... Ramnad Zemindary Estate in Madura District

Vennattur

		Shares
Dharmasanam		
No 1 to 4 are enjoying		4 9/16
No 5 & 6	Do	6 15/16
No 7 & 8	Do	1 "
No 9	Do	" 14/16
No 10	Do	" 8/16
No 11 & 12	Do	1 "
No 13	Do	1 24/64
No 14 to 16	Do	" 10/16
No 17	Do	1 10/16
No 18 & 19	Do	" 8/16
No 20	Do	" 4/16
No 21	Do	1 31/32
No 22	Do	1 1/2
No 23 & 24	Do	" 8/16
No 25 to 27	Do	" 10/16
No 28	Do	" 13/32
No 29	Do	" 13/32
No 30	Do	1 3/16
No 31 is enjoying		" 37/64
No 32 & 33	Do	" 3/16
No 34	Do	" 15/32
No 35 & 36	Do	" 13/16
No 37 to 39	Do	" 11/16
No 40	Do	" 10/16
No 41 & 42	Do	" 10/16
No 43	Do	" 6/16
No 44	Do	1 "
No 45	Do	1 7/16
~~No 46 Shanmugam~~		
No 46 to 50	54, 55 & 15	59/64 th
No 51 st	Do	" 15/64
No 52 nd	Do	" 4/16
No 53 rd	Do	" 1/8
No [illegible]	Do	9 12/16 Nd
No [illegible]	Do	1 12/16 Nd
		Total 45½

This is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Ramnadpuram — One eighth of this Village was granted for the support of the Temple of Stree Siva Subbramaniya Swamy in Poruvayel & the 7/8 as Dharmasanam to Ananta Ramayen &c — The former is a permanent grant & the latter is a hereditary grant — There is an inscription in the temple proving its holders since the date of the grant. Originally the entire Village was Dharmasanam — In A.D. 1735 S.S. 1657 or soon after the Village was granted as Dharmasanam, "Vairavan Servaigaren" the Pradhany of the Zemindary purchased the 1/8 of the Village & made an endowment of the same to the Temple — Accordingly 1/8 of the Village was entered as Devastanam & 7/8 as Dharmasanam in the Paimash & other accounts — The Temple is sufficiently kept & Car feast &c are performed — No dispute about the area nor about the boundaries on the part of the Rany's Talook — Hence the area of 1059 Acres is confirmed here — The lands in this Village were divided between a Century ago — Ever since they stood stationary without undergoing any fluctuation — Poruppu is paid on the number of Vulties equally & permanently — The Devastanam portion is under the management of the ... The Dharmasanam portion consists of 45½ Vulties (See next side)

Temple Sub of F 1211
Nunja Varayidi — 35-7-7¼ 41-39
Punja Kurukkam 113 .. 64-70
106-09
Deduct Poramboke
... 35-08
Do site Do 5 .. 5-82
Do site Do 1-12 .. 2-17
Tank Do 4-12¼ 5-67
48-71
Remainder 1010-38
1/8 Devadayam
Nunja Varayidi cultivated .. 30-36
Waste 3-6¼ 15-92
39-6-3¾ 46-08
Punja Kurukkam cultivated 8 .. 7-20
Waste 31 1/8 73-01
39 1/8 80-21
126-29
7/8 Village Dharmasanam
Deduct Inams 884-09
Devadayam Nunja (Varayidi) 2 .. 2-33
Village servants Nunja Do 11-12-1½ 13-87
13-12-1½ 16-20
Remainder 867-89
Nunja Varayidi cultivated 108-1-2 10/16 123-38
Do Waste 156-4-4 1/8 182-52
262-6-3/4 306-90
Punja Kurukkam cultivated 56 .. 50-40
Do Waste 567 10/16 511-09
623 14/16 561-49

Deduced from the Statement ... prepared by the ... Inamdars

Register No 505
Sheet No 570

For the support of Temple of Stree Sivasubramanyeswami in Poruvayal
Jodi 22-14-"
Now sufficiently kept

Per Inam deeds of Ramnad Mutta Vijea Raghunadha Setupaty
Vairavan servaigaran purchased
Date Salivahana Sacam 1657
A.D. 1735

