...ster of Dharmasanam Village of Panichagudi in Varar Taluq of Ramnad Zemindary Estate in Madura District

D.1

Panichagudi

No 1 is enjoying .. 59/64
No 2 — do " 59/64
No 3 & 4 — do — 3 11/16
No 5 to 9 — do — 1 27/32
7 6/16 Vallies

Register No 465
Sheet No 572

I This is one of the Villages in the Zemindary of Ramnadpuram — 3/16 of the Village was granted — to the persons of col 13 for subsistence by the Zemindar of col 11 — This is a hereditary grant. There is uninterrupted possession of its holders since the date of the grant — The Sunnad Potta [illegible] it was lost. The Dharmasanam portion is supported by the accounts of col 12 — The remaining 13/16 of the Village is Ayen — The entire Village was Dharmasanam originally But 13/16 of which was resumed & incorporated with the Govt lands by the Zemindar previous to the Permanent Settlement. The course of [illegible] The Dharmasanam portion consists of 7 Vallies & 6/16 of a Vally — they are enjoyed as shewn in the margin. The lands are undivided. Possession is paid on the number of Vallies equally — The Dharmasanam portion of the Village is distinct from the Ayen lands — The Dharmasanam portion consists of 69½ acres of arable lands — The Mahajanams say that they have no more lands than 69½ Acres — Of course the Mahajanams have 3/16 of right in Poramboke lands — The 501 Acres entered as the area of the Village is within the proper limits of the Village — No [illegible] about the area nor about the boundaries of the entire Village or Dharmasanam portion on the part of [illegible] — The excess also is situated within the proper limits of the Village — Hence the area of 501 Acres is confirmed here as the extent of the entire Village — There are recognized boundaries between the Dharmasanam & Ayen portions —

II The persons No 1 to 4 of col 13 plead that they are the descendants of the Coparceners of the Original Grantee — & that they ~~[illegible]~~ sold the lands to the persons No 5 to 9 — They [illegible] the fact that they are enjoying [illegible] from time immemorial [illegible] a mortgage bond executed by the ancestors about 50 years ago to the father of the person No 5 to 9 of col 13 mortgaging [illegible] of the Dharmasanam portion of the Village — Hence I see no ground to dispute the right of the persons No 1 to 4 of col 13 or the persons No 5 to 9 who purchased [illegible] from the persons No 1 to 4 of col 13 — (Those mentioned [illegible])

Dharmasanam 17 2..

No [illegible] the Settlement date [illegible] 1601 A D 1679

Acct of F 1211 Acct of A D 1815 Acct of Frame

Ramo In F 1211 Zingar Dharmasanam Panichagudi 3/16 Village Nanjo Varayidi 18-8-¼ A 21-70 Punjo Varayidi .. 5-3/8 Kurukam 33 1/8 A 29-80 Total 51-50

In F 1244 Panichagudi [illegible] 13/16 Village Nanjo Varayidi 88-12-2¾ A 103-77 Punjo Varayidi 2-1-10/16

In A D 1815 Dharmasanam Panichagudi 3/16 Village Nanjo Varayidi 18-8-¼ A 21-69 Punjo Varayidi .. 5-3/8 Kurukam 33-1/8 A 29-80 Total 51-49

Ramo Zingar do

Panichagudi

1 Ramaswami Iyen 45 } All — (1) 1 Wife 2 Sons

Thilapavigar

2 Iyaroyen 55 } All — (2) 2 Married Daughters 1 Son of Daughter 3 Daughters of do

Kilaroyal in Puttukota Taluq Tanjore District

3 Kuppu Sami Iyen 27 } All — (3) 1 Wife 1 Married Daughter

4 Sami Iyen 35 } All — (4) 1 Wife

Kallangudi

5 Sami Iyen 50 } All — (5) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Daughter [illegible]

6 Nagura-iyen 45 } All — (6) 1 Wife

7 Kristna-iyen 40 } All — (7) 1 Wife 1 Son 1 Married Daughter

Alienated Family [illegible] Lands are under [illegible] Potta is resumed

To be confirmed under Rule V Cl 3 at present & under Rule VII for alienees — 3/16 of the Village

Remission Rs 17 2

Govt 2 6/8 = 1 [illegible]

A Seshagiri Rao
Ramdpur
25 8 63

J. D..
288

As per Acct of F 1211
Nanjo Varayidi — 107-6-3 125-46
do — 31-5-4 36-65
138-12-1 162-11
Punjo Kurukam 219 ¼ 177-76
Excess — 157 .. 121-30
376 339-66
Deduct Poramboke 501-17
Village site Varayidi 3-2 .. 3-66
Bed of Tank do 21-11-4 25-47
Tank do 1-2 .. 1-36
Roads do 5 .. 5-83
Remainder 31-5-4 36-65
464-52

