

புத்தேரண்டி

N. G.
 D.
 Register 33
 Sheet No 33

A photograph of a piece of aged, yellowed paper. The paper shows signs of wear, including creases and discoloration. There are several dark ink marks on the paper: a large, stylized scribble in the upper right, a smaller mark in the center, and a signature-like mark in the lower right. The handwriting is mostly illegible due to fading and the age of the document.

This is one of the Darmasaram Villages situated within the limits of the Pannichery of Rammed. It was granted by the Government of 1811 to the person of 183 for subsistence - This is a hereditary grant. It is in uninterrupted possession of its holders since the date of the grant. The last Patra or copper plate produced now by the person of 186. The Village is again supported by the accounts of 1812. On the whole this is a valid grant. In the account of 1812 copy of which is forwarded to the Board in the beginning of the same year it is said that the Patra of this Village was not registered - It is mentioned in the copper plate Patra made before - Hence I doubt the genuineness of the Patra - On the whole the Village is supported by the accounts of 1811 - The person of 186 pleads that originally 9 Villages were granted for their subsistence & for the support of a Matam & for the support of water found in making them 15 Villages. In proof of which they produce the copper plate Patra in which the same details are given. Again they say that though the Village was ^{at} containing 15 Villages originally they were reduced to 9 Villages a further ago & that they are performing the charities of Matam & Water Pondal & Water Pandal. They again request leave for the entire Village upon them as Darmasaram as they are performing the charities of Matam & Water Pondal & Water Pandal. The Amisary of Rammed complained that the person of 186 was ^{not} misappropriating the proceeds of the 6 Villages of lands granted originally to Matam & the Water Pondal & that they were vitiated thereby the object of the grant of the 6 Villages. Again the complainant states that one of his relations who presented a complaint of the subject in question, the Mahajans of the Village agreed to venture with an agreement before the new Amisary or Amisary of Rammed for him to fulfill the object of the grant. They say that they rescinded this agreement from ignorance. The Amisary does not know the tenure of the Village & he just entered the agreement thinking that it is preferable to have a Water Pondal & Water Pandal in the Village. Again the Amisary was not a competent authority to interfere into the title of the Grant - Hence the agreement is null & void.

[illegible]

Exhibe confirmacionem Pale
II 13 de junio 1587
Pale VII 5 de set 23
1016 -
Emilio Village
Pompa in
Loco 1879-10

20/8-40 - Fort. Papeu

Whomsoever Po. de
Pompa in
30-563 3


Item 110
apud camp
L. H. 40 Kupen
17 Dec. 63
24 100

Ha 4-6-1

Details of average income of the last 10 years of the year 1900 on wet
land in the Saline of
Romanas

Wassman
No 2
1-2-2

Wassman
No 2
a 1-3

Person No 23 of 1816 purchased some of the land in 1815 from one Annet Ammal a stone house in the Village for Paper 175 Ball of rubi stamp for 1000
Person No 9 of 1816 - his Grand Father ~~the~~ ^{the} purchased some of the land in 1828-1834 & 1862 for Paper 30814-8 from one of the Barabodians the Affair was on the Ball of value on
the paper, another stamp for 1000 paper & the 3d is on plain paper Transaction are Non vide - Enjoyned proved -
The person No 23 of 1816 occupies the land on which opposite his name  a gift 40 years ago from the Grand Father of the person No 1 of 1816. No Dec of gift - Transaction is
admitted by the person No 1 of 1816 - Enjoyned proved -

From the statement inserted in the preceding sheet, it would appear that the farm on which there was at 200 ^{acres} of land, the aggregate outturn comes to 100 ^{acres} of seed land - This is very low. The 100 ^{acres} of land are annually cultivated. They will produce the value of 200 ^{acres} of land at the average price of the 15 years up to 37 ^{cents} per ^{acre} of seed land comes to 7000 ^{cents} or 70 ^{cents} per ^{acre} of seed land on 100 ^{acres} of land at 7 ^{cents} per ^{acre} of seed land (including other crops) is 100 ^{cents} - This makes the total sum of 7000 ^{cents} or 70 ^{cents} per ^{acre} of seed land. The 218 ^{acres} are annually cultivated & the value of the lowest of 10 ^{cents} per ^{acre}, the value of the lowest of 10 ^{cents} per ^{acre} comes to 54 ^{cents} per ^{acre}. Thus the aggregate income is 8018 ^{cents} - I recommend to value the land at 2 ^{cents} per ^{acre} of seed land through the average price of the last 15 years are 37 ^{cents} per ^{acre} of seed land. This reduces the value to 500 ^{cents} per ^{acre} - I would be paid for this calculation - It is made the above calculation on a very liberal scale with a view to give no room for complaint. I support the valuation on the extent of land as follows -

(Callaway) Day-12
(Burger) Day-19

388
 918
 26
 1158.0

Grand Total - 503.8-0

Ranunculus

Register No. 33
Sheet No. 34