A.D. of F 1211
A.D. of A.D. 1815
A.D. of F 1246

Stree Sivasubramaniyeswaraswami

In F 1211
Poruvayal Sivasubramaniyeswara Kovil
Vennattur 1/8 Village
Nunja Varayidi 44-9-3¾
A 52-17
Punja Varayidi "-14-5
Kurukkam 89 "
A 80-10
Total 132-27

In A.D. 1815
Poruvayal Sivasubramaniyeswaraswami Kovil
Vennattur 1/8 Village
Nunja Varayidi 44-9-3¾
A 52-17
Punja Varayidi "-11-5
Kurukkam 89 "
A 80-10
Total 132-27

Poruvayal Stree Sivasubramaniyeswaraswamy
Trustee Stree Parvata Vardhani Nachiar Avergal Rany of the Zemindary of Ramnadpuram
46

The Dharmasanam portions Consists of Pagoda as shown in the margin

Separate Patta is recommended as the lands are distinct from those of the Dharmasanam

To be confirmed under Rule III
... Zemindari ...
Inam 387
6X
R. Sivashankara Rao
Ramnadpuram
4-9-63
... the ... of 25 ...

120-2-6

Dharmasanam
Jodi 321-9-7

Per Mutta Vijea Raghunadha Setupaty
Date Salivahana Sacam 1656
A.D. 1734

A Dharmasanam Patta is engraved on the stone

Ananta Ramayan &c

In F 1211
Dharmasanam
Vennattur 14/16 Village
Nunja Varayidi 312-11-3
A 362-87
Punja Varayidi 6-14-"
Kurukkam

In A.D. 1815
Dharmasanam
Vennattur 7/8 Village
Nunja Varayidi 312-11-3
A 362-87
Punja Varayidi

Vennattur
1 Ramaswami Dikshatar 21 — Grandson of the grantee No 1 to 15 — (1) 1 Mother 2 younger brothers
2 Venkata Ramayan 18 — Do — (2) 1 Elder brother
3 Kristna Iyan 15 — Do — (3) 2 Elder brothers
4 Seetharam mal — Widow of son of ... — (4) 3 Sons of Elder brother

Alienated Family Dividend ...

To be confirmed under Rule ...
II C & A ...
Rule VII ...
Jodi 321-9-7
Inam 388
2-4
Govt 1/8 = 80

961-4-

(Fair copied)

Rates of Government Taluqs per Acre

	Maximum	Minimum
Dry	3-9-9	,,-1-7
Wet	10-13-3	,,-5-9

Average rates of Government Taluqs per Acre

Dry 1-5-6

Wet 4-6-1

Details of average income of the last 15 years of the Zemindar on wet land in the Taluq of Rajasingamangalam

A

rom F1256 F1270	Cultivated area in Acre	Gross out-turn Marcals	Of which Zemindar's Share at 40 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of the quantity of grains given in Col 4	Rates per Acre
M O	A – C	K M O	K M O	R A P	R A P	R A P
85-4-4	56139-50	282485-3-,,	112994-,,-,,	2-13-,,	317785-10-,,	5-10-,,

Dry rates prevailing in Zemindary Taluq of Rajasingamangalam

Maximum	Minimum
2-4-1	,,-9-,,

Salwar cultivation of

F1256	7910
F1257	26716
F1258	10859
F1259	15798
F1260	22695
F1261	22647
F1262	26824
F1263	14059
F1264	22367
F1265	17742
F1266	21157
F1267	12561
F1268	14855
F1269	12357
F1270	23930

(Continued from reverse). Some of the p[attadars] of 16 are descendants of the Original Grantee & others are purchasers & alienees — The person No 1-2-3-4-5-6-17-18-19-20-21-22-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-45-47-48-49-50-51-52 & 53 of 16 — acquired lands by purchase in A.D. 1754-1758-1762-1770-1777-1779-1781-1782-1783-1784-1785-1790-1800-1803-1811-1815-1818-1825-1831-1852-1859-& 1860 — for years 1664-92 — Thirty one Bills of sales are on plain Cadjan leaves — Two Bills are on Stamped Cadjan leaves — & two are on Stamped ~~Cadjan~~ Ord papers — The p[attadars] No 35 & 36 of 16 acquired lands by gift in A.D. 1859 from one of the shareholders in the Agraharam named Sivarama Lingayyan. Deed of gift is on plain Cadjan leaf — The p[attadars] No 13-14-15-16-23-24 & 54 — acquired lands by purchase long ago — But they have no Bills of sales — The Mahajanams admit their right to the shares entered opposite to their names unanimously — & admit also the foregoing transactions of sales — The ancestor of the Ranny (No 56) of the Zemindar of Ramnad purchased the lands [illegible] 12 [illegible] — Bills of sales [illegible]

