

Register of Paramasaram & Chetty Village of Selichatanallur in the name of Ramnad Zemindary in the Madras District

Selichatanallur

1/32 Village Paramasaram

Shares Acquired by purchase &c.	Supports Unsettled by day by day	Supports by day by day	Supports by day by day
No. 1 is enjoying	1-25/32	4-1/8	5-29/32
No. 2 do	2-9/32	4-1/8	5-29/32
No. 3 & 4 do	1	"	"
No. 5 & 6 do	1	"	"
No. 7 & 8 do	3	"	"
No. 9 do	"	1/8	"
No. 10 do	"	3/16	"
No. 11 to 13 do	"	1/2	"
No. 14 to 18 do	"	3/4	"
No. 19 to 21 do	"	1/8	"
No. 22 do	"	1	"
No. 23 do	"	1/2	"
No. 24 do	"	3/16	"
Total			27-a

This is one of the villages in the zemindary of Ramnad paramasaram. Of the 18 1/32 of the village was granted for the support of a shetturam at Paramasaram - which is known by the name of Pami Nadha Mondharan shetturam & the remaining 29 2/32 village was granted originally as Paramasaram of the 20 1/32 village of the Paramasaram portion, 1/32 part was included in the assets of for which the Permanent settlement was based & 19 2/32 part of the village was excluded therefrom - The 1/32 part of the village included in the assets of the Permanent settlement is in possession of the Marudamunni - It was not excluded from the assets as the holders of the same were absent at the time of the Permanent settlement - The part of the 1/32 part of the village not having been included from the assets upon which the Permanent settlement was based is proved by the marginally - From collector to Board dated 14th November 1817 - noted correspondence & from their enclosures - which have already forwarded to the Commission for his information with my letter dated 15 August 1830 of 1832 - Hence 3 1/32 part of the village is brought - From Board to the collector - under this settlement & 1/32 part of the village is excluded from the settled being Ayen - Regarding the date 22 January 18 - stating the shetturam I shall afford information at the foot of the Register as the enquiry is not as yet completed -

(The next case)

P.D. No 780

For the year 1821
Sanyo Varayadu 282-1-282-61
Sanyo Parakkam 290-6-261-34
Excess 78-1-63-11
360-6-324-34
606-95

Product Paramlok
Temple site Paramkam 2-4-1-80
Village site 80-5-4-50
House 10-10-9-11
Grasshilling ground 3-4-2-70
Bed of tank 50-4-45-11
70-4-63-11

Remains 543-95
1/32 Ayen Village
Sanyo Varayadu 5-11-6-94
Sanyo Parakkam 7-2-6-41
13-18

1/16 Chattram Village
Sanyo Varayadu 54-63-04
Waste 36-10-5-93
Total 90-10-5-105-97

Sanyo Parakkam 35-51-50
Waste 73-14-68-49
Total 108-14-97-99
Grand Total 203-96

1/32 Paramasaram Village
Sanyo Varayadu 125-145-94
Waste 20-7-23-96
Total 145-7-169-90
Sanyo Parakkam 400-90-11
Waste 74-6-68-94
Total 144-6-155-94
Grand Total 289-12-224-84

Declined from the statement & papers prepared by the Madras Government

For the year 1821
Sanyo Varayadu 282-1-282-61
Sanyo Parakkam 290-6-261-34
Excess 78-1-63-11
360-6-324-34
606-95
Product Paramlok
Temple site Paramkam 2-4-1-80
Village site 80-5-4-50
House 10-10-9-11
Grasshilling ground 3-4-2-70
Bed of tank 50-4-45-11
70-4-63-11
Remains 543-95
1/32 Ayen Village
Sanyo Varayadu 5-11-6-94
Sanyo Parakkam 7-2-6-41
13-18
1/16 Chattram Village
Sanyo Varayadu 54-63-04
Waste 36-10-5-93
Total 90-10-5-105-97
Sanyo Parakkam 35-51-50
Waste 73-14-68-49
Total 108-14-97-99
Grand Total 203-96

Register No 777
Sheet No 906

For the year 1821
Sanyo Varayadu 282-1-282-61
Sanyo Parakkam 290-6-261-34
Excess 78-1-63-11
360-6-324-34
606-95
Product Paramlok
Temple site Paramkam 2-4-1-80
Village site 80-5-4-50
House 10-10-9-11
Grasshilling ground 3-4-2-70
Bed of tank 50-4-45-11
70-4-63-11
Remains 543-95
1/32 Ayen Village
Sanyo Varayadu 5-11-6-94
Sanyo Parakkam 7-2-6-41
13-18
1/16 Chattram Village
Sanyo Varayadu 54-63-04
Waste 36-10-5-93
Total 90-10-5-105-97
Sanyo Parakkam 35-51-50
Waste 73-14-68-49
Total 108-14-97-99
Grand Total 203-96

To be resumed as the shetturam was abandoned some 30 years confirmed to the Chattram in 1816 ago - The for details for in present terms XXIII of the Register - as it is maintained at an annual expense of Rs 500 the misapprehension of the remainder of the proceed is a matter for the consideration of the local authorities - 15/3/65 WNK

To be resumed as the shetturam was abandoned some 30 years confirmed to the Chattram in 1816 ago - The for details for in present terms XXIII of the Register - as it is maintained at an annual expense of Rs 500 the misapprehension of the remainder of the proceed is a matter for the consideration of the local authorities - 15/3/65 WNK

