

Kurupuli

Shear
 No 1 is enjoying it
 No 2 do $1\frac{1}{2}$
 No 3 do $\frac{5}{8}$
 No 4 do $\frac{12}{8}$
 No 5 do $\frac{15}{8}$
 No 6 & 7 do $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{16}{8}$
 From No 8 to 18 do $\frac{1}{6}$
 From No 12 to 14 do 3 "
 No 15 do $\frac{1}{2}$
 From No 16 to 29 do $\frac{1}{2}$
 No 20 do $\frac{3}{4}$
 From No 21 to 23 do $\frac{3}{4}$
 No 24 do $\frac{1}{4}$
 No 25 do $\frac{1}{4}$
 No 26 do $\frac{1}{4}$
 No 27 do $\frac{1}{4}$
 No 28 & 29 do $\frac{1}{8}$

Kurupato
This is one of the Durrusianans in the Peninsular of Ramofo. It was granted by the Emperor 1011 to the 11th 13 -
- for subsistence - This is a hereditary grant. It is in the hands of the former of its holders since the date of the grant. This is a
- Pottas & pepper plate - This is not registered one - The Village is supported by the accounts of 1012 - The Village consists of 12 equal Villages
- The lands are divided. Each of lands were present in the Village - The Villages are permanent -
- The present ones exceed that given in the account of Lady 1211 by 26 Anus - All these lands are situated within the permanent boundary
- The Village of St. L. is about the area now about the town which corresponds to those in the account of Lady 1246 -
- Hence the area of 193 Anus is confirmed here - (Poufo is paid on the number of Villages & equally & permanently -
- The area of 193 Anus is confirmed here - (Poufo is paid on the number of Villages & equally & permanently -

II. Some of the persons 16 are the descendants of the Original Grantees & their heirs or assigns -
 1. The person No 3 of 16 purchased lands in 1855 for 1500 \$ Bill of sale on plain paper - The sale is fully witnessed -
 1. The person No 6 of 16 - began lands partly by purchase & partly by gift in 1850-1857-1865 for 300 \$ - Documents are on plain paper -
 - papers which are 3 in number Transactions proved to be Bonafide
 1. The person No 5 of 16 purchased lands in 1869 for 500 \$ for 573 \$ - Two Bills are on plain paper - Transactions proved to be Bonafide
 J. C.

Product of 1711
 Vario Varazien 25 3/4 28 37
 Groceries 20 6 23 34
 63 19 3/4 52 21
 Vario (Karaku) 25 3/4 113 16
 192 37
 Product Daraboko
 Vario site Varaz 2 4 2 66
 Seed of Jauk 18 1 21 10
 2 1 1 8
 20 6 23 34
 (Remains) 169 53
 Vario (Varazien) 25 3/4 27 27
 Cultivated 24 3/4 28 30
 Waste 48 13 56 37
 Vario (Karaku) 27 3/4 24 96
 Cultivated
 Waste 98 11 88 20
 125 3/4 115 16
 169 53

(The following page contains handwritten notes and calculations, likely related to the census or genealogical records.)

*Lafayette II f^o 309 verso
from Charles de la Fayette
abandoned.
Date 50-63
207 = 19 papers - Martin Paper*

Handwritten:
 K. L. Hansson Nov.
 Regimenter 25 1883

The valuation is far
absolutely & mainly
applicable to villages
impoverished by
warfare.

Total
£80 / 242

25 Nov 07

Details of average income of the last 10 years of the
grain or on wet land in Aronaticum along Salvo of Rand Estate

Salvage cultivation in Arountiang dan

21 Kuma } Grand (21)
terdjagor } Land 1 Wife
40 } 1 Child
of 2 Sons 2 Sons
13 1 Son 1 Son
1 Son
Dance etc.

D D D } These preceding are
 D D D } *Handwritten signature*

Sheet No 2 Therasan Kurupali in Aranthangalam Taluk of Ramd Estate

Ref. Vol 182

Register No 182

Set No 207

1. Out of Volagammal, the widow of the person N^o 4 & 5 of 16. Claim to the property -
 - out of the land contents of off-sets N^o 4 & 5 of 16 on the ground that they are the -
 - children of his late husband; but the family is divided one - & that she was deprived from -
 - the share of her late husband since the date of his demise which took place 13 years ago - -
 - It is proved from the document produced by the person N^o 4 & 5 of 16 that on the -
 - demise of her husband the widow Volagammal, transferred all her husband's lands -
 - to the person N^o 4 & 5 of 16 on condition of the said person N^o 4 & 5 of 16 paying the -
 - debt left undischarged by her husband - Hence there is no reason of complaint -
 - However I have entered her as of 19 opposite to N^o 4 & 5 of 16 -

