

Register No 434

Register No. 434

Sheet no. 464

1 This is one of the villages in the panchayat of Rameswaram. One fourth of the village was granted for the support of the temple of
= Sri Chokkanna Swamy & the remaining 3/4 of the village was granted to "Sengai Vudikars" or men whose profession is to collect Conches
= in the sea. The 3/4 of the village was placed in the old accounts as Sivatham. This village has a Polla cut on one of the stones in the temple
= Chokkanna Swamy alone allowed to. Copy of this is produced to one which bears the signatures & marks of the Village. The Village is situated
= by the accounts in fol 12. The temple is apparently kept up. Daily ceremonies &c. are observed. The building is in repair. The 3/4 of the village
= belonging to the temple is a perpetual grant. & the remaining 1/4 is a hereditary grant. It is clear that the 3/4 Village styled as Sivatham was not
= granted for any service but it ~~was~~ was granted for subsistence of the Original Grantee on the occasion of his presenting the Grantee with a
beautiful Conch. The 1/4 is a personal grant. It appears that the panchayat rents out the monopoly of collecting conches
in the port of the Seatombing the panchayat to highest bidder for 2 or 3 years for 10000 Pies & so on. The renter employs the
"Sengai Vudikars" & collect conches & exports them to Calcutta for sale. As the renters are paying to Sengai Vudikars at a
= much for conches (Panku) it is evident that this was not granted on service tenure. They are not doing now any service. The 3/4 of the village
= is a personal grant. (The next ass) Suff of the descendants of the original
grantee is seen

Schencksmeyer and ¹/₂ Shoreditch
 Pale Mt. Lane
 Lambeth
 Lond. W.

[illegible]

The image shows a close-up of a piece of aged, yellowed paper with handwritten text in dark ink. At the top, there's a large, stylized initial 'P' followed by some illegible cursive. Below this, the date '1863' is written in a clear, bold hand. To the right of the date, there's another large, ornate cursive flourish. Further down, the word 'Book' is visible, followed by the number '279'. The handwriting is elegant and characteristic of the mid-19th century. There are also some faint, lighter-colored markings and what appears to be a blue ink mark or stamp near the bottom center.

[illegible][illegible]

To be confirmed and Sale
 16th Nov 1864
 20 1/8 - 24 Mar
 27
 250
 New Ashland Pex. 1864

18.10.57

Day 1-5-6

Ma 4-6-1

Details of average income of the last 15 years of the Government on
wheat land in Kamnash. *349*

also present in Germany, Italy, & Russia
in Russia

He will report to the first meeting of Congress

Rembrandt Haradi D D D - D D D

(X) Village throughly, I frame the valuation of the Village as follows - ~~the~~ People generally produce such false accounts as induce me to calculate the value of them village on lower rates - However I frame the valuation to the best of my knowledge & judgement, aided by the reports of the valuers of the neighbouring Villages - the average of Gaul as well as of many Tebury and given above, which is very high - for the other hand the net profits stated by the H & B are very -

(Culler) - 10 Annual 3/4 paper per 35
 Waste - 4 1/2 Annual 2/4 paper 110
 145

Dr 70 *lb* of fulling water at 1/2 penny } 35
of soap at 6d } 36

Lu Fusi / et ham
 Zagar med 4 et h dunes spending (4)
 Javlan mico Shekamba } 1 Wife
 Jandol mico } 1 Husband
 Mel Koro } 1 Daughter
 Nungo 2 Allo Viryapatnam
 Vanyaji 5 Alano Billai } 1 Wife
 82 } 1 Husband
 A } 1 Daughter
 95-74 } 1 Son
 Nungo
 (Vanyaji) b Lini (6)
 7-10 " Akubalam } 1 Wife
 (Karo) } 1 Husband
 330 " } 1 Daughter
 A } 1 Son
 297 "
 Total Nadalai
 392-74 } 1 Wife
 Akubalam } 2 Sons
 15

Dr. Kadir Sa
ambalana + added as per Pharmacy
21/10/66

(Continue from p. 22) The area of the Village corresponds to that given in the account of 1726. All these lands are situated within the proper limits of the Village. The Government takes no fault with the boundaries which are found to have given in the year 1726. Hence the area of 393 Acres is confirmed here. The $\frac{1}{6}$ of the Village, together with the $\frac{1}{6}$ of the $\frac{1}{6}$ of the Village, is engaged equally by the persons 1 to 7 of 1726. The lands under the Village are 1726.

Valuation -

[illegible]