[illegible] Village Alangulam Sitakalam [illegible]

Regtr No 282
Sheet No 1

Alangulam Sitakalam

I This is one of the Darmasanams in the Zemindary of Sevagunga. This was granted by Mahabolivan Rajah to the person No 13 & other Bramins. This is a hereditary grant. No Potta. It was lost. No break in enjoyment. The Darmasanam is supported by all the accounts of 012. No dispute about the boundaries on the part of the Zemindar's Vakeel. The boundaries correspond to those in the account of [illegible]. Hence the area of 97 Acres & 43 Cents is confirmed here. The excess is a marked [illegible] & situated within the proper limits. Similar excess is found also in the contiguous Ayen Villages. The excess is attributable to the defects in the old accounts. The Village consists of 6 equal Vrithis which are enjoyed as shown in the Margin. The lands are divided permanently. Poruppu is paid [illegible] remaining Vrithies equally & permanently.

II The persons of 16 are not any way related to the Orgl Grantee ~~[illegible]~~ from one Tonganmuttammal & her [illegible]. The person No 2 of 16 says that his father purchased 1/3 of a Vritty in AD 1853 for Rupees ~~[illegible]~~ 274-12 [illegible]. One Bill of sale is on a plain cadjan leaf. The person No 1 [illegible] & sold half of the lands to the father of the persons No 11 & 12 of 16 in the same year for Rupees 137-6. The Bill of sale produced by the person No 2 of 16 proved to be bonafide by Witnesses. The person No 2 of 16 says that the remaining [illegible] Vritty was purchased by his ancestors long ago. In proof of this the persons of 16 produced the Naramaul Account of the Village of AD 1796 & 1810 [illegible] former Bills of sales. I see no ground to doubt the genuineness of these do[cuments].

(Vide next cols)

Darmasanam Aspes [illegible]		Mahabolivan Rajah [illegible]	Acct of Fasli 1211	Perumal Iyengar & Narayana gotram	In Fasli 1211 Darmasanam Alangulam Sitakalam	In Fasli 1223 Darmasanam Alangulam Sitakalam	Shrotriemdars				
Stiwarai 26-7-10	Dates unknown		Hindwi Acct of Fasli 1223 Boundary Acct of Fasli 1244 Board's Register of AD 1815 No Potta		Nunjo Varayidi 30-2- A 21-10 Punjo Kurukki 2 10/16 A 1-43 Total 22-53	Nunjo Varayidi 30-2- A 21-10 Punjo Kurukki 2 10/16 A 1-43	1 Streenivasa Iyengar 62	Shareholder	(1) 1 Wife, 2 Sons, 2 Daughters-in-law, 3 Married Daughters	The family & cousins divided. One Potta is issued	
Iyozo [illegible] -2-8 Total 26-10-6					In Hindwi Acct of Do Area Do	Perumal Iyengar Do Regtr of AD 1815	2 Sabbayan 38	Do	(2) 2 Unmarried Daughters		
					In Fasli 1244 Darmasanam Alangulam Sitakalam	Alangulam Sitakalam	3 Rukmanbayi Ammal 35	Do	(3) 1 Married Daughter, 2 Sons of Daughter		
					Area none	Perumal Iyengar Do	4 Rajagopalarow 18	Do	(4) 1 Father		
							5 Rajaramrow 12	Do	(5) 1 Elder brother		
							6 Ramaswamy Iyengar 35	Do	(6) 1 Wife, 2 Unmarried Daughters		
							7 Alagharo Iyengar 25	Do	(7) 1 Wife, 1 Son		
							8 Streenivasa Iyengar 36	Do	(8) 1 Wife, 1 Son, 1 Unmarried Daughter		
							9 Perumal Iyengar 34	Do	(9) 1 Wife		

(Fair copy)

To be confirmed under Rule IV

Zemindar's Low 26107

Govt 2¼% = 28 Rupees

[illegible]

Ramkudi 3-2-64

Confirmed [illegible] in one [illegible] 2 R [illegible] 2/3/65

No 2 Darmasanam

No 2 [illegible] Do	
No 3 to 5 Do	1-
No 6 & 7 Do	-1/6
No 8 to 10 Do	-2/6
No 11 & 12 Do	-1/6
No 13 & 14 Do	2-1/6
Total 6	

Register No. 282

Sheet No. 353

As per Acct of Fasli 1211		
Nunjo Varayidi	30-2-	21-10
Excess	45-	31-50
Total	75-2-	52-60
Punjo Kurukki	2-9/16	1-43
Excess Do	77-1/2	43-40
Total	80-1/16	44-83
Grand Total		97-43
Deduct Poramboke		
Bed of Tank Kurukki	20-	11-20
Channel Do	1-	-56
Temple site Do	1-	-56
Tank Do	9-	5-04
Village site Do	3-	1-68
River Bed Do	4-	2-24
Thrashing ground Do	2-	1-12
Rocky place Do	10-	5-60
	50-	28-
Remainder		69-43
Deduct Sarva Inam Nunjo Varayidi	2-2-3 3/4	1-53
Remainder		67-90
Nunjo Varayidi Cultivated	27-13-2 1/4	19-57
Excess Do Do	10-	7-
Do of Waste Fairly	35-	24-50
	45-	31-50
Total	72-13-2 1/4	51-07
Punjo Kurukki Cultivated	2-9-	1-43
Excess Do Do	17-8-	9-80
Do Do Waste	10-	5-60
	27-8-	15-40
Total	30-1-	16-83
Grand Total		67-90