Paramasaram godu No 701-16-
Sanyo Varayadu 125-145-94
Waste 20-7-23-96
Total 145-7-169-90
Sanyo Parakkam 400-90-11
Waste 74-6-68-94
Total 144-6-155-94
Grand Total 289-12-224-84
Selichatanallur
1. Labbaraya shore 14 1/2
2. Parakkam 14 1/2
3. Vithuram 14 1/2
4. Parakkam 14 1/2
5. Vithuram 14 1/2
6. Parakkam 14 1/2
7. Vithuram 14 1/2
8. Parakkam 14 1/2
9. Vithuram 14 1/2
10. Parakkam 14 1/2
11. Vithuram 14 1/2
12. Parakkam 14 1/2
13. Vithuram 14 1/2
14. Parakkam 14 1/2
15. Vithuram 14 1/2
16. Parakkam 14 1/2
17. Vithuram 14 1/2
18. Parakkam 14 1/2
19. Vithuram 14 1/2
20. Parakkam 14 1/2
21. Vithuram 14 1/2
22. Parakkam 14 1/2
23. Vithuram 14 1/2
24. Parakkam 14 1/2
25. Vithuram 14 1/2
26. Parakkam 14 1/2
27. Vithuram 14 1/2
28. Parakkam 14 1/2
29. Vithuram 14 1/2
30. Parakkam 14 1/2
31. Vithuram 14 1/2
32. Parakkam 14 1/2
33. Vithuram 14 1/2
34. Parakkam 14 1/2
35. Vithuram 14 1/2
36. Parakkam 14 1/2
37. Vithuram 14 1/2
38. Parakkam 14 1/2
39. Vithuram 14 1/2
40. Parakkam 14 1/2
41. Vithuram 14 1/2
42. Parakkam 14 1/2
43. Vithuram 14 1/2
44. Parakkam 14 1/2
45. Vithuram 14 1/2
46. Parakkam 14 1/2
47. Vithuram 14 1/2
48. Parakkam 14 1/2
49. Vithuram 14 1/2
50. Parakkam 14 1/2
51. Vithuram 14 1/2
52. Parakkam 14 1/2
53. Vithuram 14 1/2
54. Parakkam 14 1/2
55. Vithuram 14 1/2
56. Parakkam 14 1/2
57. Vithuram 14 1/2
58. Parakkam 14 1/2
59. Vithuram 14 1/2
60. Parakkam 14 1/2
61. Vithuram 14 1/2
62. Parakkam 14 1/2
63. Vithuram 14 1/2
64. Parakkam 14 1/2
65. Vithuram 14 1/2
66. Parakkam 14 1/2
67. Vithuram 14 1/2
68. Parakkam 14 1/2
69. Vithuram 14 1/2
70. Parakkam 14 1/2
71. Vithuram 14 1/2
72. Parakkam 14 1/2
73. Vithuram 14 1/2
74. Parakkam 14 1/2
75. Vithuram 14 1/2
76. Parakkam 14 1/2
77. Vithuram 14 1/2
78. Parakkam 14 1/2
79. Vithuram 14 1/2
80. Parakkam 14 1/2
81. Vithuram 14 1/2
82. Parakkam 14 1/2
83. Vithuram 14 1/2
84. Parakkam 14 1/2
85. Vithuram 14 1/2
86. Parakkam 14 1/2
87. Vithuram 14 1/2
88. Parakkam 14 1/2
89. Vithuram 14 1/2
90. Parakkam 14 1/2
91. Vithuram 14 1/2
92. Parakkam 14 1/2
93. Vithuram 14 1/2
94. Parakkam 14 1/2
95. Vithuram 14 1/2
96. Parakkam 14 1/2
97. Vithuram 14 1/2
98. Parakkam 14 1/2
99. Vithuram 14 1/2
100. Parakkam 14 1/2
101. Vithuram 14 1/2
102. Parakkam 14 1/2
103. Vithuram 14 1/2
104. Parakkam 14 1/2
105. Vithuram 14 1/2
106. Parakkam 14 1/2
107. Vithuram 14 1/2
108. Parakkam 14 1/2
109. Vithuram 14 1/2
110. Parakkam 14 1/2
111. Vithuram 14 1/2
112. Parakkam 14 1/2
113. Vithuram 14 1/2
114. Parakkam 14 1/2
115. Vithuram 14 1/2
116. Parakkam 14 1/2
117. Vithuram 14 1/2
118. Parakkam 14 1/2
119. Vithuram 14 1/2
120. Parakkam 14 1/2
121. Vithuram 14 1/2
122. Parakkam 14 1/2
123. Vithuram 14 1/2
124. Parakkam 14 1/2
125. Vithuram 14 1/2
126. Parakkam 14 1/2
127. Vithuram 14 1/2
128. Parakkam 14 1/2
129. Vithuram 14 1/2
130. Parakkam 14 1/2
131. Vithuram 14 1/2
132. Parakkam 14 1/2
133. Vithuram 14 1/2
134. Parakkam 14 1/2
135. Vithuram 14 1/2
136. Parakkam 14 1/2
137. Vithuram 14 1/2
138. Parakkam 14 1/2
139. Vithuram 14 1/2
140. Parakkam 14 1/2
141. Vithuram 14 1/2
142. Parakkam 14 1/2
143. Vithuram 14 1/2
144. Parakkam 14 1/2
145. Vithuram 14 1/2
146. Parakkam 14 1/2
147. Vithuram 14 1/2
148. Parakkam 14 1/2
149. Vithuram 14 1/2
150. Parakkam 14 1/2
151. Vithuram 14 1/2
152. Parakkam 14 1/2
153. Vithuram 14 1/2
154. Parakkam 14 1/2
155. Vithuram 14 1/2
156. Parakkam 14 1/2
157. Vithuram 14 1/2
158. Parakkam 14 1/2
159. Vithuram 14 1/2
160. Parakkam 14 1/2
161. Vithuram 14 1/2
162. Parakkam 14 1/2
163. Vithuram 14 1/2
164. Parakkam 14 1/2
165. Vithuram 14 1/2
166. Parakkam 14 1/2
167. Vithuram 14 1/2
168. Parakkam 14 1/2
169. Vithuram 14 1/2
170. Parakkam 14 1/2
171. Vithuram 14 1/2
172. Parakkam 14 1/2
173. Vithuram 14 1/2
174. Parakkam 14 1/2
175. Vithuram 14 1/2
176. Parakkam 14 1/2
177. Vithuram 14 1/2
178. Parakkam 14 1/2
179. Vithuram 14 1/2
180. Parakkam 14 1/2
181. Vithuram 14 1/2
182. Parakkam 14 1/2
183. Vithuram 14 1/2