Valuation

III. Set lands depend upon 2 Tanks. One is large & another is small -
 - It is fed by Temple Streams &c. The Tanks are in round & supplying channel is -
 - not very influential one - Soil is of a medium character generally - Soil consists -
 - of Red, Pottet & the Mahayannams say that the Soil is black & sandy as waste -
 - are of 20 years work - & that they cannot prove this by any accounts & that -
 - they give it to be the case - The results of my enquiry into the the Mahayannams & the -
 - of the neighboring Villages show that there is much exaggeration in the matter -
 - of the Soil - & that the lands may be said to be fertile - full of water occasionally -
 - The Mahayannams with a view to get from the value of the Village, they place full value -
 - lands as waste & pretend that they are full of water - If I be silent without -
 - checking this fraud, I am certain, that every one would follow the same course -
 - & then the authorities will be in doubt whether such frauds are committed by one -
 - jointly or by the Mahayannams singly - When there is no more, I shall always great -
 - pains to ascertain the correctness of the accounts produced by the Mahayannams -
 - I gave the Salwar full value of the Village in which the Agrahar is situated on the 2 -
 - side of the sheet of the Register - From that it will be seen that there was marked falling off, in -
 - cultivation only in 1863 - I am aware that I am not to compare the full value of one Village with that of another full value of another Village -
 - But, for, I mentioned here only just to give an idea of the fluctuation in the full value - I think it is strange that the recent high price & favourable seasons -
 - - general estimates in full value - but lands are not full value - occasionally - Tanbore is not raised -
 - The average profits of the Mahayannams as per their own statement are 1250 pps - After, if the price of 50 & demand of charges of 15 & making a total -
 - of 650 pps is deducted the net profits are 570 pps - This was calculated at 20 pps on the 1000 - The Mahayannams of the Dammamam in Periyannam -
 - paid that said profit must not exceed above 200 pps per 1000 on the 1000 or 1000 - I have explained from that I have nothing to do about Poruppu -
 - But they give two profits accounts calculated at 200 pps per 1000 of 1000 with a view to put me into confusion in calculating the value -
 - The average rate of 1000 pps is not correct & that of the Periyannam Village is somewhat high - However they say that the Periyannam officers will pay for -
 - - consideration the details given in statement A of the preceding side - before passing a decision on my valuation - The Mahayannams of the Dammamam in Periyannam -
 - No. 1000 is collected in this Village as per statement of the Mahayannams - On the whole the Village is in a flourishing state - the number of shareholders is -
 - - in a flourishing state - 100

- 22. Ku Npro } Grindson (22)
 mayangor } 33 } 1 Wife
 } 33 } 2 Sons
 } 33 } 1 Daughter
 } 33 } 1 Daughter
- 23. Kaino } Widow (23)
 lammal } 35 } 1 Great Undivided
 } 35 } 3 Grandson of my
 } 35 } 1 Brother
 } 35 } 1 Daughter
 } 35 } 1 Daughter
- 24. Stree } (24)
 mivasayan } 1 Wife
 } 55 } 1 Married
 } 55 } 1 Daughter
 } 55 } 1 Daughter
 } 55 } 1 Daughter
- 25. Liru } (25)
 vengoda } 10 } 1 Mother
- 26. Lya } (26)
 mayangor } 10 } 1 Wife
 } 55 } 1 Daughter
- 27. Kuppam } (27)
 mal } 50 } 1 Widow
 } 50 } 1 Daughter
- 28. Kundor } (28)
 } 50 } 1 Wife
 } 50 } 2 Sons
- 29. Keli } (29)
 Kuthan } 40 } 1 Wife
 } 40 } 2 Sons

These figures are
 the figures

Full value only in 1863 - I am aware that I am not to compare the full value of one Village with that of another full value of another Village -
 But, for, I mentioned here only just to give an idea of the fluctuation in the full value - I think it is strange that the recent high price & favourable seasons -
 - general estimates in full value - but lands are not full value - occasionally - Tanbore is not raised -
 - The average profits of the Mahayannams as per their own statement are 1250 pps - After, if the price of 50 & demand of charges of 15 & making a total -
 - of 650 pps is deducted the net profits are 570 pps - This was calculated at 20 pps on the 1000 - The Mahayannams of the Dammamam in Periyannam -
 - paid that said profit must not exceed above 200 pps per 1000 on the 1000 or 1000 - I have explained from that I have nothing to do about Poruppu -
 - But they give two profits accounts calculated at 200 pps per 1000 of 1000 with a view to put me into confusion in calculating the value -
 - The average rate of 1000 pps is not correct & that of the Periyannam Village is somewhat high - However they say that the Periyannam officers will pay for -
 - - consideration the details given in statement A of the preceding side - before passing a decision on my valuation - The Mahayannams of the Dammamam in Periyannam -
 - No. 1000 is collected in this Village as per statement of the Mahayannams - On the whole the Village is in a flourishing state - the number of shareholders is -
 - - in a flourishing state - 100

In consideration of the fertility of soil & the foregoing circumstances, I place the valuation as follows - after trying it to much detail -

Set (Fuller's)	27 1/2 bu at 3 1/2 per bu	96 4	
" (Waste)	29 bush at 20 per bu	58	
			<u>154 4-0</u>
Dry (Fuller)	25 bu at 7 1/2	18 72	
" (Waste as claimed by the Debtors)	88 bu at 6	52 80	
			<u>51 12-0</u>
			<u>206 0-0</u>

Prize
26 83

Handwritten signature

I explain my calculation to the Messrs. in detail. They signed & returned with the
Handwritten signature finding of my calculation & valuation.

42