A	Kurakkam
561-60	600
Total	A
	540-,,
In F1266	1 Rama
Vennattur	sami
Nanja	Dikshi
Varappu	tar
357-12-3/4	2 Gopa
A	nadha
417-81	yan
Punja	3 Chinna
Varappu	sami
7-13-5	Iyengar
Kurukkam	
713-,,	
A	
641-70	
Total	
1059-51	

5 Subbaramayan 64		
6 Padmanabhayan 54	Do	1 Son 1 Daughter-in-law
Nanjinais in Pudukota Estate		
7 Sanjivaramayan 45	Do	(7) 1 Wife 1 Son
8 Latchmi Narayanayan 30	Do	(8) 1 Wife 1 Son
Villapalayam		
9 Sivaramalingamayan 42	Do	(9) 1 Wife 3 Sons
Sakkottai		
10 Suppayan 38	Do	(10) 1 Son
Ramnad		
11 Kristnayangar 45	Do	(11) 1 Widow of Elder brother
12 Rangayangar 12	Do	(12) 1 Mother 1 Grand Mother
13 Ramaswamayan 60	All	(13) 3 Sons 3 Daughters-in-law 1 Widow of Son 1 Grand Daughter
Kolattur		
14 Muttusami Iyan 60	All	(14) 1 Wife 2 Younger brothers
15 Ramanayan 50	All	(15) 1 Wife 1 Son
16 Streenivasan 47	All	(16) 1 Wife
Ramnad		
17 Sundaramayan 50	All	(17) 1 Wife 4 Sons 1 Daughter-in-law 1 Married Daughter
18 Sabhapati Dikshatar 40	All	(18) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter-in-law
19 Parameswara Dikshatar 35	All	(19) 1 Wife
Rameswaram		
20 Aswattanarayana Dikshatar 30	All	(20) 1 Wife 1 Son

Vide preceding side

(Fair copied)

Ramnad Zemindary in Madura District

The Matoganars admit the transaction — This Village is omitted from the Board's Register, erroneously, of A D 1815 —

The Claimant claimed to 1/6 of Vritty out of the lands entered opposite to the names No 46 & 50 on the ground that his late father mortgaged the ~~same~~ about 60 years ago to the late Father of the person No 46 & 26 - This proved to be quite false - The Claimant sold 1/4 Vritty to the p. No 46 in A D 1817 - In ~~[illegible]~~ the Bill of sale of which he admitted that he has only 1/4 Vritty in this Village - The Claimant admits that ~~[illegible]~~ the lands are not in the possession of his family since the last 60 years - The Claimant bases his Claim on a Hamcapallrau or list & agreement of the ~~[illegible]~~ division of property between his father & his fathers Elder Brother in 1809 ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] 5 years ago which bears the signature of the father of the person No 46 - The p. No 46 & 50 says that they cannot account how the 1/12 Vritty which the Claimant Claims was disposed by the forefathers of the Claimant - On the whole as the Claimant admitted that he has in this Village only 1/4 Vritty when he sold the lands in A D 1817 to the p No 46 in the Bill of sale the Claim is rejected & the Claimant is referred to Civil Court —

Register No 505

Sheet No 571

(Vide next side)

