

Register of Divadayan Village of Palandackulam in Pampangalam Talag of Ramnad & secondary in the District of Madurai -
Palandackulam - on P 5 55 of 1

1 This is one of the villages in the panchayat of Ramnad panchayat - This was granted for the support of the Temple of Panchakk and in Panchakk -
 This is a permanent grant. No Pottas in this village is entered in all the accounts in the name of the Temple.

No Takkara about the boundaries on the part of the Panchayat's 'Ved' - The boundaries now inserted in the memorandum stated correspond with those in the accounts of 1724-6.

7 Vandalangalam 1752-53 - These are confirmed here & the 67 An 495 - to situated there are registered here.

11 Panchakkirankottai 1752-53 - This Temple has ^{the three} other villages besides this - The names of the ^{the three} villages are given in the margin - of these four villages, Vandalangalam is held by the Panchayat.
 12 Panchakkirankottai 1752-53 - The Temple as an emolument for the service of Worshipping the Deity. & 3 other villages are held for the support of the Temple.

The Guralakottai Village of Vandalangalam is said to be capable of giving 200 Ropas per annum - & the other villages are said to be capable of giving a net income (minus Expenditure) of Ropas 86 - in lump - This is as per statement of the Panchayat - This is not true - I find that the income from these 3 villages is about 200 Ropas per annum.

It is stated that the Temple is in a very neglected state & the funds thereof are being appropriated - as appears from the accompanying Receipts -

It seems that a sum of Ropas 5-2 is paid by the Panchayat annually to the Panchayat from the funds of these villages for the maintenance of the Temple.

The Deity is worshipped only once in a day very miserably - because daily expenses is not to exceed 3 Pie a day -

As the Temple is not in a neglected state to its full extent, I recommend to confirm this & other villages, the Panchayat of which have already been disaffected to the Temple & direct the Panchayat to appropriate the whole proceeds to the use of the Temple - & put stop to further misappropriations -

I know & am afraid that the Panchayat & her administrative accounts are ~~not~~ would be angry with me for bringing out such irregularities - But as usual, I know myself for protection upon God & not faithfully to my self - The average income of this village is 850 Ropas per annum -

The Temple is under the management of the Panchayat - The Panchayat is who make a false report that the Temple is apparently kept up - is unable to support account for these irregularities or to undertake to improve the state of the Temple.

As per statement of 1724

Nay's Varyadi	33-4 1/2 38-59
Nay's Varyadi	33-4 1/2 38-59
Product Varyadi	33-4 1/2 38-59
Nay's Varyadi	33-4 1/2 38-59
Remainder	61-72
Product Varyadi	33-4 1/2 38-59
Nay's Varyadi	33-4 1/2 38-59
Nay's Varyadi	33-4 1/2 38-59
Remainder	40-17
Nay's Varyadi	33-4 1/2 38-59
Waste	17-4-20-18
Total	27-4-31-86
Excess Varyadi	8-7-20
Waste	10-1/8 11
Total	18-7/8 16-31
Grand Total	47-17

For the Panchayat Varyadi Account

Support as per	32-11	1815
of Temple	32-11	1815
of Pottas	32-11	1815
madha	32-11	1815
swami's Name	32-11	1815
in Pottas	32-11	1815
Remainder	32-11	1815
Product	32-11	1815
Nay's Varyadi	32-11	1815
Waste	17-4-20-18	
Total	27-4-31-86	

In Pottas

Ligal	32-11
Madha	32-11
Swami	32-11
Pottas	32-11
Galan	32-11
Madha	32-11
Nay's	32-11
Varyadi	32-11
Waste	17-4-20-18
Total	27-4-31-86

To be used for Rule III
 Panchayat
 32-11
 1815
 10/2/65
 765

Confirmed a present
 Panchayat
 32-11
 1815
 10/2/65
 765

Collector to be requested accordingly 31-11-65
 in letter 31-11-65 2-399, report in his reply that the services are not duly rendered in the Pottas & that his object to the Pottas does not appear to have any result
 It appears that nothing more than the usual security allowance is paid to the Pottas

In 1815
of 1815

Two do-
gan
Galeudon
Kalam

For the
charges
of Choct
nasho
stam
Kamil
at Sale
you coody

[Signature]
[Signature]