Reduced from Statement & papers produced by the Shrotriemdars

353-11

3 9 -a 1 7

t 10-13-3 "-6-9

urage rates of Government Pulaye per Acre

1-56

t 4-6-1

rage Value of grain of Melwaram on wet lands in Rudrapadi

uam Consisting of 6 Ayan Villages in Ranier Palaya

a Period of 15 years.

1

	Cultivated acres in acres	Grass cultivation	Ryotwari Commission Share at 45 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of the quantity of grains given in Col 4	Rates per Acre
	A- O	R As O	R As O	R As O	R As O	R As O
	2496-37	25227-11-3	11085-11-0	1-7-9	16487-5-0	6-9-9

(Hair copied)

(10) 1 Wife

11 Alagiavan nayagar 50 — Ab — (11) 1 Wife, 1 Son, 1 Son, 2 Daughters

12 Lolappa nayagar 35 — Ab — (12) 1 Wife, 3 Sons

13 Vitchandi Setty 50 — Ab — (13) 1 Wife, 2 Sons, 1 Daughter-in-law, 4 Married Daughters, 1 Grandson

14 Mutta Karu nayanetty 45 — Ab — (14) 1 Wife

Vide preceding side

III — The late Headman of Pethoor No 3 of 16 purchased the Putty at two opposite to his names & the persons No 4 & 5 of 16, who are his Daughters sons, in AD 1847 for Opees 686 — from one Anantan Kristnen an one of the shareholders in the Agraharam - Bill of sale in a stamped paper being Transaction proved to be brought by some of the Witnesses who attested the document -

II The persons No 6 & 7 of 16 purchased, they say, new lands in the time of their ancestors - AD 1796 - AD 1810 & the same lands are now held by them - In proof of the members of their family being in possession of those lands, Kanomani Accounts of AD 1796 - & 1810 are produced by the persons of 16. These accounts are admitted to be genuine by all the persons of 16 - I see no ground to doubt their genuineness -

I The persons No 1 & 2 of 16 - are brothers - The persons No 3 of 16 purchased the lands in two opposite to their names in AD 1863 for Opees 1516-13- from one Ponnu alagiyan & Valappayanjan, shareholders in the Village - Two Bills of sale & plain paper deeds - Transactions proved to be bona fide - Enjoyment proved by Witnesses &c —

Valuation

III Wet lands depend upon 3 Tanks of moderate size - They are in repair - They are fed by Jungle Streams - &c — Few Tanks are filled annually - Scarcity of Water is not in Hill - generally -

The Mohazemans say that 30 Acres of Wet lands are cultivated annually & that the remaining 21 Acres classed as waste are partly cultivated & partly fit to be cultivated easily - This seems to be true to some extent - Some of the Wet lands are fallowed annually & two - They again say that the Dry Waste lands are of long uncultivated lands fit to be cultivated easily - Wet & Dry soil is of a superior quality generally - No Vambu is raised - Varagoo &c are raised on Dry lands - The contiguous Ayan Village is Malkuncty - The average Wet assessment of the Village calculated for a period of 13 years from Fl 1256 to 1263 & from Fl 1265 to 1267 & 1269 & 1270 for which detailed accounts are available comes to 6 8 2 per Acre - The Mohazemans say that the Ayan Lands of Malkuncty may be placed on par with those of the lands under settlement in Gandoty Fertility - The average Dry assessment of the Ayan Village comes to 1 8 per Acre — The average income

D. Village as per attestation of Mr. McHenry amounts to 240 Ayan - for annum - This is not true - As under Section 7 of the Rules - A portion of Ryotwaree villages of the Village as follows - The Village is in good state - Wet 30 Acres cultivated annually one & Dry 2 Land at 6½ Years per Acre } 1 95 — - Wet 30 Acres fallowed annually one & Dry 2 Land at 6½ Years per Acre } 1 95 — - Wet 10 Acres classed as waste } 35 — - at 3½ Years per Acre } 11 — - Total - 2 41 - 0 - 0

D. Village as per attestation of Mr. McHenry amounts to 240 Ayan - for annum - Total - 2 41 - 0 - 0

D. Village as per attestation of Mr. McHenry amounts to 240 Ayan - for annum - Total - 2 41 - 0 - 0

D. Village as per attestation of Mr. McHenry amounts to 240 Ayan - for annum - Total - 2 41 - 0 - 0