

Register
no 178
Sheet
201

Agree the above mentioned ~~and~~ Ramesh Chandra Rames to 1/2 of the
lands entered opposite to p. 9 & 20 of 1806 on the same grounds. The above remarks apply also to the
against the said complainant claimant to 2 of 1/2 of the lands in possession of the widow No 13 -
The above remarks apply also to the point -
1. The fact that the p. 9 of 1806 purchased lands in AD 1800 & 1805 for p. 98 - Two Billa on
on plain paper
1. The annexing the p. 10 of 1806 purchased lands in AD 1812 1813 1815 1817 1820 1821
1822 1823 1825 1826 1827 1830 & 1836 for p. 739 - 72 Billa on 18 on plain paper
1. The p. 12 of 1806 acquired lands partly by purchase & partly by gift in AD 1827 & 1846
for p. 159 - 2 documents on plain paper
1. The Father in law & the late husband of the widow No 13 of 1806 purchased lands in AD 1821 & 1822 -
1823 1835 1838 1839 - 1845 - 1846 & 1858 for p. 587 - 54 - One Billa on plain paper
One Annamata Ramesh Chandra Dorasamy Deyan Rames to 1/2 of 1/2 of the lands
entered opposite to the widow No 13 of 1806 on the ground that one of his sons and improperly also his share
(1/2 of 1/2) & the husband of the widow No 13 of 1806. It is proved by all the old documents & by
the Rajan Bill & also produced by the widow that the 1/2 of 1/2 of the lands was sold by the
in AD 1839 by the then holders thereof to the husband of the widow - Her enjoyment is proved
The plaintiff has no enjoyment - Hence the claims rejected & the parties are referred to civil
Court
The p. 15 of 1806 her husband & Father in law acquired the lands partly by purchase
& partly by gift in AD 1788 1793 1802 1813 1822 & 1825 for p. 336 73
One Bill is on plain paper & one on plain paper
1. The p. 16 of 1806 purchased lands in AD 1854 for p. 24 - Bill is on plain paper
1. The p. 18 of 1806 purchased lands in AD 1854 for p. 64 & 3 - 2 Billa on plain
paper
1. The p. 19 of 1806 purchased lands in AD 1850 for p. 174 - Two Billa on plain paper
1. The p. 20 of 1806 acquired lands by gift in AD 1820 & 1847 - from one of the brothers
in the adjacent two deeds on plain paper
1. The p. 22 of 1806 acquired lands in gift from her great grand father in law - No deed -
Transaction proved & title confirmed
1. The p. 23 of 1806 acquired lands in gift in AD 1862 from her husband's younger Brother
1. The p. 24 of 1806 in AD 1847 purchased lands for p. 80 & 81 - One Bill is on plain
paper
1. The annexing the p. 25 of 1806 purchased lands in AD 1852 1857 1830 1835 & 1839 for
p. 550 - Four Billa on plain paper
1. The p. 26 of 1806 purchased lands in AD 1849 for p. 70 - One Bill is on plain paper

19 Sundara	standing	(19)
mayan	58	1 wife
20 Venkatar		(20)
Ramabayan	30	1 wife
21 Ramo	yan 18	(21)
22 Sundara		(22)
mayan 20		1 wife
23 Kanakam		(23)
mol alias		2 married
Sankar Chandel	60	daughters
24 Ananta		(24)
Latchmi		2 married
Anmol	38	daughters
Ramnad		(25)
25 Naraya		1 wife
navadho	28	1 son
26 Swakira		(26)
Sandar o		1 wife
mayan	13	
Seraykhatia		(27)
27 Venkatar		1 wife
Marimbo		
Syan 10		
28 Kinkabhi		(28)
Sundaram		1 wife
6		brother
Mulakamir in		
Sivagangadary		
29 Ramani		(29)
also Lyon	50	1 wife
Servir		
30 Swastika		(30)
Karajana	50	2 sons
Dikshitar	65	2 daughters

Grand Father of the 5th
The p. 27 & 28 of 1816 purchased lands in 1785-1786-1790 & 1809 papers

6998 - Limbikun plan in paper

The p. 36 of 1816 just acquired in gift from his younger sister
Muttamul. Transaction proved to be a forgery. No document.
The donor personally saw the transaction. He did not inherit them from his
- wife's Grand Father long ago - No documents - The lands are in mortgage

Valuation

31 Sabra	Standing	(32)
mainly in	30	1 Wife
Kartagasi in	holder	
32 Garunur	2000	(33)
- ti Svan	30	
Vattarakisamangai		
33 Alagha	2000	(34)
viayan-60	1 Son	
	1 daughter	
	1 wife	
	1 grandson	
34 Vidhya	5000	(35)
Syan-50	1 Wife	
	1 son	
	1 daughter	
	1 wife	
	1 grandson	
35 Sumari	2000	(36)
Sumari-35	1 Wife	
Vattarakisamangai		
36 Rama	1000	(37)
Sumari-65	1 Wife	
	2 grandsons	