184. Parakkam 14 1/2
185. Vithuram 14 1/2
186. Parakkam 14 1/2
187. Vithuram 14 1/2
188. Parakkam 14 1/2
189. Vithuram 14 1/2
190. Parakkam 14 1/2
191. Vithuram 14 1/2
192. Parakkam 14 1/2
193. Vithuram 14 1/2
194. Parakkam 14 1/2
195. Vithuram 14 1/2
196. Parakkam 14 1/2
197. Vithuram 14 1/2
198. Parakkam 14 1/2
199. Vithuram 14 1/2
200. Parakkam 14 1/2
201. Vithuram 14 1/2
202. Parakkam 14 1/2
203. Vithuram 14 1/2
204. Parakkam 14 1/2
205. Vithuram 14 1/2
206. Parakkam 14 1/2
207. Vithuram 14 1/2
208. Parakkam 14 1/2
209. Vithuram 14 1/2
210. Parakkam 14 1/2
211. Vithuram 14 1/2
212. Parakkam 14 1/2
213. Vithuram 14 1/2
214. Parakkam 14 1/2
215. Vithuram 14 1/2
216. Parakkam 14 1/2
217. Vithuram 14 1/2
218. Parakkam 14 1/2
219. Vithuram 14 1/2
220. Parakkam 14 1/2
221. Vithuram 14 1/2
222. Parakkam 14 1/2
223. Vithuram 14 1/2
224. Parakkam 14 1/2
225. Vithuram 14 1/2
226. Parakkam 14 1/2
227. Vithuram 14 1/2
228. Parakkam 14 1/2
229. Vithuram 14 1/2
230. Parakkam 14 1/2
231. Vithuram 14 1/2
232. Parakkam 14 1/2
233. Vithuram 14 1/2
234. Parakkam 14 1/2
235. Vithuram 14 1/2
236. Parakkam 14 1/2
237. Vithuram 14 1/2
238. Parakkam 14 1/2
239. Vithuram 14 1/2
240. Parakkam 14 1/2
241. Vithuram 14 1/2
242. Parakkam 14 1/2
243. Vithuram 14 1/2
244. Parakkam 14 1/2
245. Vithuram 14 1/2
246. Parakkam 14 1/2
247. Vithuram 14 1/2
248. Parakkam 14 1/2
249. Vithuram 14 1/2
250. Parakkam 14 1/2
251. Vithuram 14 1/2
252. Parakkam 14 1/2
253. Vithuram 14 1/2
254. Parakkam 14 1/2
255. Vithuram 14 1/2
256. Parakkam 14 1/2
257. Vithuram 14 1/2
258. Parakkam 14 1/2
259. Vithuram 14 1/2
260. Parakkam 14 1/2
261. Vithuram 14 1/2
262. Parakkam 14 1/2
263. Vithuram 14 1/2
264. Parakkam 14 1/2
265. Vithuram 14 1/2
266. Parakkam 14 1/2
267. Vithuram 14 1/2
268. Parakkam 14 1/2
269. Vithuram 14 1/2
270. Parakkam 14 1/2
271. Vithuram 14 1/2
272. Parakkam 14 1/2
273. Vithuram 14 1/2
274. Parakkam 14 1/2
275. Vithuram 14 1/2
276. Parakkam 14 1/2
277. Vithuram 14 1/2
278. Parakkam 14 1/2
279. Vithuram 14 1/2
280. Parakkam 14 1/2
281. Vithuram 14 1/2
282. Parakkam 14 1/2
283. Vithuram 14 1/2
284. Parakkam 14 1/2
285. Vithuram 14 1/2
286. Parakkam 14 1/2
287. Vithuram 14 1/2
288. Parakkam 14 1/2
289. Vithuram 14 1/2
290. Parakkam 14 1/2
291. Vithuram 14 1/2
292. Parakkam 14 1/2
293. Vithuram 14 1/2
294. Parakkam 14 1/2
295. Vithuram 14 1/2
296. Parakkam 14 1/2
297. Vithuram 14 1/2
298. Parakkam 14 1/2
299. Vithuram 14 1/2
300. Parakkam 14 1/2
301. Vithuram 14 1/2
302. Parakkam 14 1/2
303. Vithuram 14 1/2
304. Parakkam 14 1/2
305. Vithuram 14 1/2
306. Parakkam 14 1/2
307. Vithuram 14 1/2
308. Parakkam 14 1/2
309. Vithuram 14 1/2
310. Parakkam 14 1/2
311. Vithuram 14 1/2
312. Parakkam 14 1/2
313. Vithuram 14 1/2
314. Parakkam 14 1/2
315. Vithuram 14 1/2
316. Parakkam 14 1/2
317. Vithuram 14 1/2
318. Parakkam 14 1/2
319. Vithuram 14 1/2
320. Parakkam 14 1/2
321. Vithuram 14 1/2
322. Parakkam 14 1/2
323. Vithuram 14 1/2
324. Parakkam 14 1/2
325. Vithuram 14 1/2
326. Parakkam 14 1/2
327. Vithuram 14 1/2
328. Parakkam 14 1/2
329. Vithuram 14 1/2
330. Parakkam 14 1/2
331. Vithuram 14 1/2
332. Parakkam 14 1/2
333. Vithuram 14 1/2
334. Parakkam 14 1/2
335. Vithuram 14 1/2
336. Parakkam 14 1/2
337. Vithuram 14 1/2
338. Parakkam 14 1/2
339. Vithuram 14 1/2
340. Parakkam 14 1/2
341. Vithuram 14 1/2
342. Parakkam 14 1/2
343. Vithuram 14 1/2
344. Parakkam 14 1/2
345. Vithuram 14 1/2
346. Parakkam 14 1/2
347. Vithuram 14 1/2
348. Parakkam 14 1/2
349. Vithuram 14 1/2
350. Parakkam 14 1/2
351. Vithuram 14 1/2
352. Parakkam 14 1/2
353. Vithuram 14 1/2
354. Parakkam 14 1/2
355. Vithuram 14 1/2
356. Parakkam 14 1/2
357. Vithuram 14 1/2
358. Parakkam 14 1/2
359. Vithuram 14 1/2
360. Parakkam 14 1/2
361. Vithuram 14 1/2
362. Parakkam 14 1/2
363. Vithuram 14 1/2
364. Parakkam 14 1/2
365. Vithuram 14 1/2
366. Parakkam 14 1/2
367. Vithuram 14 1/2
368. Parakkam 14 1/2
369. Vithuram 14 1/2
370. Parakkam 14 1/2
371. Vithuram 14 1/2
