Total 37-7 — 26-25

Deduct [illegible] [illegible] as [illegible] by the Zemindary

17-10

Sd. [illegible]

	Class	Rim
Dry	3 9 9	" 1 7
Wet	10 13 3	" 5 9

Average rates of Government Saleys per Acre

Dry 1 5 6

Wet 4 6 1

Average quan of Melwaram on wet land in the Pattamangalam Hangaham consisting of 1 Ayan Village of the Saleys of Inspection for period of 15 years.

A

From F1256 to F1270	Cultivated in Acre	Gross outturn	Of which Zemindar's share at 41 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of the quantity of grains given in Cash	Rates per Acre
K M S	A C	K M S	K M S	R A S	R A S	R A S
7484 7 "	12239 15		71059 3 2	1 8 3	107906 2 7	8 13 7

No 4 As the figures in Col 4 include "Varisa pattam" the quantity of grain for which some of the lands have been rented out &c as the Gross outturn of the Villages then rented out in kind is not known, the Col 3 is left blank.

Valuation

Wet lands are irrigated by two small Tanks. They are in repair. They are fed by the Tanks of the adjacent Ayan & Devastanam Village Tanks. The Tanks are filled annually. The Mahajanams say that the 8 3/4 Acres of classed as waste are Mettukkad. This is not true. Some of the Mahajanams say that some of the lands classed as Poramboke are fit to be cultivated with Dry grain in future if they are not permitted. Others said that they are not fit to be cultivated. It is false that 8 3/4 Acres are Mettukkad. The Taluq in which the Village is situated is generally noted for fertility. There are scarcely waste lands in small Villages as this. I suspect that the Mahajanams classed 8 3/4 Acres as Poramboke without some of the cultivated lands, because the Inamdars in Terrull ottiyur in which the Mahajanams of the Damarsanam under settlement is situated gave much trouble by not attending to the office punctually, by delaying to produce accounts & by speaking ill of many reasonable things from ignorance. But as they entered a written remark in the vernacular Register that the lands classed as Poramboke are unfit for cultivation & that if they [illegible] cannot do nothing except these lands will be cultivated with Punjah. Some of the lands are cultivated at times annually. Soil is of a superior quality generally. The adjacent Ayan Villages are rented on grain. Hence it is impossible to find out the average assessment of them. However the Mahajanams assessment is given in the margin. The Mahajanam, in which the Damarsanam under settlement is situated compared is considered to be one of the best one large & important Ayan Village. The Damarsanam is considered to be ... Pattamangalam. The Mahajanam assessment of 8 13 7 as I consider are exorbitant. No Punjah Lands in this Village. The average income of the Village as put to the Mahajanams is 7 3 6. It is not true. No Tanks is raised in the Village. For consideration of the fertility of soil I propose the valuation of the Village as follows.

Wet 26 3/4 Acres at 6 1/2 per Acre — 170 10

Karllad

1912 63

R. Swaminadha Rao