Two prices side

2000

IV. ... Wet lands depend upon two tanks. They are fed by one of the channels of
- the river - The supplying channel is very important one - The tanks are in repair -
The Alabozanams say that the wet lands claimed as waste in their accounts are not
really uncultivated lands & that they are Muttamul on the lands cultivated once in
= 2 or 3 years - The lands are then left waste one or two years in the District to permit
- the soil to regain its strength or fertility - This is confined only to a very few lands
- & not to a large extent - In fact from the statement of the Alabozanams it appears
- that there is very little or no waste in the village generally - But however, in some
- years, in which the tanks are not filled & some of the lands would lie as waste or
- as uncultivated - I may safely class 1/3 of the wet lands claimed as waste by the
- Alabozanams nominally in accounts as waste or the lands cultivated occasionally - Soil is of a superior quality -
- generally - Some of the lands are Tandal or alluvium & some are Kariak or Rizer - There are also some sandy soil -
- Wet lands are cultivated 2 times occasionally not exceeding 1/5 of the wet area - The lands are not cultivated 2 times annually as the District is noted for drought
- generally & as the lands lose their fertility by culturing 2 times - Tambore is raised 7 or 8 times - The Tambore consists of hills - on
- Plantain trees are planted - On the whole the village is in flourishing state & of valuable property - The foregoing facts are those stated by the Alabozanams
themselves - The average rate of Soil as well as the quantity of crops are given on the 2 sides of the first sheet - The average profits of the village as per statement of the
Alabozanams are 410. of Rs. if the rate of Rs. 180 is set into the net profits in 1811. The Alabozanams calculate their profits not, really, on their average or annual
- income but on Pongu or Lot at 2 Rs per acre - When I came first here, the Alabozanams pleaded that I must charge 2 Rs on the Pongu at 2 Rs per acre -
I have explained them thoroughly the details of principles of the settled - Still they persisted in their accounts and profits at 2 Rs per acre on Pongu
The Statute A on the 2 sides of the sheet shows that the Pongu's income during the last 15 years was at 5 Rs per acre -
The Statute A shows that a Muller of seed rice produced 5 Muller of grain on an average during the last 15 years - Of the Alabozanams it is stated that the average
prices were 2 Rs 12 p per Muller of grain - Whereas no I calculate in comparison with the fact that a Muller of seed rice produces 3 Muller of grain - At this rate for 173
Muller, the gross output must be 519 Muller of seed rice according to the usage of the Damaramam at 2 Rs 8 p - Then the value of the seed at 2 Rs per Muller
= 1038 p (lower than the average of 15 years) comes to 583 p - I have taken the lower rate of prices than the average of the last 15 years at the Alabozanams
think that the most of the 15 years are the years of marked high prices of Pongu & collected at 6 Rs per Muller in addition to the Muller of grain
on account of their changing from rice - This year 1850 has been about of the last year 200 Rs comes to 56 p per Lot at 639. p per Lot and again the income
from Dry lands at 1/2 p per acre on an average is 223 p per acre - The neighbouring dry rates are not below 11 Rs per acre - Thus the gross output of the village is
= 862 p - Nothing would be more like a valuation of the village as per present prices - Thus I enter the value of the village as

No.	is enjoying	1- ¹³ / ₃₂	No.	is enjoying	1- ¹³ / ₃₂
No 1	is enjoying	1- ¹³ / ₃₂	No 22	is enjoying	1- ¹³ / ₃₂
No 2-3 & 4	do.	" ⁵ / ₈	No 23	do.	" ¹⁵ / ₁₆
No 5 & 6	do.	" ⁹ / ₁₆	No 24	do.	" ²¹ / ₃₂
No 7 & 8	do.	2 ⁹ / ₃₂	No 25	do.	¹⁹ / ₃₂
No 9	do.	1 ⁵ / ₁₆	No 26	do.	¹ / ₂
No 10 & 11	do.	4 ¹ / ₈	No 27 & 28	do.	¹ / ₄
No 12	do.	1 ¹ / ₁₆	No 29	do.	⁷ / ₁₆
No 13	do.	3 ¹ / ₈	No 30	do.	⁷ / ₁₆
No 14	do.	2 ¹ / ₂	No 31	do.	¹⁴ / ₃₂
No 15	do.	" ⁴ / ₁₆	No 32	do.	¹ / ₂
No 16	do.	" ³⁷ / ₆₄	No 33	do.	⁵ / ₁₆
No 17	do.	" ¹ / ₂	No 34	do.	⁷ / ₈
No 18	do.	" ¹⁹ / ₃₂	No 35	do.	¹ / ₃₂
No 19	do.	1 ⁷⁴ / ₃₂₀	No 36	do.	⁷ / ₁₆
No 20 & 21	do.	1 ¹ / ₂	No 37	do.	¹ / ₂

Kallidiyendal க ள் னியு டி டெ டு ந்த ல்

1 This is one of the Darnasirams or the zemins of Kammaspura - It was granted by the zemindar of 1000 to the head of the family -
- subsistence. This is a hereditary grant. It has been in uninterrupted possession of its holders since the date of the grant. There are no other lands.
- The Village is supported by the accounts of 12. The Village consists of 22 equal Thutts. They are assigned as shown in the margin -
- The Lands are divided. Lands are exchanged once in 12 years should all the dharogans agree to the arrangement - Profits paid on the
number of Thutts equally & permanently.