Vennattur		
21 Seenasami Iyangar alias Kristnayangar 70	Share holder	(21) 1 Adopted Son
Saravalakudi		
22 Sundaramayan 52	A C	(22) 1 Son 1 Daughter in law
Tiruvalur in Sivaganga Zamindary		
23 Sami Iyan 40	A C	(23) 1 Wife
24 Harihara mayan 30	A C	(24) 1 Wife 1 Son
Devepatnam		
25 S. Lakes worayan 40	Grandson of younger brother of the p. No 15	(25) 1 Wife 1 Widow Daughter
26 Minakshi Sundaramayan 35	Do	(26) 1 Son
27 Venkatramayan 30	Do	(27) 1 Mother 1 Wife
28 Kristnayan 35	A C	(28) 1 Wife
29 Narayanayan 30	A C	(29) 1 Elder brother
30 Gunnammal 30	Share holder	(30) 1 Mother 1 Married daughter
Vannattur		
31 Iyasami Iyan alias Narayanayan 30	A C	(31) 1 Mother 1 Wife
Peramangalam		
32 Narayanayan 34	A C	(32) 1 Wife
33 Subbayan 20	A C	(33) 1 Wife
Ramnad		
34 Jaganatha Nayangar 21	A C	(34) 1 Mother 1 Father 1 Wife
Serahkota		
35 Venkata Narasimha Iyan 10	A C	(35) 1 Mother 1 Younger brother
36 Minakshi Sundaramayan 6	A C	(36) 1 Elder brother
37 Koti Dikshatar 42	A C	(37) 1 Wife 2 younger brother
38 Kristna	A C	(38)

Vide preceding side

D L

(Fair copied)

Valuation

I Wet lands depend upon one large & another small Tank - They are not in thorough repair - They are fed by the Tank of Yerumapatty, a Darmasanam. The latter Tank is fed by the Vypar - ~~[illegible]~~ river water - The Tanks are filled generally in ordinary seasons. The Mahazanams classed considerable extent of lands as waste - They say that out of Nunjah waste 23 Acres are unfit for cultivation from their being impregnated with salt & the rest is 20 years waste though it is fit for cultivation - & that out of Dry Waste 63 Acres are unfit for cultivation & that the rest is 20 years waste. The Mahazanams again say that the Wet lands & Dry lands are left waste from want of ryots & from want of repairs for the Tank - This seems to be true to a vast extent from the statement of the Mahazanams. The Wet lands are cultivated at the time of occasionally to some extent - This year the Curnum says 23 Acres are cultivated with 2nd crop - Soil is of a medium character generally - The Mahazanams say that 2/3 of the lands are Karisel & 1/3 are saline lands - Vanthire is not raised in this Village. This Village is on the sea coast at a distance of one or two miles - Scarcity of Water is felt occasionally - Generally the lands in this Village are not very fertile - The surrounding Villages also are not in a very prosperous state - I have no materials to enter here the average assessments of the adjacent Ayen Villages that are settled on Tonny ayakut - The average Dry rates of the ~~[illegible]~~ contiguous Ayen Village of Tangasi Yenal are at 10 As per Acre. The average income of the Darmasanam portion as per statement of the Mahazanams are Rs 81 - The average income of the Devastanam portion is 103 Rupees - I cannot place reliance on either of the average incomes, & the income of the Devastanam is taken as a true data, even then the income of the Darmasanam portion should come to 721 Rupees - With reference to the fertility of soil I frame the valuation as follows with the aid of the Mahazanams

Wet 124 Acres at 4 Rupees per acre - 496

Wet 159 Acres of waste at 1-12 per acre - 278-4-0

Total - 774-4-0

Dry 150 Acres at 10 As - per acre, since cultivated, [illegible] } - 93-12

Dry Waste & Uncultivated 373 Acres at 1/4 Rupee per acre } 93-4

~~168-0-0~~ or 187

Total 961-4-0

Note 23 Acres of Wet said to be unfit for cultivation are not included in the valuation

Note 63 Acres of Dry said to be unfit for cultivation are not included in the valuation

39 Venkatramayan 28	Al	1 Wife 1 Son
Ramnad 40 Kuppusami Iyan alias Venkatramayan 28	Share holder	(40) 1 Mother 1 Wife
Perapanguir in Malabar		
41 Ramaswami Iyan 38	Do	(41) 1 Wife 1 Son
42 Kristnayan 12	Do	(42) 1 Wife
Devipatnam 43 Narayanayan 45	Do	(43) None
Madura 44 Venkateswarayan 79	Do	(44) 1 Wife 1 Cousin Daughter 2 Sons of Daughter
Perakurni 45 Ramduvayan 63	Do	(45) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter in law 1 Grand Daughter
~~[illegible]~~ ~~46 [illegible] Iyan 3[illegible]~~	~~Do~~	(46) ~~Mother~~
Yerumapotte 46 Periya Iyan 80	Do	(47) 1 Wife 3 Sons 2 Daughters in law
47 Saminayan 45	Do	(48) 1 Elder brother
48 Gopalayan 35	Do	(49) 1 Wife
49 Venkatramayan 30	Do	(50) 3 Elder brothers
50 Ramachandramayan 28	Do	(51) 4 Elder brothers
Vendattur 51 Vitelinsubramanyayan 29	Al	(52) 1 Wife
Rameswaram 52 Iya Dikshatar 30	Al	(53) 1 Wife 3 Sons
Ramnad 53 Venkatakristnayan 11	Al	(54) 1 Mother 1 Grand Mother
54 Subbiyan 25	Share holder	(55) 1 ~~Father~~ 1 Mother 1 Wife
55 Rangalomayan 20	Do	(56) 1 ~~Father~~ 1 Mother 1 Wife
Ramnad 56 Parwatavardanami Nachiyar Amrgal [illegible]	Al	(57)