372. Parakkam 14 1/2
373. Vithuram 14 1/2
374. Parakkam 14 1/2
375. Vithuram 14 1/2
376. Parakkam 14 1/2
377. Vithuram 14 1/2
378. Parakkam 14 1/2
379. Vithuram 14 1/2
380. Parakkam 14 1/2
381. Vithuram 14 1/2
382. Parakkam 14 1/2
383. Vithuram 14 1/2
384. Parakkam 14 1/2
385. Vithuram 14 1/2
386. Parakkam 14 1/2
387. Vithuram 14 1/2
388. Parakkam 14 1/2
389. Vithuram 14 1/2
390. Parakkam 14 1/2
391. Vithuram 14 1/2
392. Parakkam 14 1/2
393. Vithuram 14 1/2
394. Parakkam 14 1/2
395. Vithuram 14 1/2
396. Parakkam 14 1/2
397. Vithuram 14 1/2
398. Parakkam 14 1/2
399. Vithuram 14 1/2
400. Parakkam 14 1/2
401. Vithuram 14 1/2
402. Parakkam 14 1/2
403. Vithuram 14 1/2
404. Parakkam 14 1/2
405. Vithuram 14 1/2
406. Parakkam 14 1/2
407. Vithuram 14 1/2
408. Parakkam 14 1/2
409. Vithuram 14 1/2
410. Parakkam 14 1/2
411. Vithuram 14 1/2
412. Parakkam 14 1/2
413. Vithuram 14 1/2
414. Parakkam 14 1/2
415. Vithuram 14 1/2
416. Parakkam 14 1/2
417. Vithuram 14 1/2
418. Parakkam 14 1/2
419. Vithuram 14 1/2
420. Parakkam 14 1/2
421. Vithuram 14 1/2
422. Parakkam 14 1/2
423. Vithuram 14 1/2
424. Parakkam 14 1/2
425. Vithuram 14 1/2
426. Parakkam 14 1/2
427. Vithuram 14 1/2
428. Parakkam 14 1/2
429. Vithuram 14 1/2
430. Parakkam 14 1/2
431. Vithuram 14 1/2
432. Parakkam 14 1/2
433. Vithuram 14 1/2
434. Parakkam 14 1/2
435. Vithuram 14 1/2
436. Parakkam 14 1/2
437. Vithuram 14 1/2
438. Parakkam 14 1/2
439. Vithuram 14 1/2
440. Parakkam 14 1/2
441. Vithuram 14 1/2
442. Parakkam 14 1/2
443. Vithuram 14 1/2
444. Parakkam 14 1/2
445. Vithuram 14 1/2
446. Parakkam 14 1/2
447. Vithuram 14 1/2
448. Parakkam 14 1/2
449. Vithuram 14 1/2
450. Parakkam 14 1/2
451. Vithuram 14 1/2
452. Parakkam 14 1/2
453. Vithuram 14 1/2
454. Parakkam 14 1/2
455. Vithuram 14 1/2
456. Parakkam 14 1/2
457. Vithuram 14 1/2
458. Parakkam 14 1/2
459. Vithuram 14 1/2
460. Parakkam 14 1/2
461. Vithuram 14 1/2
462. Parakkam 14 1/2
463. Vithuram 14 1/2
464. Parakkam 14 1/2
465. Vithuram 14 1/2
466. Parakkam 14 1/2
467. Vithuram 14 1/2
468. Parakkam 14 1/2
469. Vithuram 14 1/2
470. Parakkam 14 1/2
471. Vithuram 14 1/2
472. Parakkam 14 1/2
473. Vithuram 14 1/2
474. Parakkam 14 1/2
475. Vithuram 14 1/2
476. Parakkam 14 1/2
477. Vithuram 14 1/2
478. Parakkam 14 1/2
479. Vithuram 14 1/2
480. Parakkam 14 1/2
481. Vithuram 14 1/2
482. Parakkam 14 1/2
483. Vithuram 14 1/2
484. Parakkam 14 1/2
485. Vithuram 14 1/2
486. Parakkam 14 1/2
487. Vithuram 14 1/2
488. Parakkam 14 1/2
489. Vithuram 14 1/2
490. Parakkam 14 1/2
491. Vithuram 14 1/2
492. Parakkam 14 1/2
493. Vithuram 14 1/2
494. Parakkam 14 1/2
495. Vithuram 14 1/2
496. Parakkam 14 1/2
497. Vithuram 14 1/2
498. Parakkam 14 1/2
499. Vithuram 14 1/2
500. Parakkam 14 1/2
501. Vithuram 14 1/2
502. Parakkam 14 1/2
503. Vithuram 14 1/2
504. Parakkam 14 1/2
505. Vithuram 14 1/2
506. Parakkam 14 1/2
507. Vithuram 14 1/2
508. Parakkam 14 1/2
509. Vithuram 14 1/2
510. Parakkam 14 1/2
511. Vithuram 14 1/2
512. Parakkam 14 1/2
513. Vithuram 14 1/2
514. Parakkam 14 1/2
515. Vithuram 14 1/2
516. Parakkam 14 1/2
517. Vithuram 14 1/2
518. Parakkam 14 1/2
519. Vithuram 14 1/2
520. Parakkam 14 1/2
521. Vithuram 14 1/2
522. Parakkam 14 1/2
523. Vithuram 14 1/2
524. Parakkam 14 1/2
525. Vithuram 14 1/2
526. Parakkam 14 1/2
527. Vithuram 14 1/2
528. Parakkam 14 1/2
529. Vithuram 14 1/2
530. Parakkam 14 1/2
531. Vithuram 14 1/2
532. Parakkam 14 1/2
533. Vithuram 14 1/2
534. Parakkam 14 1/2
535. Vithuram 14 1/2
536. Parakkam 14 1/2
537. Vithuram 14 1/2
538. Parakkam 14 1/2
539. Vithuram 14 1/2
540. Parakkam 14 1/2
541. Vithuram 14 1/2
542. Parakkam 14 1/2
543. Vithuram 14 1/2
544. Parakkam 14 1/2
545. Vithuram 14 1/2
546. Parakkam 14 1/2
547. Vithuram 14 1/2
548. Parakkam 14 1/2
549. Vithuram 14 1/2
550. Parakkam 14 1/2
551. Vithuram 14 1/2
552. Parakkam 14 1/2
553. Vithuram 14 1/2
554. Parakkam 14 1/2
555. Vithuram 14 1/2
556. Parakkam 14 1/2
557. Vithuram 14 1/2
558. Parakkam 14 1/2
559. Vithuram 14 1

