

Again, the Brothers of the seller Trust - at Worcester sued the person of N^o 3 of 1860 ^{for the recovery of the} for 21st but not included in the above suit, in suit of N^o 60 of 1860 of the Principal Trust. Another joint of holders - The Court awarded the Trustees to 5 years of N^o 3 of 1860 by reason that they were sold by the P^{ro}p^{ri}etary to the Defendant on the Defendant's knowledge & consent. These Trustees are in possession of the person of N^o 3 of 1860. It appears that the P^{ro}p^{ri}etary ^{the agreement} appealed ~~and~~ the decision to the first Court & that the appellate Court has not as yet passed any decision.

X. Of the 3rd letter sent opposite the person of 1810 & 1812, the brother of Vank at arrangement in the person of 1810 & 1812 in the reason of 7 & 1817. 1818 & 1819 of the file of the Principal Person in the person of 1810 & 1812. ~~It is~~ by reason that they were sent to the Defendants by their Ex. D. without their consent or understanding. The Court passed a decision in favour of the Defendants & established the transaction of sale. The 7th persons are in possession of the person of 1810 & 1812. The 7th person an effort to refuse to pay on the decision of the Court. But it is not known as yet. in the Morning of Court

XIII The persons of 11 & 12 y. 16 and the brothers of Wendt at an arrangement for the recovery of the remaining one third of the property of the estate of Wendt at an arrangement in the consent of his brothers in 1859. for the sum of 250. The bill of sale is on stamp paper. The property is in possession of the Puffs. Remission proved to be bona fide. The deduction of the fourth is as yet the same. The suit is now now referred to the Pl. Judge & Justice Court. It is probable that the Puffs are the same as the third with the third as in the case of the removal of property. The property is registered in the name of 5 persons of 11 & 12 y. 16 -

III. Naguraj, one of the brothers of ^{the} deceased, claimed to be entitled to the ^{same} share of the property as the deceased. The claim is rejected. It is noted that it is awarded to him by the established law of the country, it will be registered in the court.

Publication of the *Darmstadt* printing by *Alte*

[illegible]