

Kādhavanūr மாதவபுரம்

No 45 to 46	carrying	1/16
No 47	do	1/16
No 48 to 50	do	1 1/16
No 51 to 53	do	4 3/8
No 54	do	1 1/8
No 55	do	1 1/4
		51 7/8 =

I This is one of the Darmasancin in the Zemiary of Rammed. This was granted by one of the ancestors of the R. Pri-
- for the support of the person of 1/3 for subsistence. This is a hereditary grant. This is an uninterrupted possession of its heirs and poster-
- date of the grant. This has a Potte on copper plate. This document is supported by the old account. The Village is again supported by the
- Old account. One Sixteenth of the Village is included in the assets of the Permanent Settlement as the person holders present
- were absent in the full of the country & as that 1/16 portion was then under attachment of the Zemindar. The 1/16 Village then included in
the assets was paid and brought to the Permanent Settlement to the owners being on their return by the R. Pri. This the 1/16 Village
excluded from the assets - is brought on this Register - There about 1/16 Village the marginally noted correspondence. Now in 1/16 Village
has included from the assets though they were granted for a time ago. There are 51 7/16 fractions of an equal balance in the 1/16 Village included
on the assets of the Permanent Settlement. Proper is paid permanently on the number of fractions equally. The lands are divided - the por-
tioned once in 11 years. But since the last 30 years this was not done - Now the Sikharanans are ordering a change of land in
to each other. Since the present The persons of 1/16 are not anyway related to the Original Grantee. Some of them are the descend-
the partners of the Original Grantee. The family of the Original Grantee became extinct. The partition of the lands are given from
No Lakshmi about the time of the Village. The Zemindar's Taluk confirmed the boundaries which are found to be in the account of 1/16.

Thomas Josi 1870 Kamo' Auliz Steens Is. L.A.S. (Kashouanin)
 Dawson 1874 rametia vasata G. & S. 1815 30

variegata	1819
Varia 1211	variegata No. 1819
Regina Act	variegata No. 1819
variegata of A.B. 1819	variegata No. 1819
Noty	variegata No. 1819
Act 1819	variegata No. 1819
Salvo	variegata No. 1819
haus	variegata No. 1819
sacra	variegata No. 1819
1819	variegata No. 1819
A.B.	variegata No. 1819
1734	variegata No. 1819

Pedanto } Son (1)
 Myangon } 35 } Grand 17 W. J. 2000
 } Son of the 2 Morris
 } born Nov 1848
 } 10 15 } Daughters
 Trincala } Grandson (2)
 Myangon } 14 } Grandson 1 daughter
 } 10 } 10 }
 } 10 15 }
 Annate } (3)
 Myangon } 11 } 80 80 1 child
 } 10 15 } 1 child

The confirmed under Rule
II p 3 Agreed & under
Rule VI for absence.

Dec. 1878-5

41 x 115
20/8 ~~10~~ Paper - 100/100
15 Charge fort. Paper -
16

Handwritten: *Handwritten* Con. Confession
15663 *Handwritten* recommen
me 49. 26

Sherman
 Family Dr.
 Thomas are
 also Dr.
 and Peter
 is resour.

Confession
received
me 49. 26
The Decree
of the
of the
for No 32
appeared
10/2/64

Chaircase

Register 580, 567
Sheet 580 638

10 percent of \$2211	
Boys (Younger) 1941-227-68	
Boys (Karekhan) 362-0	531-22
	<u>558-68</u>
Boys (Karekhan)	
Boys (Younger) 1-16	
Boys (Karekhan) 4-6-4	5-42
Boys (Karekhan) 8-19-0	22-21
Boys (Karekhan) 3-0	3-58
Boys (Karekhan) 4-0-0	50-20
Boys (Karekhan) 24-0	22-50
	<u>104-48</u>
Boys (Karekhan)	
Boys (Karekhan) 2-17	
Boys (Karekhan) 4-6-08	

15/16 of Village not admitted
 from the assets of the Permanent Settlement
 (Kharaj Farajdi) 7 1/16 8-18
 (Ruiz Kurakan) 20 1/2 18-26
 26-63
 Remainder 419-15
 15/16 of Horaduan
 (Ruiz Farajdi) } 57-10 = 79-05
 Cultivated
 Waste 4-29 1/2 49-85
 110-55 1/2 128-90
 (Ruiz Kurakan) } 145 1/2 129-15
 Cultivated
 Waste 179 = 161-10
 3 1/2 290-25
 419-15

Sheet
No 639

That the 2nd & 3rd names are in the list of Madharanair in Ramnada Taluk

1 The person N 43 ran 7016 purchased land through his father's forestation on 16 occasions and 2 1790-1797-1806-1825-1852-1854-1859 for Rs 60 6 702. Bellas on plain paper

1 The annals of the person N 45 46-47-48-49-50 7016 require land partly for by purchase & partly by gift from the landholder in the district. Part is on hand. Translations provided to the Bench.

1 The father of the person N 51-52-53 7016 purchased land in AD 1813-1856-1857 for Rs 10-00 on plain paper. Translations provided to the Bench.

1 The person N 54 7016 require land 4 years ago from his mother's younger Brother who died without heir. This proved to be legal. Hence the land is reported in the name of the person N 54 7016.

1 The younger sister of the deceased of the person N 55 7016 gave the land in gift in AD 1860 from religious motives. Dead of one Ranganatha (Cognate paper Translations provided to the Bench). The Donor has no heirs. This is alone.