II The present area of the Village corresponds that once stated given in the account of 1724 by 40 acres or thereabouts within the proper limits of the Village - No. 2. Llaner about the boundaries on the part of the town which correspond to those in the account of 1724 - Hence the area of 902 acres is confirmed here.

III The Village was granted first to the person of 1813 who retained a few shares therein for her own use & income
of the persons who are marked down as at among shareholders of 1816 - Some of the persons of 1816 in the descent of the persons who
were entered in the account of 1815. Hence the present holders are by right owners of the Village. (T.C.)

[illegible][illegible]

To be confirmed in Rule
 1793 signed & sealed
 On Jan 1800
 Dec 1888

20% 80 paper Eighty per cent

A. L. Luskland No.
 27612 JF

Alenda
 Zyg
 In answer
 down
 Once
 Potta
 in room

78
 Lemo 257
 Ch. S. D.

Oct 4-6-1

Details of average income of the last 15 years of the year war on wet land in Komoukote Talug of Namnal Estate

A

From 1256 to 1270	Cultivated area in Acres.	Grass cultured	Of which Zimbaro share at 40 per cent	Average prices of grain	Value of the quantity of grain grown Bols	Rates per A-
C No 8	A C	A No 8	A No 8	R 10 6	R 10 6	R 10 6
1189-13-5	2478-	10766055	4386420	2-12-	1234268-	5--

City rates prevailing in Germany, Salary of Householder

Massineum *Minineum*
u-14 3 u-14 3

Solms

u-11-3
Salary collection in Stamankata - The ancestor of the H. 15th purchased land in 1828
1847-1852. & 1854 only occasionally for about 400 - 450.
On Bull & on Buffalo grazing for 6 months per year.

\$1258 15839
 \$1258 2052
 \$1258 2784
 \$1258 11352

1 *Personnel* *N° 576* *of 16* *persons* *born* *in* *1822* *28* *60* *42* *64* *70*
1252 *—* *These* *Bills* *are* *on* *paper* *—*

1 The person in 780 p. 11. *See* an estate purchased lands
 in AD 1828-1832-1845-1846-1847-1848-1850-1852-1855.

For Opus 2174 - Bills 13 are on plain paper -
One Remained in plain to 6/10 of a thirty out of the 100 sent

51269 10680
 51270 5255
 Opposite ~~5255~~⁵²⁵⁵ in the manner of the pen. No 580/06 on the ground that no
 other in low transverse the 6/ Valley when under a hill on the 1833-

That he is now aged 80 years & is the father of the person 545/546-
The addition of a foreign person. The Mahajanans say in over a single town they
and he that neither person nor person ancestors signed it

It is proved that the Defendant is law of the Mother in law of the Complainant, so
 that the said Defendant is liable to the said Complainant for the said sum of £1000.

(Kuraka)
734-
A
660-60
Total
862-62

2 Venkatesa } Al
 samo Iyan }
 45
 10th Wpe
 2 Samv
 1 Goughlebratus
 1 Kunn
 Goughle

9 Lactaria } AC 1 Wife
Kariyano }
Syan 25 } 1 Mother

10 Von Kato grassen (10)
Kaisaro (10) 1 Katois
Lyon 8 103 gundivon
8 105 young

11 Latchum } Widow
animal } of the son (11)
20 } of the 1 son of
Go 15 } undivided
Elder brother
of Husband

12 Mako } (12)
 deira Iyan } 17 pi
 64 } 3 Lau
 38 au feleris Lau

13 Anna Kati, Widow (137)
 Anna C. 42 { son & 2 Morris?
 prob. daughter
 C. 15 2 sons & 2 d.

Vorur in
Sangore distrik

14 Tiruven } Standing (14)
ang adal Nadho } who
you } have
30 } holder

Veracruz (15)
15- Plancha } 1 Morris
Animal bo } Daughter

16 You Kato (16)
 nārāyaṇa } 1 wife
 1 daughter
 14 au 25 Daughters

Vanganogor in
Tanjore District

17 Subbo } standing
yavayan } there
57 holder in bar

18 Ferry Mo. 1890. (18)
 18 Aug. 1890. 18 Aug. 1890.
 18 Aug. 1890. 18 Aug. 1890.
 18 Aug. 1890. 18 Aug. 1890.

Copy paper Engaged proved Hence the paper is rejected

A Mo 26th of 18th Dec^r 1812.
Complaining that we appear to
have got mixed in the names and
portion of the lands belonging to the

This previous one
 Endowed
 23/1/66
 WWS
 C. J. C.