Deduct from the statement of F [illegible] produced by the Mahajanam

13/16 Village not excluded from the assets of Permt Settt
Nanjo Varayidi 88-12-2¾ 103-77
Punjo Kurukam 186 10/16 167-96
do Excess — 137 .. 123-30
Remainder 395-03

3/16 Village excluded from the assets of Permt Settt
Nanjo Varayidi Cultivated 12-1 .. 14-09
do Waste — 6-7¾ 7-60
18-8-¼ 21-69
Punjo Kurukam Cultivated 25 .. 22-50
Waste 8 1/8 7-30
33-1/8 29-80
Do Excess

(Fair copied)

2-4 ×

271-73
Dharmasanam
2/16 Village
Nanja
Varayidi
18-8-1
A
21-69
Punja
Varayidi
,,-5-3 1/8
Karakku
33-1/8
A
29-80
Total
51-49.

8 Gouri Iyer 35	Al	(8) 1 Wife 1 Son	Well proved are
9 Ramaswami Iyer 36	Al	(9) 1 Wife 3 Sons 1 Unmarried Daughter	

Rates of Government Taluqs per Acre

Maximum Minimum
Dry 3-9-9 ,,-1-7
Wet 10-13-3 ,,-5-9

Average rates of Government Taluqs per Acre
Dry 1-5-6
Wet 4-6-1

Details of average income of the last 15 years of the Zemindari on A lands in the Taluq of

A

From Fasli 1266 to Fasli 1270	Cultivated area in acre	Gross out turn	Of which Zemindar's share at 40 per cent	Average prices of grains	Value of the quantity of grains given in Col. 4	Rates per Acre
R As P	Acre Cent	R As P	R As P	R A P	R A P	R A P
6122-10-5	30498-25	148831-6-4	59552-9-,,	2-8-6	150742-12-,,	5-,,-,,

Dry rates prevailing in Zemindary Taluq of
Maximum Minimum
2-8-7 ,,-15-9

Selvoor Cultivation of

F 1256	11920
F 1257	15074
F 1258	15043
F 1259	16027
F 1260	12592
F 1261	7873
F 1262	10791
F 1263	5541
F 1264	7150
F 1265	10915
F 1266	10807
F 1267	3281
F 1268	5976
F 1269	7558
F 1270	8325

(Fair Copy)

Details of sale

- The person No 5 in Column - 16 purchased lands in AD 1860 for Rupees 231 -
- from one Venkata Subbarudrani the father's younger brother of the person No 1 &c Col 13 -
- Bill of sale is on plain cadjan leaf - Transaction proved to be bonafide - ~~[illegible]~~
- Enjoyment proved - The Bill is not attested by any witnesses. But the ~~seller appeared~~ sellers
- appeared before me & admitted the transaction -

Valuation

1 Wet lands depend upon one large Tank - It is fed by the adjacent Agr[illegible] Tank
The Tank is sound & the supplying channel is of an ordinary character - The Mahajanam
say that the Wet lands & Dry lands classed as waste are "Mattutta" [illegible] in the lands left waste
are cultivated occasionally. Some of the Wet lands are cultivated 2d time - Most of the lands are
Cultivated annually as admitted by the Mahajanams themselves - They say that the former classed
improperly most of the lands as waste & that they supported it in the first instance [illegible]
Soil is of a medium character generally. I have no materials to enter here [illegible] greater of [illegible]
the neighbouring Agyar lands that are settled on shrowny system - I am unable to find out what
the Agyar portion of the Village produces - because the Ryots [illegible] owners shares in
several of the Darmasanam Villages are averse to let the matter go out - The average
dry rates of the Agyar portion of the Village under settlement are 1-9-7 per acre - With reference
to the fertility of soil &c, I frame the valuation of the Darmasanam portion as follows -
The average income of the Darmasanam portion is 67 Rupees. this is not true -

1 Wet - 21 3/4 Acres at 3 Rupees per acre - 65-4-,,
1 Dry - 48 Acres at 1 Rupee per acre - 48-,,-,,
(in consideration of fallow & uncultivated lands)

Total.. 113-4-0

Note. As there are some Waste lands in Dry light assessment is charged for the entire area -

Ramnadpuram
26-8-63

A. Sudarshana Rao