Village as Darnassanum.
 III. No Pak war about the line or about the boundaries on the part of the Rangji Taluk.
 The boundaries of the Village correspond to those in the Account of 1726. Hence the boundaries
 are confirmed by the 1806 and 1895 facts situated within the said boundaries are registered here
 part of the Village which is brought under the settlement

[illegible]

Part of Tebattanellur Darnasamam continued.

II. The $\frac{1}{32}$ part of the Village included in the assets upon which the Permanent settlement was based is reckoned by the Mahazamams as one Vethy - in addition to 27 brought, on the Register - Pompey of Rupees 14,000 - is paid for $\frac{19}{32}$ part of the Darnasamam portion of the Village brought under the settlement & on the 27 Vethis composing $\frac{19}{32}$ part of the Darnasamam portion are divided - is paid for $\frac{1}{32}$ part of the Darnasamam portion of the Village excluded from the settlement - being open - In addition to this - a sum of 91-11 is paid for further portion - The details of which are given in the marginally noted statement entered in the 2nd & 3rd parts of the 1st & 2nd sheets - The lands were not exchanged during the last 40 years - of the 27 Vethis composing the $\frac{19}{32}$ part of the Village - 5 are large in size & the remaining 22 are small in size -

III. The persons N^o 1 to 13 of 1816 plead that they are descendants of the Ogl Grantee & that they are also - & failed to prove the same - They say that they cannot describe their relationship with the Ogl Grantee - Some of the persons who are marked down as aliases say that the persons N^o 1 to 13 of 1816 are not descendants of the Ogl Grantee - they are also aliases - In the part of the Country, the Mahazamams style themselves as descendants of the Ogl Grantee when they lose their bills & sales & -

IV. The persons N^o 14-15 of 1816 & the persons N^o 17-18-22-23-24 of 1816 purchased $\frac{1}{16}$ Vethis partly in their days & partly in the days of their ancestors - in A.D. 1790 - 1798-1799-1835-1850-1851-1855-1856-1859 - for Rupees 1017 - from one Rajanna Ramijer, Parameswariyer, Keppayyer, Subbaramayar & a Brahmin - Three new payyenger, Paruvayyer, Gomatay Annimal, & from Ten bills are on plain paper leaves - & 3 bills are on stamped paper leaves -

The persons N^o 19-20 & 21 of 1816 say that they don't know how the lands came to them & that they are enjoying them since long & that they have no documents - The person N^o 23 of 1816 is enjoying $\frac{1-10}{16}$ Vethis without documents - He says that his father purchased these lands some half century ago - In proof of his long enjoyment of this $\frac{1-10}{16}$ Vethis, he produced his "Paramat" account dated so far back as 40 years - The genuineness of this account is admitted by all the Mahazamams - This Paramat shows that his father purchased long ago $\frac{1-10}{16}$ Vethis - The remaining $\frac{1}{16}$ Vethy was purchased in A.D. 1835 by the father of the person N^o 23 of 1816 - The person N^o 24 of 1816 is enjoying $\frac{5}{16}$ Vethy without documents - The father of the person N^o 24 of 1816 says that his father purchased the $\frac{5}{16}$ Vethy long ago from one of the shareholders in the Village & that bill of sale was lost - The Mahazamams establish the right of the person N^o 24 of 1816 to the $\frac{5}{16}$ Vethy -

V. The father & son of the person N^o 1 of 1816 & the father purchased in A.D. 1809-1812-1813 76-74 78-75-1819 & 1820 - $\frac{8-1}{16}$ Vethis for Rupees 1800 from one Person a Brahmin, & others - Two bills are on plain stamped paper leaves - & 4 are on plain paper leaves - Transaction proved & he bought & enjoyed - Half of these $\frac{8-1}{16}$ Vethis fell to the person N^o 2 of 1816 long ago being a Cousin of the Ogl purchaser - The person N^o 10 of 1816 purchased $\frac{1}{16}$ Vethy in the name of his father in A.D. 1817 - $\frac{3-1}{16}$ Vethy for Rupees 23 from one Parameswariyer - Bill of sale is on a plain paper leaf - Transaction is admitted by the Mahazamams - Enjoyed proved - The father in law of the person N^o 10 of 1816 pleads that the remaining $\frac{1}{16}$ Vethis which he purchased in A.D. 1817 is his patrimony & is his patrimony - No proof to this - The person N^o 11 of 1816 - never appeared in person or by Valuel - The Mahazamams say that $\frac{1}{16}$ Vethy is his patrimony & the remaining $\frac{1}{16}$ Vethy was acquired by purchase - The person N^o 11 of 1816 is now dead - belong to the estate of the Ogl Grantee - Hence the acquisition of the person N^o 11 of 1816 would be no Patrimony - The Mahazamams give the name of the Patrimony to the property which their ancestors acquired from the Grantor -

In the Village $\frac{9-15}{16}$ Vethis are enjoyed without bills of sale or evidence of title - name of (Patrimony) is that acquired at the time of the foundation of the Darnasamam & 2 Vethis & $\frac{1-14}{16}$ of a Vethy as a portion of purchased property, having no documents -

IX. There is a serious talk between Parat Annimal alias Parwat Annimal & one Gopalakrishna, the one of the younger sons of the person N^o 1 of 1816 - The person N^o 1 of 1816 said Gopalakrishna & his son & younger brother & his father pleaded that he was adopted on the 10 June 1855 by the aforesaid Parat Annimal alias - Parwat Annimal, & that she executed an agreement on a plain paper leaf - to his father transferring all her property to him - & that he is now enjoying the same - 29-2 part of a Vethy, which she possessed in this Village, since the date of the adoption & that the agreement said to have been executed by the Parat Annimal - is in Madurai - (This need not be)

XIII---The Plaintiff, the person N^o 1 of 16, on behalf of his son, & the Defendant Parvattammal alias Parvattammal produced the following documents in support of their claim: The Defendant ~~N^o 2 of 16~~ is numbered 2 of 16--

Documents produced by Plaintiff (person N^o 1 of 16)

XIV- A. An authenticated Copy of the Decree on suit N^o 497 of 1802 on the file of the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy - which shows that the Defendant, her daughter & her son-in-law, sued ~~the person N^o 1 of 16~~ for the recovery of ~~the person N^o 1 of 16~~ two Vethies & some other lands in the Village belonging to them which is said to have been usurped by the said person, N^o 1 of 16 & others - & that the Court dismissed the suit - no reason is assigned in the Decree for the dismissal of the suit -

Revised 222
Sheet No 908

B--- Appeal decision of the Principal Sudder Ameen Court of Madras on suit N^o 171 of 1833 - This shows that one Velly Yemmal ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} sued the Defendant ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} Parvattammal, her Daughter, her son-in-law & the Pff. N^o 1 of 16, for the recovery of certain lands alleged to have been mortgaged by the Defendant Parvattammal & that ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} Velly Yemmal & her son-in-law - in AD 1838. Over late Husband, by reason that the said lands ~~have~~ been improperly usurped by the person N^o 1 of 16, & that the Moonsiff passed a decree in favour of the Plaintiff Velly Yemmal; that upon this, the person N^o 1 of 16 preferred an appeal against the Moonsiff's Decree to the Principal Sudder Ameen Court; that the said Court reversed the decree of the lower Court by reason "that the suit is a collusive one between the Pff (Velly Yemmal) & assuming Defendant (Parvattammal alias Parvattammal &c) in order to prejudice the interests of a real or pretended adopted son & that the mortgage is "relies on by the Plaintiff in fact."

Documents produced by the Defendant Parvattammal alias Parvattammal (N^o 2 of 16) & by her son-in-law -

C. A Will executed by Parvattammal (N^o 2 of 16) to her Daughter on the 8 July 1850 ruling that all her property should revert to her Daughter ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} after her death. This document is on two stamped paper leaves. This was registered on the 8 August 1860 in the Subordinate Court of Madras ten years after its execution -

D. A receipt said to have been executed by a Shrinia Lawmy Dey ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} to 2 sons of the person N^o 1 of 16 - to Parvattammal alias Parvattammal (N^o 2 of 16) - for his having paid to him a sum of Rupees 2 ~~one~~ in part payment of her Pongu for 4/266. This receipt is dated 26 April /55 - The person N^o 1 of 16 & his sons - pleaded that this is a forged one - The person N^o 1 of 16 ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} advises his sons to look to the receipt. Carefully, but they could not bear his word nor allow him to say so further.