One Vallabha, the person N 32 7016, pleads that the person N 31 7016 and the 7016 is a party to the person N 30 in AD 1854 for Rs 19 6 72. On the 14th of 4th that this is the patrimony in which he took share. It is proved that this is a divided family. That the Division took place 70 years ago. The Claimants & Defendants are of course - The person N 31 the property in dispute was that of the Widow of the younger Brother of the Grand Father of the person N 31 7016. The person N 31 performed the funeral rites of the Widow, who died 80 years ago, & performed the annual ceremonies of the Widow ever since. These lands were in the custody of a widow. The name of the Widow of the Widow, which was recovered four years ago by the person N 31. & sold to the person N 30 7016. Hence the claim of the complainant is rejected. The complainant produced a list showing the property divided between his ancestors & the Defendant. In which no mention of these lands was made. Under these circumstances - The Widow was the owner of these lands & the said lands reverted to the person N 31 who performed the funeral rites of the Widow. He now sold the land 10 years ago to the person N 30 7016. Thus the person N 31 has no ground of Complaint.

The person N 56 7016 pleads that the person N 57 7016, Rangaswamy, Sengun, is the son of his father's younger Brother and the person N 57 7016 in AD 1853 for Rs 10-00 in property of the 10th Division of a Taluk while it was in the attachment of the Court. of Madras giving a decision on a suit instituted by him (N 56 7016) for the recovery of certain lands.

- 27 Mahalingam } 281
- 3000 50 } 281
- 28 Ramaswami } 281
- mayan 13 } 281
- 29 Naga } 281
- mayan } 281
- 30 Nalyana } 281
- Seshayan 32 } 281
- 31 Subbiah } 281
- yan 65 } 281
- 32 Vallabha } 281
- yan 40 } 281
- 33 Venkata } 281
- Karanayan } 281
- 27 } 281
- Tanjore } 281
- 34 Vasisto } 281
- Vallabha } 281
- yan 28 } 281
- 35 Venkata } 281
- mayan } 281
- Malappanayan } 281
- 36 Subramanya } 281
- mayan } 281
- 37 Ruddle } 281
- Samiyan } 281
- 38 Kappo } 281
- yan 38 } 281
- 39 Leo Kama } 281
- yan 35 } 281
- 40 Venkata } 281
- yan 32 } 281
- 41 Karayan } 281
- yan 25 } 281
- 42 Lakshmi } 281
- yan 27 } 281
- Devipattanam } 281

222 Two preceding side

P. 18. 18. 18.

Vakulashan

1 Wet lands depend upon our larger tank. It is fed by Linga Stream - It is in repair -
 The Mahajanams say that 50 acres of wet & 150 acres of dry are 8 years waste - & that they
 cannot prove this by any records - The result of my enquiry goes to prove that it is true that
 among the lands are left waste occasionally as it is the case with in every part of the country
 but that 50 acres of wet & 150 acres of dry are not of 8 years waste - One fourth may be
 taken as waste left uncultivated annually on an average - Soil is generally of a very superior
 quality generally - It is black & of a fine texture - Wet lands are not full water & there
 is in any year - Scarcity of water is felt occasionally as it is generally the case in the District -
 The Tank near Pampur is raised - Average profits of the Village as per statement of
 the Mahajanams are 977127. Of this if the tax of Rs. 187 6 is deducted, the net
 profits are 200 4 - There is not a single acre of land in the village devoted to the
 cultivation of Paddy as in the lands of the Mahajanams. I had much suspicion about the lands, & questioned the Mahajanams
 they gave a written statement that the lands (72 acres) are not cultivated since the
 last 40 years; that they are impregnated with salt; that they are unfit for cultivation
 & that they will relinquish their right in them if it proved to be the reverse -
 Upon this, I kept myself silent satisfied myself of the accuracy of the statement of
 the Mahajanams. The average rates of Gond as well as of gemmianary Lakhs are given on the
 side of the first sheet. Those rates are really very high, on the other hand the profits stated to be
 by the Mahajanams are very low. From the gemmianary income statement on the lands it would appear that
 wet lands produced at 5 Palk of grain per Palk of the land - & that a Palk of grain was sold at 37 for Palk of grain.
 With reference to all this, I frame the valuation of the Village as follows with the aid of the Mahajanams &
 the people of the country is very anxious to put a correct one of the new Indian Rules graduated. Some persons much
 have the valuation their alleged net profits given above at all for valuation - The valuation is however
 into much details about the fertility of the land.

- | | | | |
|---------------|----------|----------|------------------|
| 44 Krishna | you 40 | all | (44) |
| | | | 1 wife |
| | | | 2 sons |
| | | | 2 daughters |
| Kameswaru | | | daughter |
| 45 La Kama | dayan 45 | all | (45) |
| | | | 1 wife |
| | | | 2 sons |
| 46 Kamaswa | mayan 40 | all | (46) |
| | | | 1 wife |
| 47 Subba | yan 40 | all | (47) |
| | | | 1 wife |
| Perungalur | | | 3 sons |
| 48 Mainayan | 40 | all | (48) |
| | | | 1 wife |
| 49 Subba | yan 35 | all | (49) |
| | | | 1 better brother |
| 50 Venkata | raman 30 | all | (50) |
| | | | 2 better brother |
| 51 Gopal | yan 42 | standing | (51) |
| | | | 1 wife |
| | | | 2 sons |
| | | | 1 daughter |
| 52 Kamaswa | mayan 34 | all | (52) |
| | | | 1 wife |
| | | | 1 son |
| 53 Rangayya | gar 29 | all | (53) |
| | | | 1 wife |
| | | | 1 daughter |
| 54 Krestu | yan 25 | all | (54) |
| | | | 1 daughter |
| 55 Subbayya | 16 | all | (55) |
| | | | 1 wife |
| 56 Nannamayan | 60 | standing | (56) |
| | | | 1 wife |

De. 22. 22. The foregoing are
 the

387
 129
 260
 15863

Thiruvananthapuram
 15863

Thiruvananthapuram