Vide preceding [illegible]

Fair copied

I inspected the lands of this Village personally & classified the ~~lands~~ as cultivated & waste with the aid of the Mahazanams & I charged ~~[illegible]~~ 159 acres of Wet at 1-12 per acre as some of them are long waste & ~~[illegible]~~ others are there cultivated occasionally. I charged 150 Acres of Dry that found to be actually under plough with the surrounding rate of assessment. The remaining 373 acres of Dry being long waste are charged at 1/4 Rs per acre. The Waste Dry lands will [illegible] ever plough [illegible] unless the Mahazanams [illegible] waste lands [illegible] there [illegible] remain unfit for cultivation

13.

Dharmasanam Vannatter in Rajasingamangalam Talug of Ramnad Zemindary in Madura Dist.

Rameswaram			
57 Subbachorr	38	A.L.	(57) 1 Wife 1 unmarried Daughter 1 Mother
58 Vasudevo Chorz	50	A.L.	(59) 1 Son 1 married Daughter
59 Narasimha Chorr	40	A.L.	(59) 1 Wife 1 Son
60 Ranga Chorr	30	A.L.	(60) 1 Wife

Vide proceedings

The ancestors of the persons No 57 to 61 acquired the lands entered opposite to their names in gift in AD 1806 from Venganeer, Father in law of the Elder Sister of the Father of the said persons No 57 to 61 C 16 — Deed of gift is on plain Cadjan leaf — Some of the Mahajanams pleaded that the persons No 57 to 61 are not owners of the lands entered opposite to their names & failed to prove the same — Hence the claim is registered — The persons No 57 to 61 C all claim to 6/16 of a Vritty from the person No 16 C 16 on the ground that it was mortgaged by their father to one Kattu Rayakayar Marikayar about 30 years ago — It is proved satisfactorily by documentary evidence & c that the claim of the persons No 57 to 61 is false & that the father of the mother of the person No 17 C 16 purchased the 6/16 Vritty in AD 1778 from Venganeer, a relative of the persons No 57 to 61 & mortgaged the same by the purchaser in 1796 to one Sheik Abdul Kader Marikayar & that descendants of the Marikayar is enjoying the lands up to this day in virtue of the mortgage bond — Kader Saib, Grandson of Sheik Abdul Kader Marikayar produced before me the mortgage bond & the bill of sale executed to the mortgagee by Venganeer above alluded & agreed to restore the lands to the persons No 57 to 61 after clearing his debt — Under the above circumstances the claim of the persons No 57 to 61 C 16 is rejected & parties are referred to Civil Court —

A. Janardana Rao

Venu Rangaswamy Iyengar claimed to 7-6/16 of Vritties from the Mahajanams C 16 on the ground that his father left the lands under the superintendance of one Narain Iyengar 70 years ago & that the Mahajanams usurped them about 60 years ago, & failed to prove the same. The Mahajanams deny every knowledge of the transaction — from some of the old documents that are in possession of some of the Mahajanams shew that the ancestors of the Claimant had the said Vritties formerly in the Village & they fell in possession of others by sale & some say that these lands were sold half century ago by the then Zemindar in satisfaction of the arrear of Poruppu due by these Vritties — as the ancestors of the Claimant deserted the country — 60 or 70 years ago — On the whole as there are no means to find out who is in possession of these Vritties. The Claim is rejected & the party is referred to Civil Court.

A. Janardana Rao

9/1069
Karakudi }

Register No. 505
Sheet No. 572

119-4
159-4
278-