E. An agreement of the division of property passed between the ~~son~~ late son of Parvattammal (N^o 2 of 16) & the father of the person N^o 1 of 16 - in 1830 - This shows that the family property was equally divided between the son of Parvattammal & the father of the person N^o 1 of 16 - The person N^o 1 of 16 admits the genuineness of this document - This document is on 3 plain paper leaves -

F. List of property ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} held in possession of the son of the Widow N^o 2 of 16 in AD 1830 in making the division of property. This is on a plain paper leaf - The person N^o 1 of 16 admits the genuineness of the document & the validity of the transaction -

x dated 18 December 1863

G. An unauthenticated copy of an appeal Decree on suit N^o 298 of 1863 - on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen Court of Madras - From this it appears that the Widow N^o 2 of 16, her son-in-law & her Daughter ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} sued ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} originally in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy for the recovery of a sum of 60 Rupees, being the value of certain quantity of grain said to have been robbed from their house by the said person N^o 1 of 16 & others, but the Moonsiff Court dismissed the case & directed the person N^o 1 of 16 to bring a fresh suit to establish the alleged adoption of his sons - & refused to ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} add the names of his sons to the names of the Defendant in the suit - & to in appeal the Principal Sudder Ameen Court awarded the sum of 60 Rupees to the Widow N^o 2 of 16 - by reason that it is proved that the person N^o 1 of 16 took the grain ^{old in the Moonsiff Court of Paramangudy} improperly which was in possession of the person N^o 1 of 16.

That the Pl. Sudder Amiens Court directed the person N^o 1 of 16 to institute a fresh suit to establish the legality of the alleged adoption of his son;
Again it appears from the Decree that the Pl. Sudder Amiens Court received its opinion that the decree is applicable only to the person N^o 2 of 16 & the Widow N^o 2 of 16.

H & I Two bills of sales dated 1860 passed by the Widow N^o 2 of 16, by her son-in-law & Daughter selling 6 Thakur ³² of a village in the Village of
the person N^o 2 of 16 & Pura Subbramanaya Pillai. These two bills of sales are on stamped paper leaves & they were registered at the Subordinate Court on the 18 August 1861.

XV. From the above it is evident that the Widow N^o 2 of 16 & the person N^o 1 of 16 who are members of one family - became divided members in A.D. 1830 & that -
- the division took place between the father of the person N^o 1 of 16 & the late son of the person N^o 2 of 16. Thus all connection is ceased in A.D. 1835 between the
- person N^o 1 & the Widow N^o 2 of 16. The person N^o 1 of 16 admits that the genuineness of the division of property marked E & the validity of that transaction.
- With regard to the legality & ^{the illegality of the} adoption, either the Mousaffi Court or Principal Sudder Amiens Court of Madras gave an opinion in their Decree -
- above alluded to. Hence the task of recording here, whether the adoption is valid or invalid ^{is} devolved upon the officers of the Grant Commission - for the settlement of this
- Village - The person N^o 1 of 16 has 5 sons - He says the Widow N^o 2 of 16 adopted his son fourth son named Gopala Krishna - aged 18 years on the 18th June 1855 -
- that "Datta Homam" was performed on the occasion of the adoption, & that the "Vupagarman" of the adopted boy was performed by the Widow N^o 2 of 16 through
- his eldest son & his wife - The Widow N^o 2 of 16 her son-in-law deny every knowledge of the transaction - The person N^o 1 of 16 was not straight forward in his naming
- Writings to prove the alleged transaction of the adoption - ^{He} ~~He~~ ^{over} he proved by some witnesses that the adoption did take place, the Widow N^o 2 of 16 proved -
- that the adoption did not take place - The person N^o 1 of 16 admits that the alleged adopted son is not living with his adoptive father & that he performed his marriage -
- as the Widow N^o 2 of 16 never let the boy (adopted boy) The person N^o 1 of 16 says that the Widow N^o 2 of 16 executed a deed on the occasion of the ^{alleged} adoption
- over a plain paper leaf transferring all her property to the alleged adopted boy & that the document was kept by him ^{43 years} ago at Madras with one
- his relations who is now at Madras now - The person N^o 1 of 16 is an influential person. He has always with him a bundle of papers and documents - I see no
- reason or necessity for his leaving this single document at Madras with his one of his relations while he is going ^{to} since the task for 6 years regarding the
- adoption & while it is an important document bearing upon the point of the disputed adoption - He never produced the document in any of the Courts in which
- the above suits were instituted. He seems to have mentioned in his Complaints & Replies, as the occasion may be, that the Widow N^o 2 of 16 did execute the agreement -
- From this it is evident that he is endeavouring to forge an agreement to the effect that the Widow N^o 2 of 16 transferred all her property to her son - Gopala Krishna -
- I am obliged to think from the circumstances that came before me that the Widow N^o 2 of 16 never adopted the son of the person N^o 1 of 16 - She is 67 years old - She
- has Daughter, son-in-law, Grand Daughter & sons of Grand Daughter. There was no necessity for the Widow N^o 2 of 16 to adopt the boy through the
- sons of Grand Daughter borne after subsequent to the alleged date of the alleged adoption - I don't think that the ^{son of the person N^o 2 of 16} Daughter of ^{her} son-in-law, ^{or} Grand
- Daughter who were ^{at} living & are living with her, would ^{have} allowed to adopt the son of ^{her} ^{divided} cousin - There is no possibility to think that
- the Widow N^o 2 of 16 would adopt the boy as to infringe the interests of her Daughter, son-in-law & Grand Daughter - If she dies, her son-in-law is the person
- who will perform her funeral rites - It is not necessary or lawful to perform "Datta Homam" when a Widow adopts a boy as long after the demise of her
- Husband - Hence the argument of the person N^o 1 that "Datta Homam" was performed is quite false & unworthy of notice - The truth of the dispute is the
- as appears from several sources - The Widow N^o 2 of 16 having ^{endeavouring} to dispose of her property, the person N^o 1 of 16 as usual came forward to take possession
- of his sons & that he did all this on the ill advice of the ill fed Vakeels of the Mousaffi Court -
- (The court will see)

XV. Under the above circumstances I arrive at the conclusion that the adoption did not take place ~~and~~ & refuse to register the name of the alleged adopted boy Gopalakrishna & refer the person of 1/2 to a civil court. Register the property of the widow of 2/2 of 16 in her sole name.

