

Register No 720
 Sheet No 858

This is one of the villages in the zamindari of Ramarud zamindari. The Taluk of the Trustee says that half of the Village was granted for the support of the Temple of the Raima Nisha Swamy & Parvata Vandhana Amman in Ramarud zamindari. The Rang's Taluk pleads that the entire Village is given & that it should be treated as such. He brings the account of 1811 as a proof to his argument. In the account of 1811 the Village is entered as given. In the Tamil Account of A.D. 1815 half of the Village is entered as an endowment made to the Temple in question. In the Account of A.D. 1815 (English) the Village is altogether omitted. In the Sanskrit Account of July 1843 which is on the Collector's Record, the entire Village is entered as Devasthanam. The Trustee has no Patta. This has no Pongu. As the Village is not entered either in the account of 1811 or in the English Account of A.D. 1815 & as the Village has no Patta, I think that in this is a Village is not deducted from the assets upon which the Permanent Settlement was based & treat it as such subject to the confirmation of the Revising Officers. No Talk about the area or about the boundaries on the part of the Rang's Taluk. The boundaries were inserted in the Vernacular statement correspond to those in the account of 1843. The average income of half of the Village which is in possession of the Devasthanam 188 Rupees per annum

As per acct of 1843.

Panga (Tali) - 22 1/2 169-50
 Deduct Panga 17 1/2 8-61
 Remainder - 160-89
 Deduct 1/2 of the Village Angan
 Panga (Tali) - 10-8-1 20-95
 Remainder
 1/2 of the Village Devasthanam
 Panga (Tali) - 9-6-1 71-71
 Party waste (Co) - 1-2-1 8-73
 Total - 10-8-1 50-64

For the Free Port	The name of grantor and the date is unknown	Acct of 1811	1815	1843	188
support of Pagoda of Raima Nishan and Paravata Vandhana Amman in Ramarud zamindari.	Marataga and landi	1811	1815	1843	188
	English	1811	1815	1843	188
	Now.	1811	1815	1843	188

Handwritten notes and signatures.

As per acct of the Village of the Village

188
 169-50
 In Panga

This is not deducted from the list to be brought assets upon which the Permanent Settlement was based. Hence this is a settlement not registered here though the Trustee pleads that it is a Village deducted from the Permanent Settlement.

Handwritten signature and date 26/1/4.

A Memo of 1888 from the manager of the Trustee requesting that the Village with not be settled by the Dept.

12/6/86

Handwritten signature.