XVII Again the document C, which is a will kept passed by the Widow H^c 27.06 to the effect that after her demise she was disposing
- son-in-law ~~clearly~~ clearly proves that the adoption never took place at all. The Will is dated 1850 & the date of the alleged adoption is the 10 January 55. The Will is on two
- changed paper leaves. The endorsement on back of them shows that they were purchased on 6 July 850 by Sarah Cannon, Widow H^c 27.06, to execute a Will -
- daughter of her son-in-law after her death.

- The M^o is dated 8 July 1850 - It is true that the will shows that the property of the Whinn N^o 2 of 1860 was to revert to her & to her daughter & son in law & not to any others -

- This means I think, that when the Widow of 2 of 18 wishes to transfer her property ~~to~~ should transfer to her daughter & son in law.

The genuineness of the Will is proved - This Will ^{was} ^{not} registered in 1860 - It seems that the document was registered on the advice of some lawyer. A Will is not valid when it is contrary to law. If in conformity therewith it is unnecessary. After the death of the son Nov 27. 66, the law of inheritance once at once asserts itself. I am, Sir, your obedient servant, J. P. [Signature]

dispower - Hence the Will is not necessary to transfer the property of the Widow No 2 of 06 when Daughter or Son in Law
adopted son here. The person No 1 of 06 says that he never reported of the transaction of the alleged adoption to the local Authorities -

XVIII----- The person N^o 1 of 06 says that he never reported of the transaction of the village
the Widow N^o 2 of 06 had in her possession 6 Vellies & $\frac{13}{32}$ part of a Velly, viz in mortgage with others, 4 Vellies & $\frac{13}{32}$ part of a Velly, & she was under her direct management & possession the remaining 2 Vellies; that he redeemed from the mortgage about 4 or 5 years ago, 3 Vellies out of 4- $\frac{13}{32}$ part of Vellies, upon the sanction & advice of the Widow N^o 2 of 06; that the remaining 1- $\frac{13}{32}$ part of Vellies are still in mortgage since the last 50 years; that he mortgaged about 3 years ago 2 Vellies to his own son-in-law & 3 Vellies are in his possession for the alleged adopted son of the Widow N^o 2 of 06 - The Widow N^o 2 of 06 & her son-in-law plead that the Widow N^o 2 of 06 had 6 Vellies & $\frac{13}{32}$ part of a Velly in the Village; that they were sold in A.D. 1800 to the person N^o 24 of 06 & to one Shara Subramanija Pillai; that of these 6 Vellies & $\frac{13}{32}$ part of a Velly one Velly is now under mortgage with one Rammed Pillai under a deed of mortgage executed by the Widow N^o 2 of 06; that 2 Vellies are under mortgage with one Paramasamy Pattabhaty Selly since long; that 17 parts of a Velly is under mortgage with one Abdul Karimulla Selly of Paramasamy since the last 20 years under a deed of mortgage passed by the Widow N^o 2 of 06; that 14 parts of a Velly was mortgaged by the son of the Widow N^o 2 of 06 in A.D. 1830 to one Venkatasubramanian, whose son mortgaged it in A.D. 1852 to the person N^o 24 of 06 - & that the remaining two Vellies were illegally usurped about 3 years ago by the person N^o 1 of 06 & that they therefore nothing of the 3 Vellies said to have been redeemed from the mortgage by the person N^o 1 of 06 - & two Vellies said to have been mortgaged to his (person N^o 1 of 06) son-in-law at his own accord & that the remaining two Vellies are with him & that he

It is proved that the person N. 1 of 16 ^{1/2} ~~redemptions~~ ^{redemptions} redeemed 3 = Virtues from the mortgage - at known acc'd & that the remaining 13 = Virtues are in mortgage with mortgagor -

says that the two Warrants have been mortgaged to his son-in-law - Now it is one said that 1 Warrant ³² & that these 1 Warrant ³² & part of a Warrant ³² were ^{all} mortgaged by the late son of the poor Widow N^o 2 of 16 & by the Widow N^o 2 herself -

The Wagon N^o 2 of 1866 should proceed by instituting a suit in civil form, 5 Dollars where the person of the
of the alleged arson - The Principal Sudder Amiens Court awarded, in Appeal suit N^o 298 of 1863 on the 18 December 1862, - 60 Rupees, for the
quantity of grain not released by the person N^o 2 of 1866 from the house of the Wagon N^o 2 of 1866 - This decree is numbered G, above -
(This must enter)

XIX The person No 1 of 16 however admits that he has not any regard to the girl further in his possession & that they belong to the alleged adopted son of the Baron d'Alb -

XX. With regard to the placing of the person N° 24 of 016 & another person named Tura Subramanyam a Pillai to 6 $\frac{11}{32}$ parts of a Vethy purchased by them from the Widow N° 2 of 016, from her Son-in-law & from her Daughter in A.D. 1860 for Rupees 1278-13-0, it is proved that the Widow N° 2 of 016, her Son-in-law & her Daughter did sell the lands to the place named in A.D. 1860 for a sum of 500 Rupees was paid to the sellers & that the remaining sum of 778-13-0 is in deposit with one Pongy Tura, the head inhabitant of the Village - pending the transfer of the lands to them (purchasers) these bills of sales, ^{as true}, are on stamped paper leaves, & they were registered in the Subordinate Court on the 18 August 1860 - on account of the transaction of the sale. But the entire or a portion of the purchased lands ~~have~~ have been transferred to the purchasers, under the tenure of the Bills of sales -

- Velasawmiah Pillai, Talukdar of the person N° 24 of 016, one of the purchasers, pleads that $\frac{14}{16}$ of a Vethy out of the lands purchased is in his possession of the purchasers - & admits himself that the said $\frac{14}{16}$ Vethy was mortgaged to the person N° 24 in A.D. 1832 by Appasawmy Egen & Vengay Egen, sons of one Venkataswami Ninnagan to whom the said $\frac{14}{16}$ Vethy was mortgaged previously in A.D. 1830 by Puthaluy Ramayya, the late son of the Widow N° 2 of 016 - & that the mortgage bond was not as yet extinguished - nor the transaction of the mortgage was annulled - the deed of the mortgage was is produced in the office for the Talukdar of the person N° 24 of 016 - As the purchasers are not in possession of the ~~entire~~ entire or portion of the purchased lands up to this day under the tenure of the Bills of sales & that the $\frac{14}{16}$ Vethy which is under mortgage with the person N° 24 of 016 will have to be transferred to the mortgagers Appasawmy Egen & Vengay Egen by the person N° 24 as soon as they clear his debt, & as little to property is not valid unless there have been possession, in part at least, under it, I refuse to register the purchased property in the name of the purchasers & refer the purchasers to civil court -

887. The person N^o 10 of '16 claims one small thirty from the person N^o 1 of '16 & 2 of '16 in equal shares - by reason that his late father mortgaged the lands about 40 years ago to Isaac May Esq. - father of the person N^o 1 of '16, who ^{was with} is the son of the elder Brother of the Father in law of the Widow N^o 2 of '16 - when the family was an undivided one - The person N^o 1 of '16 & the Widow N^o 2 of '16 & her son in law consent to the transaction of the mortgage & request me to transfer one thirty to the person N^o 10 of '16 - and $\frac{1}{2}$ thirty from the Widow N^o 2 of '16 & half thirty from the person N^o 1 of '16. The Widow N^o 2 of '16 & her son in law says that they sold 6 thirties & $\frac{11}{32}$ part of a thirty including the half thirty - improperly & that they will convert the half thirty ^{into} out of the lands of the person N^o 23 of '16 - The person N^o 23 of '16 is the son in law of the Widow N^o 2 of '16 - Hence the 6 thirties & $\frac{13}{32}$ part of a thirty first mentioned opposite to the Widow N^o 2 of '16 are reduced to 5 thirties & $\frac{29}{32}$ part of a thirty -

Valuation of the 19th part of the Darnas and portion of the Village

XXII - ... Wet lands depend upon one large Tank. It is in repair - It is fed by one of the branch channels of Vaigayan - an influential river in the District - The Tank is filled annually - Scarcity of Water is not felt in any season - because the river receives its freshest flows one way or other - The Mubazam says that 146 acres of Wet & 90 acres of Dry are cultivated annually in full in the Dammamam portion of 19 ³² of the Village & the 23 ³² acres of 90 acres of Wet & 67 acres of Dry flowered as waste are sheltered & that these 11 attached ~~houses~~ may be brought under plough ~~with~~ annually within the next 5 or 6 years - by improving the Tank & some of the Wet lands are fallowed annually 2nd time - $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{1}{5}$ of the Wet land ^{is} and the 1st fallowed 2nd time on an average - Rajah lands are of a superior quality generally like Pargah lands - The soil contains, Kaol & alluvial ingredients - This Village is on the banks of Vaigayan - The contiguous Agri Villages are very important ones & the soil is fertile - I have no materials to enter here the average Wet assessment of the Contiguous Agri Villages of Rammedgemam as the Raj's Manager said that they never preserved the detailed ~~of~~ annual accounts save those of the Talegwar - Two or 3 acres of Dry are said to be fallowed with garden Crops - under the River - $\frac{1}{2}$ acre or $\frac{3}{4}$ acre of Wet is fallowed with Potat. gardens - On the whole the soil of this Village is fertile & the sources of irrigation upon which the wet lands depend are influential ones - The river supplies water in hot seasons ^{it also in} for the crops - raised in Wet lands - (This must read)

The average income of this village as per statement of the Mahajamams for 19 years of the Dammasaram portion of the Village is 398 Rupees per annum This is not true as usual.
There is a Dammasaram Village named Katchathannellur just opposite to the Village under settled, on the other side of the river - Katchathannellur belongs to the
Jeminsamy of Perungalai while the Village under settled belongs to Ramnad - The Mahajamams of this Village held shares also in Katchathannellur -
The contiguous Open Villages of Katchathannellur are one Mettur, Madanassi & Poduvakudy - The average hblt-assessment of these Villages calculated for a period
of 15 years previous to 1720 comes to 5728, 877 & 561 respectively - The average of these 3 Villages comes to 66-8 per acre - The average Puzhal rates for the
Taluk of Ramnad Jeminsamy in which the Village under settled is situated comes to 9-8 per acre - This is very low - Compared with the fertility of soil &
for consideration of the fertility of soil &c I frame the valuation of the Village as follows - The Dry & Wet soil of this Village, the Mahajamams may be placed
on par with that of the Contiguous Open Villages of Katchathannellur -

Total assessment of the Village = 969

The Akhazians gave a receipt
that they will relinquish to Genl.
voluntarily such lands as they
maybe found over & above
the area now brought on the
Register for the 19th I paid
the College of St. Sarnasman
for the same - 1000

Wet- 146 ^{Acres} cultivated once annually & partly 2 times at $6\frac{1}{2}$ ^{ms} per acre being very good lands. 949
 84
 Wet- 24 ^{Acres} all cultivated here at $3\frac{1}{2}$ ^{ms} per acre
 Total 1033-0-0.

Dry 100 ^{acres} full woods at 40 ^{acres} per acre - being the average of the ^{land} ⁱⁿ ^{which} the } 58-4-0
 Village is situated _____ }
 21-6-0

Dry 57 from all attacks in at 6 days per ann —————
 Total 77-10-0.

Grand Total - 1110-10-0

Ramond
10664

J. S. Linschman Revd

XXIII With regard to the Chest room of Sami Nadra Monckton, ^{the} ~~to which~~ in whose name 6/16 of the Village is held by the Raza of Ramnad - as trustee - ~~it~~ it appears that men ~~in~~ were fed in this Chest room up to the Early 1260; that the charity was discontinued ever since in contravention of the object of the grant; - that a "Sammis Pondal" was kept in the Chest room at an ^{estimated} ~~estimated~~ expense of 9 Rupees during 6 months in a year after A.D. 1861 in addition to the ~~usual~~ wages of sweepers, ~~for~~ at 16 Rupees per annum; that since A.D. 1862 Sammis Pondal is kept at an ^{estimated} ~~estimated~~ expense for 6 months in a year at an aggregate cost of 6 1/2 Rupees - & in dressing servants wages &c, & that no other ^{part} ~~of~~ a charity or expenditure is made from the funds of the Chest room - It also appears that the Chest room building is not in repair - the Ryotwari of the Village is placed with the for the personal of the Revenue Officers -

The Putturam is in the important & populous Town of Paramagudi - in Ramnad Zamindari -
 One Nagalingam Pillai of flaming to the "Black and ship" of the Putturam by reason that his Great Grand Father whose name was Parimadha Moonda was -
 established his hospit at Paramagudi & allotted $\frac{5}{16}$ of this Village to the maintenance of the same after purchasing it from the Madaymans, but ^{his} Parimadha was -
 debarred from the management of the Putturam about 70 years ago when several men were massacred there, & that he will ^{not} conduct the Charity without
 the assistance of the British Government if the management of the Institution with the emoluments there is made over to him - He says that the Charity was discontinued for
 10 years ago.

